Pipe networks: coupling constants in a junction for the isentropic Euler equations 3rd Trondheim Gas Technology Conference

4-5 June 2014

Alexandre Morin, Gunhild A. Reigstad SINTEF Energy Research 2014-06-04



Introduction

- Heat exchangers with parallel channels
 - Pressure drop dependent on phase composition
 - May result in wrong flow distribution
 - May cause instabilities
- Need to model junctions dynamically
 - F.ex. main inlet pipe to tubes
 - Repartition of mass flow in each pipe

U-tube heat exchanger





Shell-tube heat exchangers Wikimedia commons



Overview

- Numerical modelling of flow in pipes
- The model: Isentropic Euler equations
- The Riemann problem
 - Mathematical notions
 - Coupling of the pipes through the generalised Riemann problem
 - The right coupling condition
- Physical interpretation
- Numerical examples
 - Entropy condition at the junction
 - Conservation of energy in junctions



Modelling of flow in pipes

One-dimensional models





Modelling of flow in pipes

- One-dimensional models
- Finite-volume method





Modelling of flow in pipes

- One-dimensional models
- Finite-volume method
- Boundary conditions with ghost cells





Junctions

• Several pipe connected together





Junctions

- Several pipe connected together
- How to represent a junction?
 - Pipes: one-dimensional models
 - Junction: multi-dimensional flow





.

Junctions

- Several pipe connected together
- How to represent a junction?
 - Pipes: one-dimensional models
 - Junction: multi-dimensional flow
- Describe the junction with ghost cells
 - Solve the pipes as independent domains
 - Set the ghost cells
- The junction has no volume

	<u></u>
	x
x	



The model

Isentropic Euler equations

- Conservation of mass:
- Conservation of momentum:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\rho v) = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial \rho v}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\rho v^{2} + p) = 0$$

Equation of state for isentropic flow

$$p = k\rho^{\gamma}$$
 (then, $s(x, t) = \text{const}$)

In quasilinear form $\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + A \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} = 0$

$$\boldsymbol{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ \rho \nu \end{pmatrix}$$
, $\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ a^2 - \nu^2 & 2\nu \end{pmatrix}$ where $a^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho} \end{pmatrix}_s = \frac{\gamma p}{\rho}$



• Eigenvalues of the Jacobian

v-a, v+a

with eigenvectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1\\ v-a \end{pmatrix}$$
 , $\begin{pmatrix} 1\\ v+a \end{pmatrix}$





• Eigenvalues of the Jacobian

v - a, v + a

with eigenvectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1\\ v-a \end{pmatrix}$$
, $\begin{pmatrix} 1\\ v+a \end{pmatrix}$





• Eigenvalues of the Jacobian

v - a, v + a

with eigenvectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1\\ v-a \end{pmatrix}$$
, $\begin{pmatrix} 1\\ v+a \end{pmatrix}$





• Eigenvalues of the Jacobian

v-a, v+a

with eigenvectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1\\ v-a \end{pmatrix}$$
, $\begin{pmatrix} 1\\ v+a \end{pmatrix}$





• Eigenvalues of the Jacobian

v-a, v+a

with eigenvectors

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1\\ v-a \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 1\\ v+a \end{pmatrix}$

- Shock or rarefaction wave
- The star-state U*
 - Related to U_L and U_R through the wave of family 1 and 2, respectively





Equations for the waves of the second family

$$\begin{split} & \boldsymbol{\nu^{*}}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho^{*}};\boldsymbol{\rho_{R}},\boldsymbol{\nu_{R}}\right)_{\mathsf{R2}} = \boldsymbol{\nu_{R}} \\ & + \frac{2\sqrt{\gamma k}}{\gamma-1}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho^{*}}\frac{\gamma-1}{2} - \boldsymbol{\rho_{R}}\frac{\gamma-1}{2}\right), \; \boldsymbol{0} < \boldsymbol{\rho^{*}} \leq \boldsymbol{\rho_{R}} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{v}^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{*};\boldsymbol{\rho}_{R},\boldsymbol{v}_{R}\right)_{\mathsf{S2}} &= \boldsymbol{v}_{R} \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{k\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{*}-\boldsymbol{\rho}_{R}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\rho}^{*}\boldsymbol{Y}-\boldsymbol{\rho}_{R}\boldsymbol{Y}\right)}{\boldsymbol{\rho}^{*}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{R}}}, \; \boldsymbol{\rho}^{*} > \boldsymbol{\rho}_{R} \end{split}$$

Hugoniot Locus: points connected by a curve separated by one wave







Pipe initialised with a Riemann problem





After evolution. At the initial discontinuity, the U^* -state





- Pipe cut in two at the initial discontinuity,
 - U^* as initial value in the boundary cells.
- The same waves propagate to the left and to the right as in the whole pipe.
- The two half-pipes are coupled using the U^* -state.



