An Experimental Study of CO₂ Exsolution and Relative Permeability

Lin Zuo, Samuel Krevor, Ronny Pini, Sally M. Benson

Department of Energy Resource Engineering, Stanford University

Ronald W. Falta

Department of Environmental Engineering and Earth Sciences, Clemson University



*This research is funded by U.S. EPA – Science To Achieve Results (STAR) Program. Grant #: 834383 and the Global Climate and Energy Project

Motivation

- Dissolution trapping is generally viewed as favorable trapping mechanism
- But, what are the risk of dissolution trapping?
- \Box CO₂ saturated brine
 - Brine migration through the seal
 - $\implies \text{Exsolution of CO}_2 \text{ as the} \\ \text{pressure decreases creates} \\ \text{separate phase CO}_2 \\ \end{tabular}$





Simulated Results of Pumping a Shallow Aquifer



TOUGH2-ECO2N simulation of the effects of groundwater extraction on brine migration and CO_2 exsolution.

Analog from oil production

Solution Gas Drive



- Insights from Petroleum Industry
 - \Rightarrow critical gas saturation (1% ~ 40%)
 - > intermittent gas flow
 - \Rightarrow low gas mobility

Low gas mobility hypothesized to result from high oil viscosity.



Nucleation, growth, migration and breakup of gas bubbles

R. Bora, B.B. Maini, A. Chakma: "Flow Visualization Studies of Solution Gas Drive Process in Heavy Oil Reservoirs Using a Glass Micromodel". SPE Reservoir Eval. & Eng. **3**(3), June 2000.

Objective



Questions:

- Can we observe the evolution of an exsolved CO₂ phase?
- What are the flow properties of exsolved CO₂ phase?
- What is the fate of exsolved CO₂?

Approach:

- Conduct core-scale exsolution experiments with a CT scanner
- Calculate relative permeability curves for exsolved CO₂ phase and water



Experimental Procedure

- Pre-equilibrate water and CO₂ at storage reservoir pressure and temperature (12.4 MPa and 50°C)
- 2. Inject pre-equilibrated fluid into water saturated rock
- 3. Extract fluid at a series of constant volumetric flow rates while measuring pressure upstream and downstream of the core
- Measure saturation using X-Ray CT scans of the core periodically (10 replicates at each location)
- 5. Calculate flow rate of water and CO_2 from mass balance based on S, P and T data.



Two experiments were conducted on a Berea sandstone (963mD), exp #3 and exp #4, and one was conducted on a Mount Simon sandstone (15mD), exp #5

Typical Pressure Data Set





Pore pressure versus average CO₂ saturation during depressurization

Relative Permeability Calculation

Assume: 1D problem

Constant pressure drop

Uniform density

Uniform saturation



 $k_{r_w} = \frac{\mu_w L q_w}{2Ak\Lambda p}$ and $k_{r_g} = \frac{\mu_g L q_g}{2Ak\Lambda p}$ where $\Delta p = pressure \ drop \ (Pa)$ $\mu = vis \cos ity (Pa - s)$ q = volumetric flow rate (m³/s) $A = Area(m^2)$ $k = permeability(m^2)$ L = length(m)





Relative Permeability Curve



Relative Permeability Curves for the Berea Sandstone

Comparison to Drainage Relative Permeability Curve



Why is the relative permeability of exsolved CO_2 so low?



CO₂ Saturation vs. Porosity in a Typical Drainage Experiment



- Weak but significant correlation observed in standard core flooding experiments.
- Water and CO₂ develop separate flow paths which limits interference between the phases



J.-C Perrin and S. M. Benson: "An Experimental Study on the Influence of Sub-Core Scale Heterogeneities on CO2 Distribution in Reservoir Rocks". Transport in porous media, DOI 10.1007/s11242-009-9426-x, 2009.



0.22

Distribution of Exsolved CO₂



Why is the Relative Permeability so Low?



Hypothesis

- Homogeneous exsolution throughout the pore spaces
- CO₂ bubble block water flow leading to low k_{rw}
- CO₂ bubbles form a poorly connected phase which leads to low k_{rCO2}

Micromodel studies now underway to test this hypothesis.

