Imperial College London





Characterizing multiphase flow in heterogeneous carbonates

Dr. Sojwal Manoorkar, Dr. Samuel Jackson, Dr. Samuel Krevor

Department of Earth Science & Engineering Imperial College London





Petrophysics in support of potential Accelerating storage sites in Switzerland: heterogeneous carbonates



Sun, H., Belhaj, H., Tao, G., Vega S., & Liu, L. (2019). Rock properties evaluation for carbonate reservoir characterization with multi-scale digital rock images. Journal of Petroleum Science & Engineering, **175**, 654-664.

- Analogue samples for the ELEGANCY Swiss case study
- Need to understand how to characterise the impact of heterogeneity on flow and trapping in the laboratory
- Workflows for homogeneous samples are mature
- Characterising heterogeneous rocks is complicated by the lack of Representative Elementary Volume

Objective : Characterise the impact of multi-scale rock heterogeneity on flow and trapping in carbonate reservoirs



Krevor, S., Blunt, M. J., Benson, S. M., Pentland, C. H., Reynolds, C., Al-Menhali, A., & Niu, B. (2015). Capillary trapping for geologic carbon dioxide storage–From pore scale physics to field scale implications. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, **40**, 221-237.

- Modeling is required for prediction of flow behavior and trapping of CO₂ in reservoirs
- Models are informed by multiphase flow properties which depend on the fluid saturation
 - ✓ Capillary Pressure
 - ✓ Relative Permeability
 - ✓ Residual Trapping

Why does heterogeneity impact flow?

300

 P_c

- Heterogeneity in capillary pressure function controls the saturation distribution
- Low flow potential: Small heterogeneities can lead to large variations in saturation
- Larger flow potential leads to homogenous saturations
- Heterogeneous saturation leads to heterogeneity in relative permeability and flow rate dependent transport



Virnovsky, G. A., Friis, H.A., Lohne, A. (2004). A Steady-State Upscaling Approach for Immiscible Two-Phase Flow. Transport in Porous Media **54**, 167-192.

Rock samples are heterogeneous carbonates, analogues for potential storage sites in Switzerland

- Estaillades
 - ✓ Cretaceous biclastic limestone found in Oppède quarry, France
 - ✓ Calcite cemented grainstone made up of fossil fragments & oolites
 - ✓ 99% calcite, 1% dolomite and silica
- Indiana
 - ✓ Quarried from Salem formation located in Indiana
 - ✓ 97.07% calcite, 1.2 % dolomite, 0.8 silica and iron oxide
- Edward Brown
 - ✓ From Texas, USA
 - ✓ Dominated by dolomite and calcite and small amount of quartz usually less than 10%







A steady state elevated pressure core flood rig was used with N₂ as an analogue to



P = 100 barT = 20⁰ C

$$K = \frac{q \ \mu \ L}{A \ \Delta P}$$
$$k_{r,i}(S_i) = \frac{q \ \mu \ i \ L}{A \ K \ \Delta P}$$

$$S_{N2} = \frac{CT_{exp} - CT_{water}}{CT_{N2} - CT_{water}}$$

 $S_{\rm m} = 1 - S_{\rm M2}$

Porosity heterogeneity in the samples



Heterogeneity impacts 3D saturations



Estaillades



$$N_c = \frac{H}{|\Delta P_c(f_w)|} \frac{\Delta P}{L}$$

NT

Small heterogeneities result in flow rate dependency of relative permeability



Residual nitrogen saturation increases with heterogeneity



Estaillades

 S_{N_2}

Heterogeneity impacts 3D saturations



Indiana



$$N_c = \frac{H}{|\Delta P_c(f_w)|} \frac{\Delta P}{L}$$

N

Heterogeneity impacts on flow dependence of relative permeability



$$S_w = 1 - S_{N2} \qquad S_{N2} = \frac{CT_{exp} - CT_{water}}{CT_{N2} - CT_{water}}$$

