



Innovation type:
Computer model

TRL: 3-5

Date: June 2020

Contact:

Magnus Korpås
magnus.korpas@ntnu.no

Target group:

Actor/ purpose	x
DSO, TSO	x
Technology provider	x
Member organisation	
Market operator	x
Research/ Consultancy	x
Teaching	x

BATTPOWER Toolbox: Memory Efficient and High-Performance Multi-Period AC Optimal Power Flow Solver”

AC Optimal Power Flow (AC OPF) is a useful tool for modern grid planning, as wind, PV, energy storage and flexible demand become common parts of the system. We have developed a model which is able to solve the Multi-Period AC OPF problem in a fast way, making it highly attractive for simulation of large and complex grids

Challenge

Energy storage and flexible demand makes AC OPF computational very challenging to solve, and computation time is an issue when using commercial or free optimization solvers.

Solution

The solution is to derive a tailor-made optimization solver for the problem, utilizing the structure of the underlying mathematical formulation of the system. The method has been successfully tested on different test grids with different sizes and complexity.

Potential

Relevant for DSOs facing new challenges in planning and operation of their grid, such as:

1. Increasing amounts of prosumers with PV and batteries. The grid operators must be able to predict their net load profile, and also give right price or control signals for activating use of flexibility for grid services.
2. Increasing amounts of medium-scaled distributed generation, such as smaller wind farms and solar PV farms. These can be located in areas where the grid is weak. Energy storage can be an alternative to grid reinforcements.

Reference in CINELDI

The model is developed by in-kind PhD candidate Salman Zaferanlouei at NTNU, under guidance by Magnus Korpås (NTNU) and Hossein Farahmand (NTNU). The PhD is funded by NTNU through the OADE project.

S. Zaferanlouei et al. “[Computational Efficiency Assessment of Multi-Period AC Optimal Power Flow including Energy Storage Systems](#)”, IEEE SEST 2018.

Solver	Computational time (sec)
FMINCON	0.411
CONOPT	0.408
CONOPT4	0.592
COUENNE	0.637
IPOPT	0.538
IPOPTH	0.517
KNITRO	0.461
MINOS	0.413
PATHNLP	0.472
SNOPT	0.385
The Proposed Method	0.056

Comparing the computational time with benchmark solvers for a small 3-bus system.