Environmental interactions of seaweed cultivation

Dr Adrian Macleod (SAMS), Dr Christian Sahlmann (NMBU), Dr Iona Campbell (SAMS), Luiza Neves (SES), Prof Margareth Øverland (NMBU) and Prof Michele Stanley (SAMS)

adrian.macleod@sams.ac.uk The Scottish Association for Marine Science 4th April 2017, SIG Seaweed workshop



https://www.nmbu.no/fakultet/biovit/om/institutt/iha/ forskning/biofeed At present the challenge is to increase productivity at cultivation sites through:

 More complete understanding of the biological conditions that maximise growth

Selection of high yield strains

Designing cultivation systems that automate seeding, harvesting and storing of products



Why consider the environment interactions?



What are the possible environmental interactions?



Deployment of growing structures **Pollution Entanglement risk Alterations to hydrodynamic regimes**





Review of impact pathways

Change	Mitigation options	Monitoring options
Pollution	Good farm design and management	Reporting
Entanglement risk	Good sites selection. Siting projects away from sensitive areas. Farm design	Reporting
Alteration of hydrodynamic regimes	Good site selection. Modelling at a strategic level.	Monitoring of local hydrodynamics
Absorption of nutrients (Nitrogen)	Good sites selection. Siting projects in enriched areas (e.g. IMTA). Modelling at a strategic level.	Ecosystem monitoring
Shading effects	Good site selection. Siting projects away from sensitive areas	Ecosystem monitoring
Genetic depression	Provision of seed sourced in a way that maintains the genetic diversity of wild populations and crops.	Monitoring of wild population genetic diversity
Release of DIM, DOM, POM	Good site selection through ecosystem modelling	Ecosystem monitoring
Absorption of Carbon	_	Ecosystem monitoring
Habitat creation	Good site selection	Ecosystem monitoring
Habitat for invasive species	Biosecurity measures	Monitoring for invasive non-native species
Habitat for disease	Biosecurity measures	Monitoring for disease

Null hypothesis testing in impact assessment

Detecting a change where there is no change (type 1 error)

<u>Not</u> detecting a change where there is change (type 2 error)

There is a requirement to move away from null hypothesis testing based on 'no environmental change' and agree threshold limits of change which are 'acceptable' (e.g. level of nitrogen removal under differing scenarios).



How might cultivation practices develop in a European context?

What are the current policies governing cultivation?



Riaghaltas na h-Alba gov.scot

Seaweed Cultivation Policy Statement

http://www.gov.scot/Publications/2017/03/1340

Scale

Small-medium (0-50 x 200m lines)

The Scottish Strategic Environmental Report indicated that there is likely to be limited environmental impact from smaller sites, but potential negative environmental impacts from larger sites of 30-100 200m lines. Such farms will be required to demonstrate mitigation measures, particularly in relation to sensitive areas. (Policies: 1-6)



Scale

Large (>50 x 200m lines)

This scale refers to larger sites that may utilize different equipment to that used in shellfish production. Such sites would have the potential for development for biofuel production.



Scottish seaweed policy statement

Policy 1 - In principle, the Scottish government is supportive of small-medium farm seaweed cultivation, subject to regulatory consideration; the General Policies set out in Chapter 4 of Scotland's' National Marine Plan; and any other relevant policies within that Plan. Applications for such seaweed farms should demonstrate that mitigation measures have been considered to prevent adverse environmental impacts, and set out how these will be delivered.

Policy 2 – Only species native to the area where seaweed cultivation will take place should be cultivated, to minimise the risk from non-native species.

Policy 3 – Where seaweed is grown for human consumption, cultivators should site farms away from sewage outfalls and other potential sources of pollution.

Policy 4 – Equipment used in seaweed cultivation should be fit for purpose to withstand damage from adverse weather conditions.

Policy 5 - Other marine users and activities should be considered in the siting of farms.

Policy 6 – Small-medium size farming is unlikely to be spatially limited, and may be located anywhere in Scotland, subject to agreement and appropriate local conditions.

Policy 7 – The Scottish Government is supportive of IMTA.

Scottish seaweed policy statement

Policy 1 – Projects must demonstrate with a degree of certainty that proposals will not result environmental changes which could be considered 'significant' under the laws of that country.

Policy 2 – Non-native species should not be cultivated

Policy 3 –Seaweed should be grown in clean water

Policy 4 – Projects should be well managed and demonstrate good practice

Policy 5 - Other marine users and activities should be considered in the siting of farms.

Policy 6 – Small-medium size farming is unlikely to be spatially limited, and may be located anywhere in Scotland, subject to agreement and appropriate local conditions.

Policy 7 –IMTA should become a primary focus

Final thoughts

Develop tools that are industry specific

Set reasonable limits for environmental change
Grow seaweed!

Generate understanding electriciple changes



Environmental impact of the SAMS seaweed farm

- Sites chosen based on original impact assessment
- Sampled 3 times before & after harvest (June, Aug & Sept)
- Sediment (sectioned 8cm cores) and water (T, M, B) samples collected
 - Water: Nutrients, oxygen, DOC/POC, chlorophyll
 - Sediment: geochem (particle size, chlorophyll, TOC), macrofauna (Grab), microbial
- Go pro & CTD



Agreeing limits!



Wilding et al 2017. Renewable and Sustainable reviews 74 848-859



Currently utilising a single 100x100m grid with the capacity for 2.4 kilometre of line. We would like to expand our site by deploying a second larger grid whilst increasing stocking densities

Trailing different growing systems



Nets suspended from a HDPE pipe (SDR11-90mm) to provide floatation and a suitable vessel lifting point during harvest. *Alaria esculenta* and *Saccharina latisima* were seeded on one net of each mesh size.

Optimising growing conditions



Seeding time and coppicing experimental lines with 1 m test section.





There is a need to clearly articulate the benefits of this type of aquaculture whilst ensuring management is proportionate to the risks.



There is a need to clearly articulate the benefits of this type of aquaculture whilst ensuring management is proportionate to the risks.

This work will identify impactpathways which should be given particular attention whilst the industry develops.



There is a need to clearly articulate the benefits of this type of aquaculture whilst ensuring management is proportionate to the risks.

This work will identify impactpathways which should be given particular attention whilst the industry develops.

Monitoring efforts need to be strategic and acceptable limits of change agreed.

Key messages

• Develop tools that are industry specific

Set reasonable limits for environmental change
 Grow seaweed!

Generale understanding of publicity et in parts





Horizon 2020





European Commission













Department of Communications, Energy and Natural Resources Roinn Cumarsáide, Fuinnimh agus Acmhainní Nádúrtha

www.macrofuels.eu

adrian.macleod@sams.ac.uk 01631559450





EUROPE & SCOTLAND European Regional Development Fund Investing in your Future



What are the environmental interactions of seaweed cultivation?