Residual nitrogen saturation increases with heterogeneity



 $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{N}_2}$

Indiana

Heterogeneity impacts 3D saturations



Edward Brown

s S



$$N_c = \frac{H}{|\Delta P_c(f_w)|} \frac{\Delta P}{L}$$

Large heterogeneity impacts relative permeability over a range of flow rates



Large heterogeneity near outlet increases trapping upstream

f_{N2} **= 1**

Low flow rate $q_{\tau} = 0.5 \text{ ml min}^{-1}$

High flow rate $q_{\tau} = 5 \text{ ml min}^{-1}$













Edward Brown

Residual trapping increases with heterogeneity

Land curve Fit,

$$S_{N2\,r} = \frac{S_{N2\,i}}{1 + C\,S_{N2\,i}}$$



Using observations of heterogeneous rocks to characterise a reservoir system

- Flow properties of heterogeneous rocks cannot be obtained directly from the observations
- Observations can be used to construct a numerical model of a rock core
- The numerical model can be used to estimate an "equivalent", relative permeability at a particular capillary number
- The equivalent relative permeability can be used as the first step in an upscaling workflow



Jackson, S. J., & Krevor, S. (2019). Characterization of Hysteretic Multiphase Flow from the MM to M Scale in Heterogeneous Rocks. 18 In E3S Web of Conferences (Vol. 89, p. 02001). EDP Sciences.



Summary

- Multi-scale heterogeneities result in flow-rate dependent relative permeability
- Intrinsic relative permeability does not exist for highly heterogenous cores
- Large heterogeneity increases residual saturation
- These effects must be incorporated into models for accurate field scale models
- We are working on using these observations to parameterise numerical models as a first step in an upscaling workflow

Imperial College London



Acknowledgements

 ACT ELEGANCY, Project No 271498, has received funding from DETEC (CH), FZJ/PtJ (DE), RVO (NL), Gassnova (NO), BEIS (UK), Gassco AS, Equinor and Total, and Statoil Petroleum AS, and is cofunded by the European Commission under the Horizon 2020 programme, ACT Grant Agreement No 691712.







Background

Relative permeability :

Darcy law for single-phase flow, $q = -\frac{K}{\mu} (\nabla P - \rho g)$ for multiphase flow, $q_i = -\frac{k_i K}{\mu_i} (\nabla P - \rho_i g)$

 $k_i(S_w)$

Capillary Pressure :



$$P_c = P_{nc} - P_c = \sigma \frac{dA}{dV}$$

 $P_c(x, y, z, S_w)$

Numerical modeling workflow

Goals

- Calibrate a predictive digital model
- Perform numerical core floods to obtain appropriate equivalent property

Needed for numerical model

- Routine properties : Porosity, permeability
- Intrinsic SCAL properties : high flow rate rel perm
- Capillary heterogeneity : MICP and 3D saturation maps

 $P_{c}(x, y, z, S_{w}) = k P_{c, a}(S_{w})$





Capillary heterogeneity is inferred from the 3D saturation maps

Data was coarsened to improve precision



$$P_{c}(x, y, z, Sw) = k P_{c, a}(Sw)$$

Extract individual voxel P_c curves First guess assumes P_c in a slice is given by the average slice saturation





- Experimental 3D map of k is obtained
- Once initial estimation of Pc heterogeneity is obtained, constant Pc in each slice assumption is relaxed
- Numerical simulation of low flow rate core flood experiments is performed to update capillary pressure heterogeneity.

Flow rate dependence of relative permeability depends on heterogeneity, but heterogeneity depends on driving potential for flow

$$\nabla \cdot \left(-\lambda_T \nabla P_{CO_2} + \lambda_w \nabla P_c \right) = 0$$

Viscous flow

Capillary distribution

If the flow potential is large relative to gradients in capillary pressure:

Viscous limit, homogenous saturation, invariant rel. perm

If the flow potential is not large relative to gradients in capillary pressure:

Effects of capillarity, heterogeneous saturation, "effective" flow dependent relative permeability



Goals

- 1. Calibrate a predictive digital model
- Perform numerical core floods to obtain appropriate equivalent property
- Needed for numerical model
- 1. Routine properties
- 2. Intrinsic SCAL properties
- 3. Capillary heterogeneity





SG 0.50 0.45

0.40

0.35 0.30 0.25

0.20 0.15 0.10

0.05

Experimental Conditions

Sample	Temperature (⁰ C)	Pressure (MPa)	Туре	Total flow rate (ml/min)
Estaillades	20	10	Drainage	0.5
			Trapping	0.5
			Drainage	20
			Trapping	20
Indiana	20	10	Drainage	0.5
			Trapping	0.5
			Drainage	5
			Trapping	5
Edward Brown	20	10	Drainage	0.5
			Trapping	0.5
			Drainage	5
			Trapping	5