- We can couple 2 pipes and get the same behaviour as if we had a single pipe.
 - U^* -state is the only information needed to couple them
- Can we find a U*-state for more than 2 pipes?



- We can couple 2 pipes and get the same behaviour as if we had a single pipe.
 - U^* -state is the only information needed to couple them
- Can we find a U*-state for more than 2 pipes?
 - Yes, but slightly more complicated



- Each pipe section has its own U_k^* -state,
- following the conditions:





- Each pipe section has its own U_k^* -state,
- following the conditions:
 - Each U_k^* is related to the initial U_k in the k^{th} section
 - ⇒ Wave equation (positive speed or stationary)





- Each pipe section has its own U_k^* -state,
- following the conditions:
 - Each U_k^* is related to the initial U_k in the k^{th} section
 - ⇒ Wave equation (positive speed or stationary)
 - U_1^*, \ldots, U_N^* are related together
 - ⇒ Junction condition





- Each pipe section has its own U_k^* -state,
- following the conditions:
 - Each U^{*}_k is related to the initial U_k in the kth section
 - ⇒ Wave equation (positive speed or stationary)
 - U_1^*, \ldots, U_N^* are related together
 - \Rightarrow Junction condition
- Reminder: the junction has no volume





Two conditions are needed to close the system



Two conditions are needed to close the system

Conservation of mass is an obvious condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} \left(A_k \rho_k^* \nu_k^* \right) = 0$$



Two conditions are needed to close the system

Conservation of mass is an obvious condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} \left(A_k \rho_k^* \nu_k^* \right) = 0$$

- What about momentum?
 - It is a vector quantity
 - Conserved as a scalar in 1D-models
 - Conserved as a vector in 3D



Two conditions are needed to close the system

Conservation of mass is an obvious condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} \left(A_k \rho_k^* \nu_k^* \right) = 0$$

- What about momentum?
 - It is a vector quantity
 - Conserved as a scalar in 1D-models
 - Conserved as a vector in 3D
 - Junctions are 3D objects



Momentum condition expressed as a coupling constant For all k, $\mathcal{H}\left(\rho_{k}^{*}, v_{k}^{*}\right) = \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$

- The quantity $\mathcal{H}\left(
 ho_{k}^{*},
 u_{k}^{*}
 ight)$, function of the U_{k}^{*} -state,
- is equal to a unique $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ for all the pipe sections.
- It is called the coupling constant.



Momentum condition expressed as a coupling constant For all k, $\mathcal{H}\left(\rho_{k}^{*}, v_{k}^{*}\right) = \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$

- The quantity $\mathcal{H}\left(
 ho_{k}^{*},
 u_{k}^{*}
 ight)$, function of the U_{k}^{*} -state,
- is equal to a unique $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ for all the pipe sections.
- It is called the coupling constant.

What should be the coupled quantity $\mathcal{H}(\rho, \nu)$?

• The pressure?

 $\mathcal{H}_p(\rho,\nu)=p=k\rho^\gamma$



Momentum condition expressed as a coupling constant For all k, $\mathcal{H}\left(\rho_{k}^{*}, v_{k}^{*}\right) = \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$

- The quantity $\mathcal{H}\left(
 ho_{k}^{*},
 u_{k}^{*}
 ight)$, function of the U_{k}^{*} -state,
- is equal to a unique $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ for all the pipe sections.
- It is called the coupling constant.

What should be the coupled quantity $\mathcal{H}(\rho, \nu)$?

• The pressure?

$$\mathcal{H}_p(\rho, v) = p = k \rho^{\gamma}$$
 X



Momentum condition expressed as a coupling constant For all k, $\mathcal{H}\left(\rho_{k}^{*}, v_{k}^{*}\right) = \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$

- The quantity $\mathcal{H}\left(
 ho_{k}^{*},
 u_{k}^{*}
 ight)$, function of the U_{k}^{*} -state,
- is equal to a unique $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ for all the pipe sections.
- It is called the coupling constant.

What should be the coupled quantity $\mathcal{H}(\rho, \nu)$?

The pressure?

$$\mathcal{H}_p(\rho, \nu) = p = k \rho^{\gamma} \qquad \bigstar$$

• The momentum flux? (Conservation of momentum)

$$\mathcal{H}_{MF}(\rho,\nu)=\rho\nu^2+p=\rho\nu^2+k\rho^\gamma$$



Momentum condition expressed as a coupling constant For all k, $\mathcal{H}\left(\rho_{k}^{*}, v_{k}^{*}\right) = \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$

- The quantity $\mathcal{H}\left(
 ho_{k}^{*},
 u_{k}^{*}
 ight)$, function of the U_{k}^{*} -state,
- is equal to a unique $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ for all the pipe sections.
- It is called the coupling constant.

