() SINTEF

CO₂stCap

-1-

CO₂ capture opportunities in the Norwegian silicon industry TCCS – 10, Session A4

Anette Mathisen, Ragnhild Skagestad, Alf Tore Haug (Elkem)





- Is a Norwegian-Swedish research initiative initiated to reduce the cost of carbon capture in the process industry by developing concepts for partial capture
- Partners:
 - SSAB, Elkem AS, Norcem Brevik AS and AGA Gas AB
 - IEAGHG and Global CCS Institute
 - Gassnova via the CLIMIT–Demo Programme and The Swedish Energy Agency
 - SINTEF, Chalmers, RISE, SWERIM and University of South-Eastern Norway





The industries

- Iron & steel
 - 5% of the global energy-related GHG emissions
 - The blast-furnace route requires coal for the reduction of the iron-ore
- Cement
 - 7% of the global energy-related GHG emissions
 - Emissions from burning of fuels for process heat, and due to the calcination of calcium carbonate
- Silicon
 - Consumes carbon and electricity
- Pulp & paper
 - Biomass could be utilised by creating negative CO₂ emissions on site through CCS or by replacing fossil fuels in more difficult emission sources





Partial capture

 The partial capture concept is defined as capture of only parts of the available CO₂ emissions on a plant



- Examples where partial capture could be considered;
 - Plants that have excess unused energy or an energy system that constantly or depending on market conditions may produce a part of the heat needed for carbon capture at low-cost
 - For plants with multiple stacks, targeting the most suitable stack(s) instead of total site emission
 - Plants where carbon capture is cost-efficient in combination with other mitigation measures



Overall results



SINTEF

The silicon industry

- Silicon production is an energy intensive industry
- Consumes electricity and carbon-based raw materials
- Norwegian silicon has one of the lowest CO₂ emissions per ton product, mainly due to efficient process and hydro power
- Pathways are explored to reduce emissions: CCS, process development, waste heat utilisation, and bio-based carbon sources



©Elkem



6

Silicon production

- Two plants: Si and FeSi alloy
- Electric arc furnaces where quartz is reduced by carbon $SiO_2 + 2C = Si + 2CO$
- With the current process, all CO is oxidized above the charge level
- The off-gas leaves the furnace at 400 700°C
 - Energy recovery is installed at some plants today





Method and assumptions

- Techno-economic analysis
- MEA-based rich solvent split flow configuration
- Aspen In-plant Cost Estimator combined with an inhouse developed cost factor model
- Only plant emissions considered
- NOAK basis



Parameter	Unit	Value
Electricity price	EUR/kWh	0.055
Cooling water	EUR/m ³	0.02
Steam	EUR/t	16.67
Personnel – operators (1 person per shift)	kEUR/an	663.2
Personnel – engineers (1 person)	kEUR/an	157.9
Maintenance (% of CAPEX)	%	4
Operating hours	h	8 760
Rate of return	%	7.5
Number of years		25
Reference year		2015

REC Solar



- The plant produced close to 10 kt Si in 2015 from one furnace for use in solar panels
- Corresponding CO₂ emission
 - 43 kt from fossil energy sources,
 - and 12 kt from bio based sources
- Does not utilise waste heat today
- Small plant and low CO₂ concentration

Parameter	Unit	Stream 4	Stream 7
CO ₂	Vol%	3.7	1.0
H ₂ O	Vol%	1.0	7.4
N ₂	Vol%	77.2	74.1
02	Vol%	18.1	17.5

Excess energy sufficient to capture 90% of the produced CO₂

SINTEF CHALMERS BE SWERIM IN University of South-Eastern Norway

REC Solar - results

 The effect of increased CO₂ concentration and plant size

Scenario	CO ₂ capture details	Specific reboiler duty, SRD	Steam supply/need
1a	1 vol% CO ₂ , 90% capture rate	3.53 MJ/kg CO ₂ captured	Electric boiler, 1x – 5.6 MW
1b	1 vol% CO ₂ , 90% capture rate	3.53 MJ/kg CO ₂ captured	WHSG, 1x – 5.6 MW 3x – 16.8 MW 5x – 28.0 MW
1c	3.7 vol% CO ₂ , 90% capture rate	3.34 MJ/kg CO ₂ captured	WHSG, 1x – 5.6 MW 3x – 15.9 MW 5x – 26.5 MW



