DNV·GL

ENERGY

Scaling up floating wind

Investigating the potential for platform cost reductions

M. Kvittem, A. Steinert (TUHH), M. Ebbesen, D. Merino 21 January 2016

Agenda

- Introduction
- Optimisation tool
- Case studies
- Results: Costs
- Results: Optimisation
- Conclusions

Introduction

Cost Reduction for Offshore Wind

Our promise to the industry:

- Do things RIGHT
- Do things BETTER
- Do things DIFFERENTLY
- "DNV GL is committed to help drive the commercialisation of floating wind power technology"



Technically ready does not mean it's commercial



Ungraded

Source: http://arena.gov.au/files/2014/02/Commercial-Readiness-Index.pdf

Optimisation tool

Motivation

- What are the cost drivers for floating wind turbines?
- How does a platform scale with larger turbines?
- What is the impact of various turbine parameters on the platform design?
 - Tower top mass
 - Maximum thrust force
 - Hub height
- How to change the geometry of the platform to obtain a cost-optimized structure?

Semi-submersible optimisation

- Iterates through a large space of variables:
 - Column diameter
 - Column spacing
 - Draught
 - Heave plate size
- Constraints for the design:
 - Surge, heave and pitch periods
 - Maximum static tilt in operation
 - Maximum dynamic tilt in survival
 - Maximum tower base bending moment
 - Nacelle acceleration
- Cost rates per steel mass unit based on type of structural element







Optimisation tool

- Developed in collaboration with master student Alexander Steinert
- Optimisation with respect to unit cost
- Parameter influences
- Turbine rating influence



Particle Swarm Optimisation (PSO)

- Find: Optimal solution (*)
 - Minimise cost (objective function)
 - Satisfy design criteria (constraints)
- Stochastic process
- 1 swarm particle = 1 Platform



Current limitations

- Currently only tested for a semi-submersible type floater
- Linear or linearized theory
- Limited structural check
- No fatigue limit state

Case studies

Scaling up platforms for 10 and 20 MW turbines

- Extreme wind speed: 50 m/s
- 50 year significant wave height: 18 m



Platform optimisation for different turbines

	NREL	FORCE	DTU
Rating	5 MW	7 MW	10 MW

Environmental Condition

- 50-year event
- Location: West of Norway
 - $-H_s = 10.96 m$
 - $T_P = 11.06 s$
 - $U_{10} = 39.49 \frac{m}{s}$

Turbines

- Adapted for floating support structure
 - Reinforced tower base
- Scaled thrust force, based on NREL turbine using rotor swept area

Results: Cost

Support structure cost



60% increase in cost from 10 to 20 MW.

Steinert, 2015, Master thesis TUHH

With slenderness ratio

Without slenderness ratio



Results: Optimisation

Optimisation progression



Resulting optimal solutions

Steinert, 2015, Master thesis TUHH

	NREL 5 MW	FORCE 7 MW	DTU 10 MW
Column diameter [m] (D _C)	6	9	11
Heave plate diameter [m] (D _{HP})	15	22	25
Draft [m]	15	22	29
Platform radius [m] (R)	41	60	62
D_{HP}/D_{C}	2.5	2.4	2.3
R/D _C	6.8	6.7	5.6



18/01/2016

Conclusions

Observations

- Numerical optimisation is a useful tool for initial assessments
- Column spacing prevailing parameter
- Sensitive to structural component type prices
- Structural design should be included in the optimisation loop
- Will the cost per MW go down with increasing turbine size?

Industrialisation of floating wind – IN-FLOAT

- Large potential for cost reduction through industrialisation
- Large potential for learning from onshore wind towers
- Large opportunities with bolted connections, casted nodes, and lightweight modules
- Expanded supply chain increased competition

Open source concept. Improve it!

IN-FLOAT

Email: marit.irene.kvittem@dnvgl.com

www.dnvgl.com

SAFER, SMARTER, GREENER