

University of Stuttgart Stuttgart Wind Energy (SWE) @ Institute of Aircraft Design

Towards Recommended Practices for Floating Lidar Systems

EERA DeepWind'2017

Oliver Bischoff I. Würth, J. Gottschall, B. Gribben, D. Stein, J. Hughes, H. Verhoef



WINDFORS Windenergie Forschungscluster

Introduction

Wind lidar technology...



onshore – accepted as (almost) standard tool

- ... for wind resource assessments
- ... power curve tests (in flat terrain)
- \rightarrow cost-efficient, high data quality

Introduction

Wind lidar technology...



onshore - accepted as (almost) standard tool

- ... for wind resource assessments
- ... power curve tests (in flat terrain)
- \rightarrow cost-efficient, high data quality

offshore - even larger cost benefits (!) with lidar devices integrated in / on top of
floating platforms or buoys, resp.

 $(\rightarrow floating lidar systems)$

What is needed for a succesful operation of Floating Lidar Systems (FLS)?

Variety of concepts and designs available today $(\rightarrow \text{ picture gallery and others})$

Open Questions

- Recommended configuration, mandatory and optional features?
- Requirements of wind industry on systems?
- Maturity of technology
- Present technology gaps?
- → Need for standards or recommend practices (RP)
- \rightarrow IEA Wind Task 32 activities
 - + Carbon Trust OWA activities

[Flidar, Fugro Seawatch, SeaZephIR]





Step 0: OWA Roadmap – commercial acceptance of floating lidar

→ Carbon Trust Offshore Wind Accelerator roadmap for the commercial acceptance of floating lidar technology (Nov. 2013) ...

proposed three stages of maturity: baseline – pre-commercial – commercial

status linked to a successful (6-months) trial offshore: meet KPIs for system availability and data accuracy OWA Roadmap

2014

2015

2016

Step 0: OWA Roadmap - FLiDAR application example

→ Carbon Trust Offshore Wind Accelerator roadmap for the commercial acceptance of floating lidar technology (Nov. 2013) ...



First (almost) pre-commercial floating-lidar system (FLS)

Results of 3-months trial at Gwynt y Mor [presented at EWEA Offshore 2013] show convincing agreement with met mast in wind speed and direction

OWA Roadmap

2014

2015

Step 0: OWA Roadmap - Final document

→ Carbon Trust Offshore Wind Accelerator roadmap for the commercial acceptance of floating lidar technology (Nov. 2013) ...



Today several FLS with status 'pre-commercial' from different providers, a few more in the pipeline and some even on the way to commercial status

Status 'commercial' gains in importance but is not yet fully defined.







[Axys, IWES, EOLOS]

Online available: [Ax https://www.carbontrust.com/resources/reports/technology/ owa-roadmap-for-commercial-acceptance-of-floating-lidar-technologies

University of Stuttgart, Stuttgart Wind Energy (SWE) @ Institute of Aircraft Design

EERA DeepWind'2017 18.01.2017 Trondheim, Norway

Step 1: IEA Wind Task 32 Phase I WP 1.5 – first step towards Recommended Practices

- IEA Wind Task 32 Phase 1 WP 1.5 on Floating Lidars (initiated in Nov. 2012, 2nd General Meeting in Oldenburg)
- Two actions:
- create technology review document
- collect recommended practices (RP) and prepare document

further discussions in 2013, start of document production in 2014; formation of author and review groups, focus on RP document



Good progress by end of Phase 1 collected recommended Practices (RP) at this stage published as state-of-the-art report early 2016

TIMELINE

Step 1: IEA Wind Task 32 Phase I WP 1.5 - State of the Art Report

and a number of notes,

assessment with FLS

High interest of OWA

Accelerator) partners in

already before publication

(Offshore Wind

of document

performing wind resource

in total 113 RPs

all with focus on

State-of-the-Art Report: Recommended Practices for Floating Lidar Systems (Issue 1.0, Feb. 2016)



available online: http://www.ieawindtask32.org/download/task32documents/*



University of Stuttgart, Stuttgart Wind Energy (SWE) @ Institute of Aircraft Design

Step 2: OWA Carbon Trust project - Topics

Call for project aiming at further development of RP document, awarded to IEA Wind author team led by Frazer Nash Consulting (FNC)

 \rightarrow worked on update of report between autumn 2015 and summer 2016

 \rightarrow 2 workshops with stakeholders OEM's etc.

