



Floating Wind Farm Design & Optimization

EERA Deepwind'2021 Conference January 13-15, 2021 Katherine Dykes¹, Mads Mohr Madsen^{1,2} and Albert Meseguer Urban¹ ¹DTU Wind Energy, ²Copenhagen Offshore Partners

Outline

- Floating wind global potential and R&D
- Floating wind farm design
 - Fixed-bottom wind farm design
 - Floating wind concepts
 - Floating wind farm design
- Example design problem: shared vs. unshared anchors
- Summary and Next steps

Outline

- Floating wind global potential and R&D
- Floating wind farm design
 - Fixed-bottom wind farm design
 - Floating wind concepts
 - Floating wind farm design
- Example design problem: shared vs. unshared anchors
- Summary and Next steps



• Sea depth variation across the globe – majority of the resource in deep water (> 60 m)



Source: DHI https://www.dhigroup.com/global/news/2017/09/new-bathymetrics-data-portal-delivers-guality-water-depth-data-

<u> </u>	Ian 15th 2021	DTI Wind
	Jan. 15 2021	

4,000 GW

2,450 GW

Floating Wind Cost Trajectories





Figure 36. Global LCOE estimates for floating technology⁷²

Sources: WindEurope (2018), Hundleby et al. (2017), Beiter et al. (2017), Wiser et al. (2016), ORE Catapult (2018)⁷³

Ryan Wiser, Karen Jenni, Joachim Seel, Erin Baker, Maureen Hand, Eric Lantz, Aaron Smith. "Expert Elicitation Survey on Future Wind Energy Costs. Article No. 16135." Nature Energy, Vol. 1 (2016): 8 pp. https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nenergy.2016.135.

Walter Musial, Philipp Beiter, Paul Spitsen, Jake Nunemaker, Vahan Gevorgian. 2019. 2018 Offshore Wind Technologies Market Report. DOE/GO-102019-5192. https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy19osti/74278.pdf.

Global Floating Wind R&D to Date

- Heavy emphasis on concept exploration (large number of different topologies) to drive down LCOE
- Focus on turbine / support structure design and installation and O&M (IO&M)



Global Floating Wind R&D to Date

- Still a significant potential for reducing LCOE for floating systems focusing on individual turbine/support structure units:
 - New concepts still coming
 - Systems engineering approaches picking up steam (including co-design with controls)
- Large scale demonstrations for 10+ MW machines on their way
- Small-scale commercial projects in development and larger projects coming down the pipeline



Source: EU FLAGSHIP project led by Iberdrola with DTU Wind involvement



Global Floating Wind R&D Needs

- Moving from floating wind demonstrators to floating wind farms will require research in several key areas:
 - Mooring system analysis and design at farm level including consideration of anchor types, anchor sharing, failure modes and reliability, risk assessment and more
 - Electrical collection system design and effects of dynamics on electrical cables (as well as integrated analysis with mooring system for full plant, and also substation and export)
 - IO&M strategies for full floating wind farm and their optimization
 - Design optimization for full floating wind farm accounting for support structures, mooring, electrical collection system, controls (i.e. co-design), and more



INTERNATIONAL ENERGY AGENCY

Implementing Agreement for Co-operation in the Research Development and Deployment of Wind Turbine Systems Task 11

Topical Expert Meeting #99 on

Floating Offshore Wind Array Challenges and Opportunities

IEA Wind Task 11 July 15, 17 & 20, 2020 Online meeting



Source: Principle Power



<u>Technical Lead and Host:</u> Cian Desmond – MaREI (UCC) Matt Hall, Matt Shields – NREL Daniel Averbuch, Fabrice Guillemin, Pauline Bozonnet – IFPEN Aaron Smith, Nailia Dindarova – Principle Power

PLANAIR ngénieurs conseils en énergises et environnement

<u>Operating Agent</u>: Nicolas El Hayek – Planair SA

Outline

- Floating wind global potential and R&D
- Floating wind farm design
 - Fixed-bottom wind farm design
 - Floating wind concepts
 - Floating wind farm design
- Example design problem: shared vs. unshared anchors
- Summary and Next steps

Fixed-bottom wind farm design

• Integrated design of a wind farm involves a full levelized cost of energy (LCOE) perspective



Fixed-bottom wind farm design

- Modelling of LCOE is complex and involves a large scope and timeframe with many sub-systems and disciplines including both physical and cost modelling of the system
 - Significant couplings
 - E.g. farm layout → support structures, collection system, energy production, IO&M
 - E.g. control strategy → energy production, reliablity
 - LCOE modeling a constant balance of fidelity (computational cost) and accuracy (believability)





Fixed-bottom wind farm optimization

497.5

- IEA Wind Task 37 reference wind farm integrated optimization of offshore fixed-bottom wind farm accounting for:
 - Energy production
 - Support structure costs
 - Electrical collection system costs





