## <u>Bifunctional Zeolite based Catalysts and Innovative</u> process for Sustainable Hydrocarbon Transformation **BiZeolCat**

## Dehydrogenations multi-scale process modelling

Blaž Likozar, Drejc Kopač, Damjan Lašič Jurković, Matej Huš

National Institute of Chemistry, Slovenia

Joint Webinar, April 13, 2021



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 814671.

Page I



The present publication reflects only the author's views and the European Union is not liable for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.

(Disclosure or reproduction without prior permission of BIZEOLCAT is prohibited).



### Propane dehydrogenation

#### **CATOFIN®** process

- chromia catalysts alumina support
- 850 K
- 1.2-1.5 bar O<sub>2</sub>
- <70% conversion</p>







#### **Atomistic level**

Methods:

- Electronic level: Density functional theory (DFT) calculations
  - Perdew-Wang 91 functional (GGA)
  - DFT+U for the 3d states of Cr, D-J = 4 eV
  - The Grimme dispersion (D3) correction
- Surface level: Kinetic Monte Carlo modelling
  - A 25 x 25 lattice with two four types of active sites (oxidised and reduced surface)
  - Using DFT calculated kinetic and TD parameters, 10<sup>7</sup> events
- Meso- and macroscopic: Kinetic modelling (ODEs)

#### Model:

- Based on the CATOFIN® process (chromia catalysts, alumina support, 850 K, 1.2-1.5 bar O<sub>2</sub>, <70% conversion)</li>
- Bulk a-Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> cut along the (0001) surface
- Cr termination reduced surface, O termination oxidised surface
- Added dopants to the surface
- 12 alternating layers (6 for O, 6 Cr)
- A 2x2 supercell (2*a* = 10.18 Å)
- Vacuum in the z direction: 15 Å, dipole correction included



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement no 814671



### Oxidation state of the surface



Left: reduced surface (Cr- terminated), right: oxidised surface (O-terminated)



Top view of the oxidised surface. For the reduced surface, an additional layer of Cr atoms is situated atop. Colour code: red - O, blue - Cr, green - O (top), teal - Cr (top).



Wang X.-G. and Smith, J. R. Surface phase diagram for  $Cr_2O_3(0001)$ : Ab initio density functional study. *Physical Review B*, 68, 201402, **2003**.



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement no 814671

Oxidised



# Interconversion between the reduced and oxidised surface

On the oxidised surface, **MvK** is possible. Two adjacent H<sup>\*</sup> form  $H_2O^*$  with a surface lattice oxygen atom, which can desorb, yielding an oxygen vacancy (reduced surface). The ensuing vacancy can be replenished with  $CO_2$  (unfavourable),  $N_2O$  (possible) or  $O_2$  (when two are adjacent). W/o an oxidant, the surface gets reduced. **Included in the model**.

	reaction	$E_A$ (eV)	$\Delta E \ (eV)^{\P}$	
1	$2 \text{ H}^* \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}^*_{\text{surf}} + *$	1.19	+0.91	_
<b>2</b>	$H_2O^*_{surf} \rightarrow H_2O(g) + V^*$	1.36	+1.36	2 H <sup>*</sup> recombine into $H_2O^*$ on the ox. surf.
3	$\mathbf{V}^* + * \to * + \mathbf{V}^*$	0.63	+0.00	$H_2O$ desorption yielding the red. surf.
4	$V^* + N_2O(g) \rightarrow * + N_2(g)$	0.73	-1.32	
<b>5</b>	$V^* + CO_2(g) \rightarrow * + CO(g)$	2.73	+2.35	Replenishment with $N_2O$
6	$2 V^* + O_2(g) \rightarrow O_2^{V^*}$	0.00	-0.89	Replenishment with CO <sub>2</sub>
7	$O_2^{V^*} \rightarrow 2 *$	0.64	-1.41	Replenishment with O <sub>2</sub> (two steps)
ſ	Net reactions differ when oxidant $C_3H_8 \rightarrow CH_3CH=CH_2 + H_2$ $C_3H_8 \rightarrow CH_3C=CH + 2 H_2$	s are used.		$N_{2}0 + V^{*}$ $TS(N_{2}0)$ $N_{2} + *$
	$C_{3}H_{8} + \frac{1}{2}O_{2} \rightarrow CH_{3}CH = CH_{2} + H$ $C_{3}H_{8} + O_{2} \rightarrow CH_{3}C = CH + 2 H_{2}O$	2O		

