



International Symposium on Diagnostic Tools for Fuel Cell Technologies Trondheim, Norway | June 23rd, 2009

Combined Local Current Distribution Measurements and High Resolution Neutron Radiography of Operating Direct Methanol Fuel Cells

Alexander Schröder, Klaus Wippermann

Institute of Energy Research (IEF-3) Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, 52425 Jülich, Germany





Outline

- Introduction
- Influence of Current Density
- Bi-functional Operation
- Hydrophobicity of GDL
- Conclusion





Strategy

Diagnostics of local fluid and current distribution by

Segmented Cell Technology and Neutron Radiography



Target: Systematic optimization of cell components and operating conditions





Flow Field Geometries

Anode and cathode axially symmetrical



Grid structure

Anode and cathode axially symmetrical



Twofold meander

Dimensions:Graphite plate:90 mm × 90 mm × 3 mmChannel width:1.0 mmActive area:4.2 cm × 4.2 cm





Variation of Current Density

Average current density: 50 mA/cm²

Grid structure flow field



Twofold meander flow field



Temperature: 70 ℃

 λ_{Air} : 24







Variation of Current Density

Average current density: 150 mA/cm²

Grid structure flow field



Twofold meander flow field



Temperature: 70 ℃









Variation of Current Density

Average current density: 300 mA/cm²

Grid structure flow field



Twofold meander flow field



Temperature: 70 ℃





4





Bi-functional Operation

Average current density: 10 mA/cm²

Grid structure flow field



Corresponding current distribution



Temperature: 70 ℃









Bi-functional Operation

Average current density: 10 mA/cm²

Twofold meander flow field



Corresponding current distribution



Temperature: 70 ℃









Hydrophobicity of Anode GDL

Operating Conditions: 70 ℃, 50 mA/cm²,

$$\mathbf{A}_{Air} = \mathbf{\lambda}_{Methanol} = 24$$

Neutron radiograph



Current distribution



Negligible effect of anode cloth hydrophobicity (Power generation: 49 % left partition, 51 % right partition) current [mA]





Hydrophobicity of Anode GDL

Operating Conditions : 70 °C, 150 mA/cm², $\lambda_{Air} = \lambda_{Methanol} = 8$

Neutron radiograph

Current distribution



Negligible effect of anode cloth hydrophobicity (Power generation: 49 % left partition, 51 % right partition)





Hydrophobicity of Anode GDL

Operating Conditions: 70 °C, 300 mA/cm², λ

$$\mathbf{A}_{Air} = \mathbf{\lambda}_{Methanol} = 4$$

Current distribution

Neutron radiograph



Negligible effect of anode cloth hydrophobicity (Power generation: **50** % left partition, **50** % right partition)





Hydrophobicity of Cathode GDL

Operating Conditions: 70 °C, 50 mA/cm²,

$$\lambda_{Air} = \lambda_{Methanol} = 24$$

Neutron radiograph



Current distribution



Significant effect of cathode cloth hydrophobicity (Power generation: 41 % left partition, 59 % right partition)





Hydrophobicity of Cathode GDL

Operating Conditions : 70 °C, 150 mA/cm², λ_{Air}

$$\mathbf{\lambda}_{Air} = \mathbf{\lambda}_{Methanol} = 8$$

Neutron radiograph



Current distribution



Significant effect of cathode cloth hydrophobicity (Power generation: 41 % left partition, 59 % right partition)





Hydrophobicity of Cathode GDL

Operating Conditions : 70 °C, 300 mA/cm², $\lambda_{Air} = \lambda_{Methanol} = 4$

Neutron radiograph



Current distribution



Significant effect of cathode cloth hydrophobicity (Power generation: 38 % left partition, 62 % right partition)





Conclusion

Combined current distribution measurements and neutron radiography

- suitable tool to study different operating conditions
- useful hints for DMFC development and operation

Influence of Current Density

· correlation of water content in cathode channels and current density

Bi-functional Operation

visual verification

Hydrophobicity of GDL

- anode cloth hydrophobicity negligible
- cathode cloth hydrophobicity significant





Acknowledgements

W. Lehnert, J. Mergel

Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Institute of Energy Research, IEF-3: Fuel Cells, 52425 Jülich, Germany

T. Sanders, T. Baumhöfer

Institute for Power Electronics and Electrical Drives (ISEA), RWTH Aachen University, 52066 Aachen, Germany

I. Manke, N. Kardjilov, A. Hilger, J. Schloesser, S. Petrov Helmholtz Centre Berlin (Hahn-Meitner-Institute), SF3, Glienicker Str. 100, 14109 Berlin, Germany

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of this project (Grant No. 03SF0324) by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF)





References

A. A. Kulikovsky, H. Schmitz, K. Wippermann, J. Mergel,
B. Fricke, T. Sanders, D. U. Sauer, DMFC: Galvanic or electrolytic cell?,
Electrochemistry Communications 8 (2006) 754–760

A. A. Kulikovsky, H. Schmitz, K. Wippermann, J. Mergel,

B. Fricke, T. Sanders, D. U. Sauer, Bifunctional activation of a direct methanol fuel cell, Journal of Power Sources 173 (2007) 420–423

A. A. Kulikovsky, Direct methanol–hydrogen fuel cell: The mechanism of functioning, Electrochemistry Communications 10 (2008) 1415–1418

A. Schröder, K. Wippermann, J. Mergel, W. Lehnert, D. Stolten, T. Sanders,
T. Baumhöfer, D. U. Sauer, I. Manke, N. Kardjilov, A. Hilger, J. Schloesser, J. Banhart,
C. Hartnig, Combined local current distribution measurements and high resolution neutron radiography of operating Direct Methanol Fuel Cells,
Electrochemistry Communications doi:10.1016/j.elecom.2009.06.008





Diagnostic Tools for Fuel Cell Technologies – Future issues

Nuclear technologies:

- Enhanced use of test cell designs close to reality (e.g. stack operation), including scale-up of cell & PCB design
- Special focus on water/gas management in gas diffusion layers (enhanced use of high resolution techniques)
- Further improvement of test cell & components regarding spatial & temporal resolution

General

- Broad approach concerning analytical tools and dimension of system (from nm to m)
- Enhanced use of locally resolved techniques
- Enhanced use of combined *in situ* techniques
- Adaptation of existing analytical tools for fuel cell diagnostics





Thank You for Your Attention

