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MainTech AS wind services

Advanced investment models

Consultants on technology selection

- Turbine technology
- Service agreements - Maintenance
- Coatings and corrosion protection
- Reliability studies

Maintenance & operations planning and optimisation

- Maintenance strategy development
- Benchmark audits
- Selection/specification of Computerised Maintenance Systems
- Reliability centered maintenance studies (RCM)
- Codification – systemising technical hierarchy for maintenance and spare parts
- Spare parts optimisation
- Establish maintenance and operational procedures
- Maintenance organisation
- Maintenance system implementation
O&M cost offshore wind

73% of Offshore O&M costs are vessel costs!

O&M cost for offshore wind is in the range of 2 to 6 times the costs for onshore!

Dalgic et al. 2014
Photo: H Pettersen Statoil
Constraints

Wind

Waves

Vessel availability
Offshore wind
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LENGTH
132m

HULL BREADTH MID
39m

PROPULSION
Transit speed of 12 knots, DP2

WATER DEPTH RANGE
7.5 to 45m

CRANE
800 tonnes at 24m outreach, 102m over deck

TYPICAL PAYLOAD
6600 tonnes
Largest
O&M Vessel cost

33 jack up vessels per June 2014

Survival of the fittest!
8MW current (Vestas V164)

Blades: 80m - 35 tonnes each
Nacelle: weight 380 tonnes
Tower: 133m
Maintenance requiring JU vessel

• Nacelle
• Blades and hub
• Drivetrain
  – Shaft, main bearing and gearbox
• Generator
• In-turbine transformer
Maintenance not requiring JU vessel

• Rope access techniques
  – Painting of tower, nacelle and blades
  – Inspection and repair of blades

• All maintenance with smaller lifting operations using the internal nacelle crane
Light maintenance vessel

Wave craft – Surface Effect Ship Innovation supported by Carbon trust, Forskningsrådet, RFFAgder and Innovasjon Norge
Maintainability and redundancy
Maintainability and redundancy

The Clipper wind medium speed, 10,6MW permanent-magnet generator.

98.3% efficient 2 to 4% over conventional induction generators.

Multiple independent output windings per generator provide quad redundancy in the electrical system.
Maintainability and redundancy

| Number of turbines which could be stopped for 12 months and still meet 95% contractual availability guarantee given an assumed “background” contractual availability | “Background” availability (contractual availability excluding main component downtime) |
|---|---|---|
| 50 turbine site | 96% | 0 |
|  | 97% | 1 |
|  | 98% | 2 |
| 100 turbine site | 1 |
|  | 97% | 2 |
|  | 98% | 4 |
| 150 turbine site | 1 |
|  | 97% | 3 |
|  | 98% | 6 |
| 200 turbine site | 2 |
|  | 97% | 4 |
|  | 98% | 8 |

How many turbines that can be allowed to not produce depends on:

- The contractual availability
- The size of the wind farm
- The number of turbines
- The turbine size
- The turbine individual realibility (background availability)
- The calculated revenue loss vs. Estimated repair cost
Typical single down time event

Experience of planning jack-up vessel operations – duration of pre-operational stages.
The Crown estate - July 2014 (reproduced with permission of DBB Jack-up Services Ltd)
CM necessary prediction time
PF-Interval -response on maintenance

• Selection of condition monitoring
  – Depends on the component having a measurable failure development that enables action before failure:

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

P-F Intervall
Lost production costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Value of lost production (£)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Round 1/Round 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A: ‘unprepared’</td>
<td>£885k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six month wait for jack-up vessel while turbine is not producing power</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B: ‘spot market – prepared’</td>
<td>£443k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three month wait for jack-up vessel while turbine is not producing power</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C: ‘fault detection – pre-prepared’</td>
<td>£53k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Condition monitoring predicts defect before failure and jack-up vessel arrangements in place to ensure no downtime during jack-up vessel mobilisation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Based on typical revenue expectations and production-weighted average capacity factors of 39% (currently operational) and 44% (late Round 2 developments onwards) and assuming a typical turbine capacity of 3.6MW for Round 1/Round 2 and 6MW for Remaining Round 2 / Round 3 / Scottish Territorial Waters / Northern Ireland

6 In this scenario the only downtime experience is while the turbine is stripped down and prepared for the replacement of a main component, the time taken to undertake lifting operations and then to rebuild and recommission the wind turbine
Typical failure rates

Overall stop related: In the range of 0.6 to 0.9/WTG/Year

Crane related- major repairs:
  - Warranty period: 0.25/WTG/y
  - Year 5: 0.01 to 0.10/WTG/y
  - Year 5 to 20: Increasing in accordance with actual wear factor

Meaning: You must expect to change main components between one or two times during the life of the turbine!
Sub-assemblies wear or sudden failure

![Graph showing failure rate escalation and wear factor over years of operation.](image-url)
Selecting turbine A over B
Owner maintenance strategy

Carry out maintenance management within its own organisation

With services from turbine manufacturer as:
- Spare parts management
- Condition monitoring
- Heavy maintenance

= Better control and perhaps lower cost to a higher risk

Or leave it all to the turbine manufacturer to an agreed availability = lower risk at perhaps lower income
Development needs

Management:
- Models and agreements for vessel sharing and readiness
- Pre-planned pit-stop heavy maintenance readiness

Vessel technology:
- Vessels that increase accessibility vs. Waves and wind

Turbine technology:
- Better modularisation/redundancy to avoid crane related maintenance
- More reliable turbine components
- Smarter solutions on maintainability
- Better condition monitoring systems to predict failure
- 6 months or more ahead
Tiden.
Vi reduserer effekten av den.