 $0_2 + 2V^*$ 

 $0_{2}^{V^{*}}$ 

 $TS(0_2)$ 





## **Adsorption**

	Reduced surface $(A)$				Oxidised surface $(E)$					
species	$E_{surf,dis}$	$E_{dis}$	$E_{int}$	$E_{ads}$	$E_{surf,dis}$	$E_{dis}$	$E_{int}$	$E_{ads}$		
$C_3H_8$	0.00	0.02	-0.38	-0.36	0.01	0.01	-0.25	-0.23		
$CH_3CH=CH_2$	0.03	0.02	-0.50	-0.45	1.20	2.68	-6.88	-3.00		
$CH_3C\equiv CH$	0.04	0.02	-0.69	-0.63	3.40	3.59	-11.09	-4.10		
$C_2H_6$	0.00	0.02	-0.25	-0.23	0.00	0.00	-0.21	-0.21		
$CH_2 = CH_2$	0.02	0.02	-0.43	-0.39	1.16	2.45	-6.50	-2.89		
CH≡CH	0.04	0.02	-0.46	-0.40	2.78	3.26	-10.23	-4.19		
$\mathrm{CH}_4$	0.00	0.01	-0.15	-0.14	0.00	0.00	-0.11	-0.11		
$H_2$	0.00	0.00	-0.04	-0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
$H_2$   0.00   0.00   -0.04   -0.04    0.00										
roject has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 rch and innovation program under grant agreement no 814671										

- Propane, ethane, methane adsorb negligibly
  CH<sub>x</sub> with double and triple bonds adsorb moderately on the reduced surface and extremely strongly on the oxidised surface
  Oxidised surface expected to be more active towards dehydrogenation and cracking
  This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement no 814671 ۰
- ٠





#### Reaction mechanism:

- Two types of elementary reactions:
  - dehydrogenations (C-H bond) and
  - cracking (C-C bond).

Vector space for possible elementary reactions the same on the oxidised and reduced surface.

Reactions that actually happen differ between the surfaces.

## All possible reaction steps were calculated on both surfaces.

They are to be used for modelling the effect of the oxidation state.







- Adsorptions of non-saturated CH<sub>x</sub> much stronger
- Greater affinity for hydrogen on the oxidised surface, similar activation barrier
- Lower mobility of H on the oxidised surface (strongly bound)

			Reduced surface $(A)$		Oxidised surface (E	
	reaction step	$_{\mathrm{type}}$	$E_A$	$\Delta E$ ¶	$E_A$	$\Delta E$ ¶
8&	$H_2(g) + 2\# \to H_2^{\#\#}$	ads.	0	-0.04	0	0.00
9 <sup>&amp;</sup>	$C_3H_8(g) + * \rightarrow C_3H_8*$	ads.	0	-0.37	0	-0.23
10&	$CH_3CH=CH_2(g) + * \rightarrow CH_3CHCH_2^*$	ads.	0	-0.45	0	-3.00
11&	$CH_3C \equiv CH(g) + * \rightarrow CH_3CCH^*$	ads.	0	-0.61	0	-4.10
$12^{\&}$	$CH_3CH_3(g) + * \rightarrow CH_3CH_3^*$	ads.	0	-0.23	0	-0.21
13&	$CH_2 = CH_2(g) + * \rightarrow CH_2CH_2*$	ads.	0	-0.39	0	-2.89
$14^{\&}$	$CH \equiv CH(g) + * \rightarrow CHCH^*$	ads.	0	-0.40	0	-4.19
$15^{\&}$	$CH_4(g) + * \rightarrow CH_4^*$	ads.	0	-0.14	0	-0.11
16	$\mathrm{H_2}^{\#\#} \to 2 \mathrm{H}^{\#}$	dis.	0.54	-0.83	0.58	-3.40
$17^{\&}$	$\mathrm{H}^{\#} + \# \to \# + \mathrm{H}^{\#}$	diff.	0.61	0	0.94	0