Wind plant layout optimization workflow for an offshore reference wind plant (Source: Sanchez Perez-Moreno et al 2017)



From fixed-bottom to Floating wind

- Concepts are numerous and will perform better or worse in certain operational environments
- Concept selection has significant influence on farm design including mooring, electrical collection system & IO&M



Source: Quest Floating Wind Energy https://questfwe.com/definitive-guide-to-floating-wind-concepts/

- Concept choice impacts many elements of LCOE:
 - AEP: individual turbine energy productions, wakes, available control strategies
 - CAPEX: support structure design and cost, mooring and anchor system design and cost, collection system design and cost, transportation, assembly, installation and overall logistics
 - OPEX: service strategies and logistics, reliability and unplanned maintenance / component replacements, available control strategies
 - Finance: risk profile, plant lifetime
 - Revenue: energy, capacity, services integration with storage, PtX, etc



• Many architecture and design decisions

Turbine





- Vertical-axis turbines
- Horizontal-axis turbines
- Multi-rotor turbines

•

. . .

• Upwind/downwind configuration

Platform



- Barge
- Spar

. . .

•

- Semi-submersible
- Tension-leg platform

Mooring System



- Anchor type
- Line number per turbine
- Shared / unshared anchors
- Inter-turbine lines'

• ...



Many architecture and design decisions

Electrical System

Installation & O&M

Free Hanging Catenary Lazy Wave Lazy Wave Lazy-S Lazy-S Lazy-S Pliant Wave

SURVEY INSTALL FOUNDATIONS Censtruction port Cen

Other Technologies



- Dynamic cabling configuration
- Substation and export cable configuaration

- Vessel types
- Installation procedures
- Overall IO&M strategies

• ...

- Electricity storage
- Power-to-x
- Other generation

• ...

Source: Victor et al 2017

. . .

Source: Clean Energy Group 2017



- Many architecture and design decisions
- And not one-to-one
- Very challenging mutlisystem multidisciplinary design & optimizationo problem!

Outline

- Floating wind global potential and R&D
- Floating wind farm design
 - Fixed-bottom wind farm design
 - Floating wind concepts
 - Floating wind farm design
- Example design problem: shared vs. unshared anchors
- Summary and Next steps



Example: shared versus unshared anchors

- Mooring and anchoring theory and design welldefined for O&G industry
- Mooring optimization has been applied to floating offshore wind for single systems
- Sharing of anchors is a potential pathway for LCOE reduction of a floating wind farm
- Study considers using less expensive unshared drag anchors with shared anchors using suction piles (Madsen 2020)



Source: Vryhoff Guide to Anchoring 2018



Patterns exploration

- Using shared anchors requires use of certain patterns.
- The investigation carried out on pattern with 3 mooring lines per anchor and 3 mooring lines per turbine
- As the farm size increases, the ratio of anchors/turbine decreases





Single mooring line optimization

- Single line mooring optimizes the overall cost of mooring by varying the chain diameter and length
- Optimized mooring line cost increases with increasing depth and footprint (with decreasing sensitivity for larger footprints)



Farm optimization – spacing versus mooring/anchoring cost

- Optimization conducted for inter-turbine spacing coupled with use of shared or unshared anchor systems
 - Total cost of mooring system = cable costs + anchor costs + supply and installation costs
- Shared anchors preferred for higher diameter spacings and optimum at 10 diameters (sensiitive to cost model)



DTU

Farm optimization – spacing versus mooring/anchoring cost

- Optimization of mooring cost per unit energy depends on depth (range of 8 to 14 diameters wiith increasing depth)
- Optimization for overall internal rate of return favors even higher spacing (though other significant costs i.e. electrical system are neglected)



DTU

Outline

- Floating wind global potential and R&D
- Floating wind farm design
 - Fixed-bottom wind farm design
 - Floating wind concepts
 - Floating wind farm design
- Example design problem: shared vs. unshared anchors
- Summary and Next steps

Summary

- Floating wind resource potential and cost trajectories provide basis for a very bright future for floating wind development
- R&D in floating wind up to now has largely focused on concept innovation and exploration and demonstration of individual units
- Floating wind farm development requires significant R&D
 - Design of wind farms involves a large number of trade-offs affecting overall system performance and cost
- Many innovation pathways towards reducing overall floating wind farm LCOE
 - Example of shared anchors just one potential pathway to LCOE reduction

Next Steps

- Extension of optimization work on shared and unshared anchors
 - Improved modeling of mooring and anchor system and costs
 - Different patterns number of lines per turbine, lines per anchor, etc
 - Incorporating more sub-systems i.e. electrical collection system

- Expert workshop on floating wind farm design and optimization
 - LCOE impacts and science and engineering challenges
 - Hosted by DTU and TU Delft online (end of Jan. / early Feb.)



Correspondence: Katherine Dykes, kady@dtu.dk

Thank you for your attention