#### WP5 Dutch Case study

TNO: Floris van de Beek, Robert de Kler UU: Lukas Weimann, Gert Jan Kramer, Matteo Gazzani

19 June 2020





## CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per province (2017)

related to industry, waste incineration and electricity production



### The Dutch case study

- Multi-energy systems tool (UU)
  - Feasibility of clean hydrogen from renewables for industry
  - Decarbonization of the Dutch steel industry
- Chain tool framework (TNO)
  - Chemical industry in Rotterdam
  - Decarbonization of the Dutch industry and electricity sector





## Modeling framework (MES – tool)

- Developed and applied by ETH and UU
- Mixed integer linear programming (MILP)
- Optimization of multi-energy systems (MES)
- Focus on conversion technologies







#### Feasibility of hydrogen from renewables for industry

# Clean hydrogen from renewables for industry?



- Can the Netherlands supply the industrial demand with hydrogen from renewables? (technical feasibility)
- Would the application of H<sub>2</sub> for dispatching renewable energy generation benefit from increased scale due to industrial demand?
- How much would it cost? (economic viability)







# Can the Netherlands supply the industrial demand with hydrogen from renewables?





Average E-demand: 12.4 GW (18.6 GW peak) Average H<sub>2</sub>-demand: 2.2 GW (2.2 GW peak) Would the application of H<sub>2</sub> for dispatching renewable energy generation benefit from increased scale due to industrial demand?



Would the application of H<sub>2</sub> for dispatching renewable energy generation benefit from increased scale due to industrial demand?



Would the application of H<sub>2</sub> for dispatching renewable energy generation benefit from increased scale due to industrial demand?





	No H <sub>2</sub> demand	Industrial H <sub>2</sub> demand
Fuel cell output [GWh/y]	4.78	0.47
Share of E-demand [%]	4.4	0.4

Preliminary results

#### How much would it cost?



	No H <sub>2</sub> demand	Industrial H <sub>2</sub> demand
System cost [bil-EUR/y]	33	40
Added cost [bil-EUR/y]	-	7
H <sub>2</sub> cost [EUR/kWh]	-	0.4
H <sub>2</sub> cost [EUR/kg]	-	13.3

Preliminary results

H<sub>2</sub> becomes cheaper if 0-emission constraint is relaxed.
 However, to be compatible with conventional H<sub>2</sub>, electricity must have a carbon footprint of less than ~170 g/kWh<sub>e</sub>, which corresponds to 45+% renewable share.





#### Decarbonization of the Dutch steel industry

## Challenges of the steel industry



- Low profit margins and strong competition from China
- Long equipment lifetime
- Energy intensive processes with limited capacity for renewables onsite
- High level of process-integration
- Power island (high autarky) as preferred configuration



## TATA Steel: key facts and figures

- 7.2 Mton steel per year (4% of European steel production)
- 13 Mton CO<sub>2,eq</sub> per year (7% of total Dutch emissions)



350 m



### TATA Steel: key facts and figures

- 7.2 Mton steel per year (4% of European steel production)
- 13 Mton CO<sub>2,eq</sub> per year (7% of total Dutch emissions)



Origin of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions

Keys et al., Decarbonisation options for the Dutch steel industry, 2019, MIDDEN project

#### Research objective

Investigate the impact of measures to decrease process emissions on the energy system



Origin of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions

Keys et al., Decarbonisation options for the Dutch steel industry, 2019, MIDDEN project

#### Decarbonization routes





### Decarbonization routes

Electrification of Heat



Maximum electrification of heat



 Replacement of one BF (3 Mt/y)



 Replacement of one BF (3 Mt/y)



























- 1. Increase capacity of renewables, e.g. off-site
- 2. Tap into green national grid

## How can the steel industry go for deep decarbonization?



- 1. Increase capacity of renewables, e.g. off-site
- 2. Tap into green national grid
- 3. Apply CCS to C-rich gas products of current steelworks processes (e.g. SEWGS, post-combustion)
- 4. Use new steelworks processes empowered by CCS (Hisarna, direct reduction with blue H<sub>2</sub>)



5. Use hydrogen from renewables





#### Decarbonization of the Rotterdam area using hydrogen

Decarbonization of refineries in Rotterdam is feasible and straightforward in terms of spatial planning



0.75 GW

0.29 GW

Reference to H-vision 1: <u>https://www.deltalinqs.nl/h-vision-en</u> <u>https://blog.sintef.com/sintefenergy/elegancy-tno-h-vision-project/</u>

H, plant

0.80 GW

**Reference scope** 

0.80 GW

0.56 GW





#### Decarbonization of the Dutch industry and electricity production using the Elegancy chain tool

#### Dutch energy system from 2025 on – overview and key figures



55 TWh/a electricity demand
115 TWh/a industrial heat demand
50 TWh/a hydrogen feedstock demand
6 Mton/a CO<sub>2</sub> waste incineration emissions

95 TWh/a offshore **gas production** 890 Mton **CO<sub>2</sub> storage** capacity



Existing **gas** and **hydrogen** backbone 375 TWh/a **gas import** capacity (incl LNG)



Existing electricity network (copper plate) 45 TWh/a **electricity demand** Onshore wind/PV – increasing capacity

Offshore wind – increasing **RES** capacity



# Different decarbonization tactics are on the table for the industry and electricity sector





#### National case scenario's





CAPEX, OPEX, resource cost and emission cost

Optimized spatial network

\*Corresponding to scenario's II, III and IV from "De Toekomst van de Noordzee", PBL (2018)

#### Emission reduction targets can be achieved using hydrogen under all scenarios -13% 90 80 70 Offshore wind Emissions [mton CO<sub>2</sub>/a] scenarios 60 -49% base 50 low 40 mid -72% 30 high 20 10 -95% w.r.t. 1990 0 2017 2030 2050 2040

Based on the chain tool methodology without market dynamics, hydrogen from renewable electricity will only play a minor role?



Existing Dutch gas infra can facilitate a transition to hydrogen

LNG

Snapshot 2050 CO<sub>2</sub> infrastructure (new) Hydrogen infrastructure



## Results of the Dutch case study – key take-aways



2050

- Deep decarbonization of the industry requires CCS on the short term
- Dutch offshore gas field capacity for CO<sub>2</sub> storage provide sufficient capacity
  - to support a blue hydrogen transition while decarbonizing the (petro-) chemical industry and waste incineration up to 95% in 2050 (w.r.t. 1990)
- Existing gas transmission infrastructure is sufficient

2020

- to accomodate this transition, with the exception of currently absent CO<sub>2</sub> infrastructure
- Market dynamics are required to paint a more representative picture

2040

• Of the hydrogen market in terms of different production methods

2030

#### Image sources



- Slide 22
  - <u>http://homework.uoregon.edu/pub/class/climate\_change/ccs.html</u>
  - Gazzani et al., International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 2015 (41), 249-267
  - <u>https://teara.govt.nz/en/diagram/5885/electric-arc-furnace</u>
  - <u>https://ieaghg.org/docs/General\_Docs/Iron%20and%20Steel%202%20Secure</u> <u>d%20presentations/2\_1330%20Jan%20van%20der%20Stel.pdf</u>
  - Steel Institute VDEh, European Steel: The wind of change, 2018