![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Reaction endothermic on the reduced surface and exothermic on the oxidised surface
- Lower barriers on the oxidised surface

			Reduced surface $(A)$		Oxidised surface $(E)$	
	reaction step	type	$E_A$	$\Delta E$ ¶	$E_A$	$\Delta E$ ¶
18	$\mathrm{C_3H_8}^* + \# \to \mathrm{CH_3CH_2CH_2}^* + \mathrm{H}^\#$	dehydr.	1.25	+0.85	0.19	-2.64
19	$C_3H_8^* + \# \rightarrow CH_3CHCH_3^* + H^{\#}$	dehydr.	1.27	+0.73	0.11	-2.70
20	$\mathrm{CH}_{3}\mathrm{CH}_{2}\mathrm{CH}_{2}^{*} + \# \to \mathrm{CH}_{3}\mathrm{CH}_{2}\mathrm{CH}^{*} + \mathrm{H}^{\#}$	deep	1.88	+1.59	0.55	-1.88
21	$\mathrm{CH}_{3}\mathrm{CH}_{2}\mathrm{CH}_{2}^{*} + \# \to \mathrm{CH}_{3}\mathrm{CH}\mathrm{CH}_{2}^{*} + \mathrm{H}^{\#}$	dehydr.	1.37	+0.04	1.76	-2.27
22	$\mathrm{CH}_{3}\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}^{*} + \# \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3}\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}^{*} + \mathrm{H}^{\#}$	dehydr.	0.84	+0.16	0.69	-2.21
23	$CH_3CHCH_3^* + \# \rightarrow CH_3CCH_3^* + H^{\#}$	deep	1.74	+1.44	3.57	-2.08
24	$\mathrm{CH}_{3}\mathrm{CH}_{2}\mathrm{CH}^{*} + \# \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3}\mathrm{CH}_{2}\mathrm{C}^{*} + \mathrm{H}^{\#}$	deep	1.87	+1.62	0.60	+0.45
25	$CH_3CH_2CH^* + \# \rightarrow CH_3CHCH^* + H^{\#}$	deep	1.79	-0.64	0.21	-2.16
26	$CH_3CHCH_2^* + \# \rightarrow CH_3CHCH^* + H^{\#}$	dehydr.	1.42	+0.90	2.14	-1.77
27	$CH_3CHCH_2^* + \# \rightarrow CH_3CCH_2^* + H^{\#}$	dehydr.	1.22	+0.82	0.23	-1.90
28	$\mathrm{CH}_{3}\mathrm{CCH}_{3}^{*} + \# \to \mathrm{CH}_{3}\mathrm{CCH}_{2}^{*} + \mathrm{H}^{\#}$	deep	0.64	-0.46	0.20	-2.03
29	$CH_3CH_2C^* + \# \rightarrow CH_3CHC^* + H^{\#}$	deep	0.30	-0.59	0.21	-2.34
30	$CH_3CHCH^* + \# \rightarrow CH_3CHC^* + H^{\#}$	deep	1.98	+1.68	2.40	+0.27
31	$CH_3CHCH^* + \# \rightarrow CH_3CCH^* + H^{\#}$	dehydr.	1.81	+0.37	0.96	-0.99
32	$\operatorname{CH}_3\operatorname{CCH}_2^* + \# \to \operatorname{CH}_3\operatorname{CCH}^* + \operatorname{H}^\#$	dehydr.	1.31	+0.45	0.83	-0.86
33	$CH_3CHC^* + \# \rightarrow CH_3CC^* + H^{\#}$	deep	0.86	-0.62	0.35	-0.90
34	$\mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{CCH}^* + \# \to \mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{CC}^* + \mathrm{H}^\#$	deep	0.92	+0.69	0.95	-0.36

![](_page_8_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Cracking strongly endothermic on the reduced surface, moderately exothermic on the oxidised surface
- On average, lower barriers on the oxidised surface
- Only steps with Ea < 3.5 eV shown. Different cracking routes on the surfaces.

