Kalman estimation of position and velocity for ReaTHM testing applications
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Introduction

• Model testing can reduce the costs of offshore wind turbines (OWTs).
• Real-time hybrid model (ReaTHM) testing provides solution to challenges related to such tests.
• The system is divided into physical and numerical substructure.
• State estimator is designed to estimate and filter the positions and velocities of the physical substructure.

Numerical Model

Two different versions of the system are designed for tests using virtual and physical data:

Kinematic model
• Can represent the motion of any floating structure in 6-DOF.
• Plant model intended to simulate the physical system is implemented using the same state-space matrices.
• State vector consists of the variables to be estimated.
• Output vector consists of the variables which can be measured.
• System matrices are defined according to Fossen [1].
• Simplified model for tests with SIMA: linear and time-invariant.

Estimator design
• Kalman estimator chosen since it provides optimal estimates, minimizing the estimation error in the statistical sense.
• Both steady-state and time-varying versions are designed, implemented in MATLAB and tested.

Sensitivity analyses using virtual data

Sensitivity analyses addressing the robustness towards different types of disturbances are performed to identify the limits of the estimator. Time-varying Kalman estimator used for signal loss, otherwise steady-state version is used.

Validation of estimator using physical data

Both versions of the Kalman estimator are further tested against the laboratory experiments by Vilsen et al. [2]. Knowledge about delays and inaccuracies in the sensors used is taken into account.

Comparison of steady-state and time-varying Kalman estimates with physical data

Good results are obtained for both versions of the Kalman estimator.

Conclusions

• The generic kinematic model developed can recreate the SIMA simulated motions with reasonable accuracy.
• A Kalman estimator providing smooth and accurate position and velocity estimates in 6-DOF is designed, implemented and tested.
• The estimator is proven to be robust towards different types of disturbances.
• The estimator is able to estimate the states with a good accuracy, when compared with physical measurements.
• An improvement from the previously implemented estimators is demonstrated.
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