

Marcel Schütt¹, Fabian Anstock¹ and Vera Schorbach¹

¹Hamburg University of Applied Sciences (HAW Hamburg)

EERA DeepWind Conference 2021

Marcel Schütt, Fabian Anstock and Vera Schorbach

The challenge of comparing the blade structure of 2- and 3-bladed turbines

The simplified aerodynamic redesign philosophies:





The relative blade structure does not change during the redesign!

The impact of the chosen philosophy on the structural characteristics:

- Increased chord: More beneficial for the blade structure due to improvements in blade stiffness (higher second moment of area)
 - \blacktriangleright Overproport. thinner material thickn. \rightarrow Higher rotor mass savings \rightarrow Lower buckling resistance
- Increased tip speed: Less beneficial for the blade structure (but offers other cost benefits, e.g. in the drive train)
 - > Not such reduced material thickn. \rightarrow Higher buckling resistance \rightarrow Lower rotor mass savings

Conflict of interest between prevent buckling and saving rotor mass!



Approach to overcome the challenge: One tip speed should results in the same buckling stability as the 3B reference \rightarrow <u>"BREAK-EVEN-POINT"</u>





Marcel Schütt, Fabian Anstock and Vera Schorbach

The procedure to detect the "break-even-point"

1. Choose tip speed

Initial tip speed of the two-bladed turbine's blade (e.g. 100 m/s)

2. Aerodynamic redesign

Convert a 3B reference blade into a 2B one by using the approach of fair comparablility¹



3. Structural redesign

Adapt the material thicknesses of the blade parts according to the method of progressive structural scaling²

4. Evaluation of the structural characteristics

Analyze the stress distributions, the buckling load factor, and the blade weight by use of FEAs





5. Repeat procedure

Use the procedure as iterative process

Compared on the next slides:

- 2B 20 MW blades with tip speeds
 - 90 m/s,
 - 95 m/s,
 - 100 m/s,
 - 105 m/s, and

HAW

- 110 m/s
- ➡ 3B reference: INNWIND 20 MW

HAM BURG

Anstock F., Schütt M., and Schorbach V. A new approach for comparability of two- and three-bladed 20 MW offshore wind turbines. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019.
Schütt M., Anstock F., and Schorbach V. Progressive structural scaling of a 20 MW two-bladed offshore wind turbine rotor blade examined by finite element analyses. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020

Marcel Schütt, Fabian Anstock and Vera Schorbach



HAW HAMBURG CC4E

Marcel Schütt, Fabian Anstock and Vera Schorbach

The "break-even-point"

Comparison of all buckling load factors and masses in one diagram:



Conclusion

- ✓ The "break-even-point" blade provides appr. the same stress distribution <u>and</u> appr. the same buckling resistance
- ✓ The redesigned "break-even-point" 2B turbine's blade enables a fair comparison with the 3B reference, concerning
 - \checkmark the blades' aerodynamics,
 - $\checkmark\,$ the blades' loads, and finally
 - ✓ the blades' structures.
- ✓ The procedure can be easily repeated for any other turbine and blade size, for which a fair comparison of 2B and 3B turbine blades should be investigated.
- The "break-even-point" procedure detected the most beneficial combination of preventing buckling and saving rotor mass.
- ✓ This compromise outperforms both extremes of increased chord or tip speed in contrast to most descriptions in literature.

HAW

HAMBURG