			Reduced surface $(A)$		Oxidised surface $(E)$	
	reaction step	type	$E_A$	$\Delta E$ ¶	$E_A$	$\Delta E$ ¶
35	$C_3H_8^* + * \rightarrow CH_3CH_2^* + CH_3^*$	cracking	3.23	+1.23	3.02	-2.41
36	$CH_3CH_2CH_2^* + * \rightarrow CH_3CH_2^* + CH_2^*$	cracking	2.90	+1.92	1.96	-1.11
37	$CH_3CH_2CH_2^* + * \rightarrow CH_3^* + CH_2CH_2^*$	cracking	2.32	+0.60	3.15	-1.79
38	$CH_3CHCH_3^* + * \rightarrow CH_3CH^* + CH_3^*$	cracking	2.95	+2.22	1.83	-1.58
39	$CH_3CHCH_2^* + * \rightarrow CH_3^* + CH_2CH^*$	cracking	3.29	+1.44	1.96	-1.28
40	$CH_3CHCH_2^* + * \rightarrow CH_3CH^* + CH_2^*$	cracking	N/A	N/A	0.92	-0.71
41	$CH_3CCH_3^* + * \rightarrow CH_3C^* + CH_3^*$	cracking	2.55	+2.16	N/A	N/A
42	$CH_3CH_2CH^* + * \rightarrow CH_3^* + CH_2CH^*$	cracking	3.20	-0.11	2.81	-1.67
43	$CH_3CHCH^* + * \rightarrow CH_3^* + CHCH^*$	cracking	2.79	+1.26	2.30	-0.52
44	$CH_3CCH_2^* + * \rightarrow CH_3^* + CH_2C^*$	cracking	3.03	+2.24	N/A	N/A
45	$CH_3CH_2C^* + * \rightarrow CH_3^* + CH_2C^*$	cracking	2.76	-0.11	1.64	-1.76
46	$CH_3CCH^* + * \rightarrow CH_3^* + CHC^*$	cracking	3.14	+1.46	N/A	N/A
47	$CH_3CHC^* + * \rightarrow CH_3^* + CHC^*$	cracking	3.13	+0.16	2.66	-0.29
48	$\mathrm{CH}_{3}\mathrm{CHC}^{*} + {}^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3}\mathrm{CH}^{*} + \mathrm{C}^{*}$	cracking	N/A	N/A	0.70	+0.22