Topics priorized by workshop participants

- Developing a useable uncertainty framework.
- Guidance on mooring design and assessment
- Making the document more accessible and useful by improved use of drawings and schematics
- Standards for trusted reference system
- Pre-deployment verification more detailed guidance on when and how much.
- Representativeness / comparisons of wave climates.
- Introduce wind shear as a KPI.

Extensive review process

- author team

+

- review team
- OWA stakeholders review

Step 2: OWA Carbon Trust Project – update of state of the art report



Online available: Interview Intervie

Step 3 IEA Wind Task 32 Phase II - Assessment of stakeholder acceptance

Pre-workshop survey:

answered by participants (incl. OEMs, Consultants, Project developers, Academics)

How would you rate the present level of maturity (in TRL 1-9) of floating-lidar technology in general?

```
Answer: between TRL 4 and 9 – average 6.9
```

How do you judge the current acceptance (0 = not at all, 10 = fully) of FLD data to be used quantitatively for finance-relevant wind resource assessments?

Answer: between 2 and 8 – average 5.8

How long will it take for the technology to reach full commercial acceptance? Answer: 4 out of 18 'already reached', others between 2 and 10 years

Discussion of questions

→ IEA Wind Task 32 Phase 2 Workshop on Floating Lidar Systems (23-24 Feb. 2016 at ORE Catapult, Blyth)

University of Stuttgart, Stuttgart Wind Energy (SWE) @ Institute of Aircraft Design

Step 3: IEA Wind Task 32 Phase II - Identification of technology gaps Outcome of workshop:

Gap 1: well defined uncertainty framework for FLS wind speed measurements

Gap 2: increase of investors' confidence (with appropriate further stakeholder activities)

Gap 3: re-defined validation framework (scope, reference, possibly adjusted to use case)

Gap 4: alternative approaches for validation (?)

Gap 5: turbulence intensity (TI) measurements from FLS (transfer of existing knowledge from Lidar TI data, and further work)

 \rightarrow Definition of roadmaps to close the gaps



Step 3: IEA Wind Task 32 Phase II - Example 'uncertainty framework'

'Roadmaps' for gaps/requirements as result from group work

e.g. for Gap 1 – 'uncertainty framework':

Q1 2017: Step-by-step framework

New IEA Wind Task 32 RP doc

Harmonize methods

Merge with Annex L (IEC 61400-12-1)

• Improve methods

Gather experience

- share models and data
- improve understanding

Overview about currently available documents

Different projects & work in the field of Floating Lidar Systems (FLS) since 2013

- \rightarrow Outcome: 3 relevant documents regarding commercial use of FLS
- → Final goal: IEA Recommended Practices



University of Stuttgart, Stuttgart Wind Energy (SWE) @ Institute of Aircraft Design

Summary & Conclusions

Objectives of this presentation

- Present available documents for application of floating lidar technology
- Elaborate on what is needed for the technology to reach full maturity
- Present activities on floating lidar within IEA Wind Task 32

Current application status

- First commercial WRA campaigns based on FLS are being reported
- The market of FLS providers is still diverse & uncertainty of measurements with FLS requires more consideration



Next steps

- Research FLS for further application (beyond wind resource assessment) e.g
 - → assessment of turbine performance (incl. loads)
 - \rightarrow use of TI data from FLS
 - → Power curve tests higher demand on uncertainties and their estimation
- further workshops are planned in IEA Wind Task 32 to identify and to mitigate barriers to the use of the lidar technology in wind energy applications



http://www.ieawindtask32.org /meetings/workshops/

Final Step: Submission of updated RP document to IEA Wind ExCo for review and consideration as IEA Wind RP doc.

Acknowledgements



- J. Gottschall (Fraunhofer IWES)
- B. Gribben (Frazer Nash Consultancy)
- J. Hughes (ORE Catapult)
- D. Stein (DNV GL)
- I. Würth, O. Bischoff, D. Schlipf (University of Stuttgart)
- H. Verhoef (ECN)
- A. Clifton (NREL)

DNV·GL

🟉 ECN









Thank you!



Oliver Bischoff

e-mail bischoff@ifb.uni-stuttgart.de phone +49 (0) 711 685-68213 fax +49 (0) 711 685-

University of Stuttgart