SINTEF CHALMERS BE SWERIM IN University of TECHNOLOGY

REC Solar – OPEX details



- Maintenance and personnel cost contribute disproportionally for the small plant
- Regardless of plant size
 - 1 operator per shift
 - 1 engineer



Generic plant

FeSi

- Two furnaces producing FeSi primarily for the iron and steel industry
- Annual CO₂ emission ~ 250 kt

• Furnace off-gas recycling to increase CO₂ concentration is being explored

Parameter	Unit	Traditional furnace off- gas, from <u>one</u> furnace*	Off-gas recycling off- gas, from <u>one</u> furnace	<u>One</u> traditional and <u>one</u> off-gas recycling furnace
CO ₂	vol%	4.4	15.1	6.8
H ₂ O	vol%	4.3	11.8	6.4
N ₂	vol%	74.9	67.1	72.8
02	vol%	16.4	6.0	14.0

SINTEF CHALMERS

Excess energy sufficient to capture 90% of the produced CO_2

12

Generic plant - results

- Effect of flue gas recycling
- The feasibility and cost of modifying the plant is not considered

Scenario	CO ₂ capture details	Specific reboiler duty, SRD	Steam supply/need
3a (ref.)	Two furnaces no recycling, 4.4 vol% CO ₂ , 90% capture rate	3.34 MJ/kg CO ₂ captured	WHSG , 23.6 MW
3b	Two furnaces recycling in both, 15.1 vol% CO ₂ , 90% capture rate	3.15 MJ/kg CO ₂ captured	WHSG , 22.3 MW
Зc	Two furnaces only one with recycle, 6.8 vol% CO ₂ , 90% capture rate	3.26 MJ/kg CO ₂ captured	WHSG , 23.0 MW





Silicon - partial capture

The investigated plants had sufficient energy to capture 90%

- Alternative use of the excess heat is for district heating
- Partial capture seasonal capture
- Assumptions
 - Waste heat for district heating is only sold during the winter months (six months of the year)
 - That the waste heat can be used "free of charge" for CO₂ capture during the summer months
 - Full-sized capture plant is built (capacity to capture 90% of the CO₂ produced at the given time)
 - The value of the steam as district heating was set to 16.67 €/t
 - All year capture includes a loss of revenue from sales of district heating during winter

Seasonal capture – results



Summer only capture results in a change from OPEX to CAPEX as main contributors for the cost



Final remarks (1)

The overall conclusion: Utilise waste heat for CO₂ capture

- REC Solar
 - The low CO₂ concentration and small source makes CO₂ capture costly
 - A relatively small increase in CO_2 concentration, ~ 4 vol%, is beneficial as expected,
 - the same is found for increased plant size
- Generic plant
 - Current CO_2 concentration ~4 vol% CO_2 , flue gas recycling can increase it to ~ 15 vol%
 - The higher concentration makes CO₂ capture less costly, but needs to be weighted against the changes needed in the process
 - Higher concentrations may also make other capture technologies attractive



Final remarks (2)

- Seasonal/partial capture
 - Seasonal capture could under the right circumstances be considered
 - The results are highly dependent on the value of district heating
 - A further investigation into the possibility of combining heat for CO₂ capture and district heating is recommended
 - Should be assessed for plants larger in size and/or with a higher CO₂ concentration



Webinar – June 25th

- Ragnhild Skagestad, SINTEF Industry
 "The CO₂stCap project and overall results"
- Max Bierman, Chalmers
 "Scenario for near-term implementation of partial capture from blast furnace gases in Swedish steel industry"
- Anette Mathisen, SINTEF Industry
 "CO₂ capture opportunities in the Norwegian silicon industry"
- Jens Wolf, RISE Bioeconomy
 "Partial Capture of CO₂ From a Pulp Mill with Focus on Cost Reduction"



The CO2st Cap project and overall results

Sign up to the webinar here:

Tue, Jun 25, 2019 2:00 PM - 3:00 PM CEST









www.sintef.no/co2stcap

