

Taking the motion out of floating lidar: A method for correcting estimates of turbulence intensity



Felix Kelberlau(NTNU)Vegar Neshaug(Fugro)Lasse Lønseth(Fugro)Tania Bracchi(NTNU)Jakob Mann(DTU)

EERA DeepWind'2020, Trondheim, Norway 15 - 17 January 2020





Setup (1/2): SEAWATCH wind lidar buoy

- ZX300M wind lidar (ZX Lidars)
 - Doppler spectra, 49Hz
- MRU 6000 IMU (Norwegian Subsea)
 6 DOF motion, 50Hz
- Embedded PC
- GPS time server





Setup (2/2): Land based reference lidar

- Onshore reference lidar (ZX300)
- Frøya, Norway
- One month of data: April/May 2019
- 11 heights
 - 10 comparable:
 30-250m a.s.l.
- Offshore sector





Objective: Removing motion induced turbulence

Buoy motion increases estimates of turbulence intensity (TI)

• Compensate for the motion induced TI

$$TI_{lidar,floating} = TI_{lidar,fixed}$$



Approach

Compensation for every single line-of-sight measurement

 Translatory motion (Changed radial velocities)

2. Changing scanning geometry (Figure-of-eight fitting)

 Wind shear and veer (Changing measurement height)



Challenge 1: Access to line-of-sight data

- Embedded PC onboard
- Remote connection
- Waltz stream to file
- Files contain Doppler spectra but no radial velocities
- Determine radial velocities from Doppler spectra





Challenge 2: Emulate data processing (1/2)

 Wind vectors reconstructed by the unit's internal and my emulated processing are similar but not identical: TI: emulated vs. internal processing



- The effect is stronger for higher elevations
- Potential reasons:
 - Advanced radial velocity determination from Doppler spectra (Cloud detection)
 - Filtering of certain "bad" radial velocities
- We cannot imitate the ZX300 processing exactly

15.01.2020 – Taking the motion out of floating lidar

Challenge 2: Emulate data processing (2/2)

- As a consequence we will use three different datasets:
 - 1. Land reference: Data as it comes out of fixed unit 495
 - **2. Floating uncompensated**: Data as it comes out of floating unit 593
 - I. Emulated uncompensated: Data of unit 593 processed in a conventional way by my own code
 - **II. Emulated compensated**: Data of unit 593 processed in a conventional way by my *own code with motion compensation*
 - 3. Floating compensated:
 - Floating uncompensated

-(Emulated uncompensated – Emulated compensated)

Motion compensation

The aim is to see the same results between 1. & 3.



Challenge 3: Time synchronization (1/2)

1	Date	Time	IMUTimestamp_[-]_[-]
2	03/04/2019	53:01.7	2597921063

• MRU timestamp can be used directly (hh:mm:ss.xxxx)

1	Time and Date	Timestamp (s)	Uptime (ms)
2	04.04.2019 20.52.57	621809577	203314069

- Lidar Timestamp (hh:mm:ss) and Uptime value (ms) are independent
 - Uptime values are slower than Timestamp. Approx. 1.2s shift per day -> Reset once per day

Motion and wind data must be synchronized



Results (1/4): TI vertical profile



11/16

15.01.2020 – Taking the motion out of floating lidar

NTNU



12/16

15.01.2020 – Taking the motion out of floating lidar

Results (3/4): Error analysis 0.2 $TI_{ref}, \frac{\overline{\alpha}}{50}, \epsilon$ 0.1TI-0.1 -0.2100 200300 400 500600 700 800 Interval no. Uncomp. $(TI_{unc} - TI_{ref})$ — 30-min mean PDF μ, σ — Tilt amplitude $\overline{\alpha}$ — TI_{unc} Comp. $(TI_{com} - TI_{ref})$ μ, σ — Emulation error ϵ — TI_{ref} PDF**-** 30-min mean



13/16

15.01.2020 – Taking the motion out of floating lidar



14/16

15.01.2020 – Taking the motion out of floating lidar

Conclusions

Motion compensation on line-of-sight level works very well!

- Drawbacks:
 - Cumbersome acquisition of line-of-sight velocities
 - No knowledge about filter on line-of-sight level
 - No direct time synchronization
 - Not many samples per 10min per height
 - Large distance between the two lidar units

When time series of wind data are not required there might be a simpler solution

BTW: Horizontal mean wind speeds are also corrected



Thank...

... you for your attention and...



... for funding this project.

16/16