What should be the coupled quantity $\mathcal{H}(\rho, \nu)$?

The pressure?

$$\mathcal{H}_p(\rho, \nu) = p = k \rho^{\gamma} \qquad \bigstar$$

• The momentum flux? (Conservation of momentum)

$$\mathcal{H}_{MF}(\rho,\nu) = \rho\nu^2 + p = \rho\nu^2 + k\rho^{\gamma}$$



Momentum is a vector quantity

- If the flow derives from a scalar potential field, it is possible to return to a scalar coupling condition
- The Bernoulli invariant is the scalar potential
- It is the stagnation enthalpy $h + \frac{1}{2}v^2$



Momentum is a vector quantity

- If the flow derives from a scalar potential field, it is possible to return to a scalar coupling condition
- The Bernoulli invariant is the scalar potential
- It is the stagnation enthalpy $h + \frac{1}{2}v^2$

In term of coupled quantity $\mathcal{H}\left(\rho,\nu\right)$

$$\mathcal{H}_{BI}(\rho, \nu) = h + \frac{1}{2}\nu^2 = \frac{k\gamma}{\gamma - 1}\rho^{\gamma - 1} + \frac{1}{2}\nu^2$$

- The flow is virtually brought to a rest at the junction
- It then flows in the pipe sections from the stagnation state, independently of the other sections



Momentum is a vector quantity

- If the flow derives from a scalar potential field, it is possible to return to a scalar coupling condition
- The Bernoulli invariant is the scalar potential
- It is the stagnation enthalpy $h + \frac{1}{2}v^2$

In term of coupled quantity $\mathcal{H}(\rho, v)$

$$\mathcal{H}_{BI}(\rho, \nu) = h + \frac{1}{2}\nu^2 = \frac{k\gamma}{\gamma - 1}\rho^{\gamma - 1} + \frac{1}{2}\nu^2 \qquad \checkmark$$

- The flow is virtually brought to a rest at the junction
- It then flows in the pipe sections from the stagnation state, independently of the other sections



To summarise

- Need to find *the* stagnation enthalpy (identical for all U^{*}_k)
- Such that, in each pipe section k, U^{*}_k and U_k are related by the relevant wave equation
- One stagnation enthalpy, N different $U_k^* = \left(\rho_k^*, u_k^*\right)$





Numerical results

• Examples of why the Bernoulli-based coupling is right

- Entropy condition at an isolated junction
- Energy in a closed system
- Simulated with a Roe scheme





Entropy condition in a junction

- Three pipe sections
 - Junction at one end
 - Extrapolation at the other end (infinite pipe)
- Initialised with v_3 either 0 m/s or 50 m/s.
- The junction reaches steady state



Initial conditions

	Pressure (bar)	Velocity (m/s)
Section 1	1	0
Section 2	1.5	0
Section 3	1.4	v_3



Entropy condition in a junction

Entropy condition

$$\sigma_{\rm J} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} A_k \rho_k^* v_k^* \left(h_k^* + \frac{1}{2} {v_k^*}^2 \right) \le 0$$

Value of the entropy condition at steady state

	Equal pressure	Momentum flux	Stagnation enthalpy
$v_3 = 0 m/s$	$1.1 imes 10^5 \mathrm{J/s}$	$-8.2 imes10^4\mathrm{J/s}$	$\approx 0 J/s$
$v_3 = 50 m/s$	$-6.6\times10^4J/s$	$9.8 \times 10^4 J/s$	pprox 0 J/s



Energy balance in a closed system



- Three pipe sections
 - Junctions at each end
- The system's energy content is followed
 - Should decrease, because shocks dissipate energy

Initial conditions

	Pressure	Velocity
	(bar)	(m/s)
Section 1	1	0
Section 2	1.5	0
Section 3	1.4	0



Energy balance in a closed system



Evolution of the total energy content of the system

⇒ Wrong coupling constants in the junctions cause a non-physical production of energy.



Summary

- Using the wrong coupling quantity breaks the laws of physics
 - In particular, energy conservation
- Rather theoretical derivation, proved for isentropic Euler equations
- Coupling multiphase flow models with real thermodynamics
 - Physical interpretation hints that stagnation enthalpy should play a role
- Energy is a scalar quantity: same conservation principle as mass?

Proofs in:

Gunhild Allard Reigstad, *Mathematical modelling of fluid flows in pipe networks*, Doctoral theses at NTNU, 2014:120



Acknowledgment

This publication is based on results from the research project Enabling low emission LNG systems, performed under the Petromaks program. The author(s) acknowledge the project partners; Statoil and GDF SUEZ, and the Research Council of Norway (193062/S60) for support.

