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Motivation

- Some numerical codes to simulate FOWT dynamics is been developing from different research groups all over the world.
- These very sophisticated numerical tools require experimental data to validation.
- There are a few of full scale data available and in most cases these data are not complete.

Objectives

- This work aims at proposing an approach to test scale models of FOWT complementary to wave basin testing.
- The design of a 6 degrees-of-freedom (DoF) robot, called “HexaFloat”, capable of reproducing the floating motion of a scale FOWT for wind tunnel experiments. This approach gives the chance to investigate the aerodynamics of using aeroelastic FOWT scale models in a 14mx4m civil boundary test section.
Hexafloat: experimental tool

An experimental tool to validate the numerical models to:

- Aero-Hydroelastic and floating codes
- Aerodynamic interaction
- Control strategies

- Known lab conditions
- Aerodynamic parameters (Reynolds Number effects)

- Real time forces measurement [rotor, nacelle, tower, blades]
- Wake measurement
- Overall aerodynamic forces measured at the base of the tower
- Dynamic behavior parameters
Politecnico di Milano Wind Tunnel

1.4MW Wind Tunnel

• **13.8x3.8m, 14m/s, civil section:**
  - turbulence < 2%
  - with turbulence generators = 25%
  - 13m turntable

• **4x3.8m, 55m/s, aeronautical section:**
  - turbulence <0.1%
  - open-closed test section

Turbulence (boundary layer) generators
FOWT: HIL approach

Wind Turbine Scale Model
- Real time force measurement [rotor, nacelle, tower]
- Overall aerodynamic forces measured at the base of the tower

Hardware-In-The-Loop [HIL]
Floater Dynamics Simulation:
- Real time hydro-structure interaction calculated
- Consistent floating platform motion provided
Robot requirements

The reference machine is the 5 MW NREL wind turbine
To define the specifications of the robot in terms of Frequencies and displacements
3 reference case have been chosen

1. MIT/NREL TLP
2. ITI Energy Barge
3. OC3-Hywind

The scale factor is 1/58, driven by the wind tunnel dimensions and BL reproduction

Froude scaling law has been adopted
Reynolds Number could be a problem → Known aerodynamics limits
### Robot requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dof</th>
<th>Design [m]</th>
<th>Design [Hz]</th>
<th>Verification [m]</th>
<th>Verification [Hz]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surge</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sway</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heave</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dof</th>
<th>Design [deg]</th>
<th>Design [Hz]</th>
<th>Verification [deg]</th>
<th>Verification [Hz]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roll</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Parallel kinematic robots (PKM) have been chosen.

The main peculiarities of such robots can be defined as follows:
1. High positioning accuracy
2. High load capability
3. High dynamic performances
4. Components modularity

“Hexaglide” kinematic architecture, also known as 6-PUS architecture with parallel rails.

Advantages
1. Low workspace center (TCP):
2. The workspace is characterized by a predominant direction
3. The actuation is placed basically on the ground
The Hexaglide robot is characterized by fixed links and parallel rails.

All the other architectural details are determined through a geometric parameterization.

Constrains: orientation workspace symmetric with respect to a vertical plane, parallel to the rails and passing through the longitudinal centerline of the machine.

The constrains results in a disposition of the links in pairs with a symmetry with respect to the vertical-longitudinal plane or the central symmetry with respect to the vertical axis passing through the TCP.
Design process: geometric parameters

The considerations and the constrains follow in choosing FAM 1. Symmetry considerations let us define a plane 2D problem.
A multi-objective optimization campaign via genetic has been used to define the dimensions of the manipulator.

The target are:
1. Coverage of the workspace
2. Static forces multiplication
3. Interference between the links
4. Interference between the links and the rails
5. Longitudinal size

Two different cost functions have been defined.

Thresholds have been decided in terms of maximal static force multiplication and minimal link-to-link and link-to-rail distance.

Different Pareto-optimal solutions were obtained and then kineto-statically analyzed and compared.
Design process: kinematic synthesis

**Static force multiplication**

$$\log_{10}(\tau_{\text{MAX}})$$

**Distance between links**

$$d(\text{link} \leftrightarrow \text{link}) [\text{m}]$$

**Distance between links and rail**

$$r(\text{link} \leftrightarrow \text{rail}) [\text{m}]$$
Design process: Dynamics

Multi-body model to solve the Dynamics
To dimension the joints and the rods of the robot

We impose independent sinusoidal motion to each degree of freedom and then compute the forces on each element.

This was useful to compare different solutions, considering also other technical issues such as mounting, management and cost-effective aspects.

Ball screw and Belt transmissions system were compared on the basis of the dynamic performances.
Design process: Dynamics, results

**FAM 1-1**
Type n. 3

\[ \tau_{\text{MAX}} = 50 \]

(+) 3.9 kN

(-) 1.4 kN

**FAM 1-1**
Type n. 4

\[ \tau_{\text{MAX}} = 20 \]

(+) 2.4 kN

(-) 1.4 kN

**FAM 3-2**
Type n. 1

\[ \tau_{\text{MAX}} = 20 \]

(+) 25.5 kN

(-) 25.2 kN

Axial + max (on all links)

Axial – Max (on all links)
Design process: Dynamics, results

Ball screw: Thomson WH80D

Belt drive: Thomson WH120

Pareto Optimal solution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WM80D</th>
<th>WH120</th>
<th>Fam. 1-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$v_{\text{max}}$ [m/s]</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_{\text{max}}$ [m/s²]</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>13.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_x$ [N]</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>2308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_y$ [N]</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>4980</td>
<td>709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_z$ [N]</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>9300</td>
<td>764</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hexapod Design: final design
Hexapod design: installation
Hexapod design: verification

A reference case has been used to test the robot capabilities. OC3 system was performed considering the nominal operational condition: Sea-state: Jonswap spectrum, wave height $H_s = 3.66\text{m}$, pick-period $T_p = 9.7\text{ s}$

FAST simulation results scaled to $1/58$
Hexapod design: verification

The selected case gives this output on the robot:

- **Slider 1**: $q_{\text{max}} = 0.015 - q_{\text{min}} = -0.014$
- **Slider 2**: $q_{\text{max}} = 0.020 - q_{\text{min}} = -0.020$
- **Slider 3**: $q_{\text{max}} = 0.015 - q_{\text{min}} = -0.016$
- **Slider 4**: $q_{\text{max}} = 0.014 - q_{\text{min}} = -0.014$
- **Slider 5**: $q_{\text{max}} = 0.016 - q_{\text{min}} = -0.016$
- **Slider 6**: $q_{\text{max}} = 0.012 - q_{\text{min}} = -0.012$

**Axial Force**
- **MAX**: $19.9kW/s$
- **MAX TENSION**
  - Over all links
  - In the WSd
- **Load Factor**
  - Over all links
  - In the WSd

**Min LINK-to-LINK distance [m]**
- Over all links in the total orientation WS

**Min LINK-to-RAIL distance [m]**
- Over all links in the total orientation WS
Conclusions

I. The designing process of a PKM to aero-hydro simulation of FOWT has been presented
II. The machine is designed to be inserted in a HIL test bench in wind tunnel
III. A new concept PKM has been designed using Pareto Optimal kinematic and dynamic solutions
IV. Commercial components
V. Very small vertical dimensions compared to the workspace
VI. The robot will be available at the end of 2014.