Conclusion



- Significant amount of CO₂ exsolves from solution as pressure drops
- Critical gas saturation of 11.7%~15.5%
- Relative permeability to both phases is very low, much lower than expected based on drainage relative permeability curves
- CO₂ exsolves homogeneously throughout the rock
 - > Explains the low relative permeability to water
 - > Exsolved gas bubble are disconnected, explaining low relative permeability to CO₂
- Low relative permeability persists over periods of 11 day observation period
 - > No re-distribution of CO_2 was observed during equilibration period
- Suggests that exsolution poses little risk for geological storage
- Significant reduction in both water and CO₂ mobility could be favourable for storage security after injection by preventing CO₂'s migration or even block possible leakage paths



Thank you

CO₂ Saturation Distributions Remain Constant Over Time



Pixel by pixel comparison of the CO_2 saturation immediately after exsolution and 260 hours later for two different portions of the core.

No Obvious Evolution Towards Gravity-Capillary Equilibrium



Lack of evolution towards gravity-capillary equilibrium supports the conclusion the low mobility persists over 260 hours.



Rel perm curve

Assume: 1D problem; $\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial S}{\partial t}, \rho, \mu, S$ do not vary with x For gas phase: Darcy's Law: $u = -\frac{KKr}{u}\nabla p$; 1D: $u_x = -\frac{KKr}{u}\frac{\partial p}{\partial r}$ Integration from 0 to L: $\Delta p_g = -\frac{\mu_g}{KKrg} \int_{x,g}^{z} dx$ Continuity Eqn: $\frac{\partial(\rho_g \phi S_g)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_g u_g) = 0;$ 1D: $\frac{\partial(\rho_g \phi S_g)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho_g u_g)}{\partial t} = 0$ $\phi \frac{\partial(\rho_{g}S_{g})}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho_{g}u_{g})}{\partial t} = 0 \Rightarrow \phi(S_{g}\frac{\partial\rho_{g}}{\partial t} + \rho_{g}\frac{\partial S_{g}}{\partial t}) + (\frac{\partial\rho_{g}}{\partial t}u_{g} + \frac{\partial u_{g}}{\partial t}\rho_{g}) = 0$ Set $a = \phi(S_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \rho_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} + \rho_{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial S_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}) \Rightarrow \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} = 0$ $\frac{\partial \rho_g}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial \rho_g}{\partial r} \cdot \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} = \rho_g C_g \cdot \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} \approx 0, \text{ then } a + \frac{\partial u_g}{\partial r} \rho_g = 0$ $u_{g}(x) = -a \int_{0}^{x} \frac{1}{\rho_{e}} dx = -\frac{a}{\rho_{e}} \int_{0}^{x} dx, \ u_{g}(L) = -\frac{a}{\rho_{e}} L$ Then: $\Delta p_g = -\frac{\mu_g}{KKr\rho} \int_{0}^{L} u_{x,g} dx = -\frac{\mu_g}{KKr\rho} \int_{0}^{L} (-\frac{a}{\rho_g} \int_{0}^{x} dx) dx = -\frac{\mu_g L}{2KKr\rho} \frac{q_g}{A}$

Rel perm curve



Assume: 1D problem; $\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}$, $\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}$, ρ , μ , S do not vary with x

For water phase:

Darcy's Law: $u = -\frac{KKr}{\mu} \nabla p$; 1D: $u_x = -\frac{KKr}{\mu} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}$ Integration from 0 to L: $\Delta p_w = -\frac{\mu_w}{KKrw} \int_0^L u_{x,w} dx$ Continuity Eqn: $\frac{\partial(\rho \phi S_w)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho u) = 0$; 1D: $\frac{\partial(\rho \phi S_w)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\rho u)}{\partial x} = 0$ Constant density: $\phi \frac{\partial(S_w)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(u_w)}{\partial x} = 0$ $\frac{\partial(S_w)}{\partial t}$ doesn't change with x: $u_w(x) = -\phi \frac{\partial(S_w)}{\partial t} \int_0^x dx$, $u_w(L) = -\phi \frac{\partial(S_w)}{\partial t} L$

Then:

$$\Delta p_{\psi} = -\frac{\mu_{\psi}}{KKrw} \int_{0}^{L} (-\phi \frac{\partial(S_{\psi})}{\partial t} \int_{0}^{x} dx) dx = \frac{\mu_{\psi}}{KKrw} \frac{\phi \partial(S_{\psi})}{\partial t} \frac{L^{2}}{2} = -\frac{\mu_{\psi}L}{2KKrw} u_{\psi}(L) = -\frac{\mu_{\psi}L}{2KKrw} \frac{q_{\psi}}{A}$$