



Thermodynamic cycle and heat management for packed bed CLC-based large scale power plants

V. Spallina, M.C. Romano, P. Chiesa, G. Lozza

CCS Conference 2013 - 28-29th May 2013 - Antwerp (BE)









- + No solids entrainment → simplified high temperature filtration before gas turbine
- Dynamic non-homogeneous system with high temperature gradients → coupling with turbomachines can be challenging
- Need of high temperature switching valves
- Operations with different sequential stages with different durations → many parallel reactors may be needed, influencing cost and footprint





Ilmenite has been selected as oxygen carrier (natural oxygen carrier)

- CO conversion is very slow for T lower than 750°C
- H₂ oxidation with syngas is very fast for T higher than 450°C
- Reduction reaction is slightly endothermic (ΔT is about 10°C)
- Maximum temperature = 1200°C, suitable for power generation



Thermal profile inside the reactor (oxidation + heat removal)



Reactor length (axial position)

temperature

t1 t2 t3

Temperature at reactor outlet

Time



Reaction front faster than the heat front:

- → after oxidation, heat is stored inside the reactor
- → heat removal phase needed, producing high temperature gas suitable for expansion in a turbine
- → after heat removal, bed at the temperature of the inlet gas



t4 1

Heat management – simple configuration





Heat management – improved configuration



POLITECNICO DI MILANO



Reactors are operated with the following strategies:

- Oxidation phase: it stops when the reaction front reaches the end of the reactor
- <u>Purge phase</u>: O₂ is removed from the reactor with N₂
- <u>Reduction phase</u>: syngas is fed to the reactor when the bed is at the maximum temperature level
- <u>Heat Removal phase</u>: N₂ the highest part of heat is still stored in the bed after reduction and the maximum temperature has not changed significantly (-10°C)



Complete cycle simulated with 1D model developed at TU/e

S. Noorman S, M. van Sint Annaland, H. Kuipers, Packed bed reactor technology for chemical-looping combustion. Ind Eng Chem Res 2007;46:4212-20.





POLITECNICO DI MILANO







B.1 strategy (co-current reduction):

high temperature of CO₂ from reduction stage



V. Spallina, F. Gallucci, M.C. Romano, P. Chiesa, G. Lozza, M. van Sint'Annaland, Investigation of heat management for CLC of syngas in packed bed reactors, Chem. Eng. J., Volume 225, June 2013, Pages 174–191.



B.2 strategy (counter current reduction):

Lower temperature of CO₂ from reduction stage



V. Spallina, F. Gallucci, M.C. Romano, P. Chiesa, G. Lozza, M. van Sint'Annaland, Investigation of heat management for CLC of syngas in packed bed reactors, Chem. Eng. J., Volume 225, June 2013, Pages 174–191.

Assumptions for power plants calculation

- Gasification based on entrained flow, oxygen-blown, dry-feed Shell Gasifier:
 - ✓ Gasifier temperature/pressure = 1450°C, 44 bar
 - ✓ Coal feeding in Lock Hoppers with recirculated CO_2
 - \checkmark O₂ purity = 95%
 - ✓ Recycle gas quenching = $900^{\circ}C$
- H₂S Removal with MDEA chemical absorption
- Power island:
 - ✓ TIT = 1200°C
 - \checkmark $\beta_{comp} = 16.5$
 - ✓ 3 pressures (144/36/4 bar, 565/565°C) heat recovery steam cycle

✓ $p_{cond} = 0.048$ bar

 Other main assumptions from EBTF report on CO₂ capture technology (D4.9 European best practice guidelines for assessment of CO₂ capture technologies CAESAR project)





<u>GS code</u>:

- Modular structure: very complex schemes can be reproduced by assembling basic modules
- Efficiency of turbomachines evaluated by built-in correlations accounting for operating conditions and machine size
- Stage-by-stage calculation of steam and gas turbines
- Sophisticated model for the calculation of expansion in cooled gas turbine stages
- Chemical equilibrium
- Thermodynamic properties of gases → NASA polynomials
- Thermodynamic properties of water/steam \rightarrow IAPWS-IF97

http://www.gecos.polimi.it/software/gs.php

Aspen Plus:

CO₂ compression



Integrated Gasification CLC Combined Cycle





REFERENCE PLANTS

Power balance, MW _e	IG-CLC-B1	IG-CLC-B2	IGCC	IGCC+Selexol
CO ₂ capture	Υ	Υ	Ν	Υ
Gas Turbine Cycle, MW _e	174.6	212.9	309.4	322.5
Steam Cycle, MW _e	249.0	205.4	190	179.9
Gasification*, MW _e	-38.5	-38.5	-45.4	-52.1
CO ₂ capture island + AGR, MW _e	-17.7	-17.7	-0.4	-39.3
Packed Bed Reactors Aux., MW_e	-3.1	-3.1	-	-
N_2 to GT compression, MW _e	-	-	-34.6	-20.4
Other Auxiliar., MW _e	-5.9	-3.05	-2.5	-3.3
Net Power, MW _e	358.4	355.9	421.0	387.1
Thermal Input, MW _{LHV}	896.5	896.5	896.5	1033.1
Net efficiency, % _{coal LHV}	40.0	39.7	47.0	37.5
CO ₂ emission, kg/MWh _e	18.1	18.2	677.9	97.6
CO ₂ avoided, %	97.5	97.5	-	87.1
SPECCA ^{**} , MJ _{LHV} /kg _{CO2}	1.9	2.13	-	3.46

* ASU, coal milling, ash handling, recycling syngas blower, etc...

** SPECCA = specific primary energy consumptions for CO₂ avoided

V. Spallina, M. C. Romano, P. Chiesa, G. Lozza, Integration of coal gasification and packed bed CLC process for high efficiency and near-zero emission power generation GHGT-11 (18-22 Nov. 2012 – Kyoto (Japan)





Thank You



Acknowledgements

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement n° 268112