Capacity Investments and Operational Uncertainty in a CCS value chain

Vegard Skonseng Bjerketvedt Ph.D. Candidate at Industrial Economics and Technology Management, NTNU

Asgeir Tomasgard Professor at Industrial Economics and Technology Management, NTNU

Simon Roussanaly Researcher at SINTEF Energy Research



Motivation



- "Cost-efficiency" for capacities and for long distances
- Limited investements
- Flexibility

- Ship-based transport is a very attractive option for the Norwegian full-scale, as well as to kick-start CCS from European emissions
 - Ship-based transport can be significantly impacted by weather conditions
 - The impact of weather conditions on the design of ship-based transport and the CCS value chain is however not a research focus



How are optimal investments affected by the weather conditions?

- Two-stage investment model
- Data from Metrologisk Institutt
- Gain insights:
 - Optimal investments and operations for a minimum required capture rate
 - The cost of seasonal variations in emission
 - The cost of neglecting delays on ship transport
 - The cost of wrongly forecasting wrong fuel cost





Ship-based CCS value chain





The structure of the problem

- The first-stage decisions determine the boundaries of the second-stage problem
- Investments are made initially
 - Planning for 25 years

Scenarios:

- 136 operational time steps
- Each operational time step is 3 hours
- One season is 17 days of operation





The STAwave-1 method

•
$$V(H_S) = \frac{P}{R_f + \frac{1}{16}\rho g H_S B \sqrt{\frac{B}{L_{BWL}}}}$$

• Varable power drive







Simulating traveling times

• Data from 1987 to 2016

- 87 000 simulated route times
 - Brevik Kollsnes



50

Sailing time in hours [h]

Summer

34.68

2.61

55

60

45

0.00

35

Mean

Std

40

Distribution of traveling times



65

Winter

37.69

4.63

70

Case study

- Single source single sink value chain
- Emissions: 400 000 ton/a
- Transport route: 715 km, Brevik Kollsnes
- Vessel transport capacity:
 - 3750 ton
 - 5000 ton
 - 7500 ton





E

Results

• Ran above 84 instance of the problem

Dimensions

- Required capture rate
- Seasonal variations on emission
- Initial investment in ship capacity
- 33.8 40.7 €/ton of CO₂ transported
- Neglectable cost of seasonal variations up 15 %





Decreasing unit costs

- 5000-ton capacity is optimal
- 95 % Operation rate:
 - Total cost: 13.04 M€/a
 - Unit cost: 34.3 €/ton
 - CAPEX/OPEX 59 % / 41 %
 - 4 days and 6 hours buffer capacity
- Varying sailing speed strategies









Optimal investments and stochastic delays

- Constant delays gives low investments in buffer storage
- When the delays become stochastic, the only solution is to increase the speed
 - Cost of above 0.19 M€/a



The cost of wrong forecast of fuel prices



- Increased fuel prices make buffer storage relativly cheap
- Up to 5 days storage capacity
- Under estimating fuel cost: 0.51 M€/a
- Over estimating: 0.071 M€/a



Summary



- Operational rates above 95 % gives the lowest unit prices
- Value in the flexibility of varying speed and buffer capacity
 - Higher value for buffer when operational rates increase
 - Planning for stochastic delays and increased fuel prices make the buffer capacity storage more valuable





This presentation has been produced with support from the NCCS Centre, performed under the Norwegian research program Centres for Environment-friendly Energy Research (FME).

The authors acknowledge the following partners for their contributions: Aker Solutions, ANSALDO Energia, CoorsTek Membrane Sciences, Gassco, KROHNE, Larvik Shipping, Norcem, Norwegian Oil and Gas, Quad Geometrics, Shell, Statoil, TOTAL, and the Research Council of Norway (257579/E20).



Capacity Investments and Operational Uncertainty in a CCS value chain

Vegard Skonseng Bjerketvedt Ph.D. Candidate at Industrial Economics and Technology Management, NTNU

Asgeir Tomasgard Professor at Industrial Economics and Technology Management, NTNU

Simon Roussanaly Researcher at SINTEF Energy Research

