DNTNU

Characterization and Performance of Pd/CeO₂ Catalysts as a Powder in Fixed-bed

Reactor and as a Coating in a Stacked Foil Microreactor for the Methanol Synthesis

- Xuyen Kim Phan¹, John Walmsley², Hamidreza Bakhtiary-Davijany¹, Rune Myrstad², Peter Pfeifer³, Anders Holmen¹ and Hilde J. Venvik¹
- 1. Department of Chemical Engineering, Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Sem Sælands vei 4, N-7491, Trondheim, Norway
- 2. SINTEF Materials and Chemistry, Sem Selands vei 2A, N-7465 Trondheim, Norway
- 3. Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institute for Micro Process Engineering, D-76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany

Introduction

Solution for Remote Natural Gas Fields or Biofuels?



Microstructured reactors have recently been prop tives to the sea conventional reactor technology in compact applications due to their heat and mass transfer properties

Objectives

- Explore the properties of Pd-based catalyst coatings for methanol synthesis in microstructured reactors
- Reaction mechanism over Pd to facilitate unconventional synthesis gas [1]
- · High activity potential with particular supports [2] ?

Results and discussion

Pd/CeO₂ catalyst activity and stability



Figure 2: CO conversion and rate of $\rm CH_3OH$ (a) and $\rm CH_4$ by product (b) formation with time on stream (TOS) for Pd/CeO₂ powder catalyst (FBR) and Pd/CeO₂ foil coating in SFMR. T = 300 °C, contact time W/F = 110 [msrg_{ed}/cm³], pressure 80 bar and syngas composition H₂/CO/CO₂/N₂ = 65/25/5/5.

The Pd/CeO₂ catalysts show extremely high initial activity but deactivate significantly

Significantly higher Pd dispersion of Pd/CeO2 powder than of Pd/CeO2 foil coating

The authors acknowledge the partners; Statoil, UOP, Aker Kværner, DNV, and Bayer Gas for support.

Transition from high S_{CH4} and low S_{CH3OH} to decreased CH₄ formation and improved S_{CH3OH} upon stabilization.

Effects attributed to the Pd nanoparticles being covered by a ceria during the preparation and subsequent reduction [6]

Activity of Pd/CeO₂ foil coating (sol-gel synthesis) substantially better than of Pd/CeO₂ particles (deposition-precipitation)

This publication forms a part of the Remote Gas project, performed under the Research Council of Norway PETROMAKS program (168223/S30).



Figure 3: XRD diffractograms (a) of the CeO₂ support and the Pd/CeO₂ powders before and after testing in FBR and bright-field TEM micrographs (b) of the fresh Pd/CeO₂ powder at different magnifications.



Figure 4: Dark-field STEM micrograph (a) and complementary EDS Ce (b), Pd (c), and O (d) mapping of the fresh (left panels) and used (right panels) Pd/CeO2 powder catalyst

Sner [m²/g]^a d_{pore} [nm]^b Pore volume [cm³/g]^b D [%]^c dp [n 21 0.17 14 0.183 area. size and pore volume ca calculated from CO che calculated by using BJH chemisorption at 313 K.

Figure 5: BET and chemisoption data



Figure 7: SEM pictures of the fresh (a-b) and the used (c-d) Pd/CeO_2 coated foil at 10^4 - 10^5 magnification.

Preparation of Pd/CeO₂ catalysts: 10 wt% Pd/CeO₂ foil coatings:

CeO₂ sol-gel prepared from cerium ammonium nitrate²,

EuropaCat Glasgow, Scotland

28 August - 2 Sept 2011

- CeO2 sol-gel dripped uniformly over microchannels, followed by
- drying (70 °C, overnight) and calcination (500 °C, 5 h, air). CeO₂ layer dripped with PdCl₂ solution until 10 wt. %, dried and
- 10 wt% Pd/CeO2 50-120 µm catalyst particles:
- Deposition precipitation, using CeO₂ nanopowder (Sigma Aldrich, d < 25 nm) and PdCl₂ solution as precursors.

Characterization

- S_{BET} by N₂ adsorption (-196 °C Micromeretics Tristar 3000).

- TEM (JEOL 2010F, 200 kV) and TEM EDS (Oxford Instruments INCA)

Pd/CeO₂ foil coating characterization



Figure 6: SEM pictures of the fresh Pd/CeO₂ coated foil at low (a) and high (b) magnification.



References

[1] M.L. Poutsma, L.F. Elek, P.A. Ibarbia, A.P. Risch and J.A. Rabo, M.L. POUSING, L.P. EleK, F.A. Darlola, A.P. Kisch and J.F. J. Catal., 52 (1978) 157.
W.J. Shen, Y. Ichihashi, M. Okumura and Y. Matsumura, Catal. Lett., 64 (2000) 23.

[3] W.-J. Shen, Y. Ichihashi and Y. Matsumura, Catal. Lett., 79 (2002) 125.

- [4] X. K. Phan, H. D. Bakhtiary, R. Myrstad, J. Thormann, P. Pfeifer, H. J. Venvik, A Holmen, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 49 (2010) 10934.
- [5] N. Ozer, J. P. Cronin, S. Akyuz, in Switchable Materials and Flat Panel Displays; SPIE: Denver, CO, 1999; p 103.

[6] A. Trovarelli, Catal. Rev. - Sci. Eng., 38 (1996) 439



DNTNU

Acknowledgements

Conclusions









Catalysis Group - SINTEF - NTNU

Reactors and reaction conditions 14 structured and coated foils stacked and sealed inside alloy 800 housing graphite (Frentzelit) [4]



Figure 1: The stacked foil microreactor (SFMR) operation (a), opened (b)

- SS tube fixed-bed reactor (FBR, Ф=10mm). Reduction at 1 bar and 300 °C in 10 vol.% H₂ in N₂.
- Methanol synthesis at 220-350 °C and 80 bar $(H_2/CO/CO_2/N_2 = 65/25/5/5)$

3 calcined as before

- XRD Bruker AXS D8 Focus (CuKα, 20-90°, 0.03° step, 0.6s/step. Volumetric CO chemisorption at (313 K) of prereduced sample
- D, %, calculated assuming Pd/CO=1
- SEM (Zeiss Ultra 20 kV) and SEM EDS (Bruker Quantex).

Pd/CeO₂ powder catalyst characterization