![](_page_9_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

			Reduced surface $(A)$		Oxidised surface $(E)$	
	reaction step	type	$E_A$	$\Delta E$ ¶	$E_A$	$\Delta E$ ¶
49	$C_2H_6^* + \# \rightarrow CH_3CH_2^* + H^{\#}$	dehydr.	1.42	+0.76	0.28	-2.66
50	$\mathrm{CH}_{3}\mathrm{CH}_{2}^{*} + \# \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2}\mathrm{CH}_{2}^{*} + \mathrm{H}^{\#}$	dehydr.	1.42	+0.21	0.92	-2.01
51	$\mathrm{CH}_{3}\mathrm{CH}_{2}^{*} + \# \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3}\mathrm{CH}^{*} + \mathrm{H}^{\#}$	deep	1.99	+1.72	0.43	-1.87
52	$\mathrm{CH}_{2}\mathrm{CH}_{2}^{*} + \# \to \mathrm{CH}_{2}\mathrm{CH}^{*} + \mathrm{H}^{\#}$	dehydr.	1.28	+0.88	0.36	-1.77
53	$CH_3CH^* + \# \rightarrow CH_3C^* + H^{\#}$	deep	1.59	+1.83	0.64	+0.39
54	$\mathrm{CH}_{3}\mathrm{CH}^{*} + \# \to \mathrm{CH}_{2}\mathrm{CH}^{*} + \mathrm{H}^{\#}$	deep	0.60	-0.63	0.13	-1.91
55	$CH_2CH^* + \# \rightarrow CH_2C^* + H^{\#}$	deep	1.86	+1.63	1.33	+0.36
56	$CH_2CH^* + \# \rightarrow CHCH^* + H^{\#}$	dehydr.	1.47	+0.72	0.13	-1.01
57	$CH_3C^* + \# \rightarrow CH_2C^* + H^\#$	deep	0.17	-0.83	0.04	-1.94
58	$CHCH^* + \# \rightarrow CHC^* + H^{\#}$	deep	0.70	+0.58	1.10	+0.51
59	$CH_2C^* + \# \rightarrow CHC^* + H^{\#}$	deep	0.55	-0.32	0.26	-0.69
60	$\mathrm{CHC}^* + \# \to \mathrm{CC}^* + \mathrm{H}^\#$	deep	1.99	+3.04	1.07	+0.92
61	$C_2H_6^* + * \rightarrow CH_3^* + CH_3^*$	cracking	3.13	+1.11	2.81	-2.23
62	$\operatorname{CH}_3\operatorname{CH}_2^* + * \to \operatorname{CH}_3^* + \operatorname{CH}_2^*$	cracking	2.75	+1.89	2.25	-0.91
63	$CH_2CH_2^* + * \rightarrow CH_2^* + CH_2^*$	cracking	N/A	N/A	1.05	-0.23
64	$CH_3CH^* + * \rightarrow CH_3^* + CH^*$	cracking	2.53	+2.27	N/A	N/A
65	$CH_3C^* + * \rightarrow CH_3^* + C^*$	cracking	2.30	+2.03	1.59	-1.30
66	$CH_2C^* + * \rightarrow CH_2^* + C^*$	cracking	N/A	N/A	0.26	-0.69
67	$CHC^* + * \rightarrow CH^* + C^*$	cracking	N/A	N/A	1.12	+0.66
68	$CC^* + * \rightarrow C^* + C^*$	cracking	N/A	N/A	0.45	-2.61
69	$\mathrm{CH}_4^* + \# \to \mathrm{CH}_3^* + \mathrm{H}^\#$	deep	1.42	+0.78	0.48	-2.46
70	$\mathrm{CH_3}^* + \# \to \mathrm{CH_2}^* + \mathrm{H}^\#$	deep	1.98	+1.54	0.64	-1.34
71	$\mathrm{CH}_{2}^{*} + \# \to \mathrm{CH}^{*} + \mathrm{H}^{\#}$	deep	2.31	+2.11	0.69	+0.48
72	$\mathrm{CH}^* + \# \to \mathrm{C}^* + \mathrm{H}^\#$	deep	1.86	+2.01	1.09	-2.35

![](_page_10_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Effect of oxidant

- Potential energy surface calculated (also  $\Delta G$  but not shown here)
- Two surfaces: oxidised (red) and reduced (black)
- On the oxidised surface, the reaction is exothermic. On the reduced, endothermic.
- Cracking not shown (calculated).
- Included MvK interconversion of the surfaces.
- Included burning away surface deposits of C\* (due to coking) with excess O<sub>2</sub> and surface oxygen O\*.

![](_page_11_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Effect of surface oxidation

#### Methods (KMC):

- A graph-theoretical approach (ZACROS), stiffness scaling of fast steps (adsorptions, diffusion)
- Rate expressions calculated via the TST from DFT data
- Including the ZPE and Gibbs free energy contributions (in the harmonic approximation)
- LH, ER and non-activated reaction steps, surface interconversion (oxidised, reduced)
- Effect of oxidants used (CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, O<sub>2</sub>)

#### Model (KMC):

- A quasi-hexagonal lattice with four types of active sites  $(O_{reduced}, Cr_{reduced}, O_{oxidised}, Cr_{oxidised})$  see on the right
- In total 324 sites
- Initially clear lattice
- 10<sup>7</sup> events
- Varying the operating conditions:
  - Pressure
  - Temperature
  - Influx mixture composition
  - Oxidant used
  - Oxidation state of the surface (ratio)

![](_page_12_Figure_19.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_20.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Effect of surface oxidation

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 10: (top) Selectivity towards propene (left) and CO<sub>2</sub> (right), (bottom) Catalyst activity (left) and propene yield (right). Propane dehydrogenation is performed at  $p_{CH_3CH_2CH_3} = 1.0$  bar,  $p_{\text{oxidant}} = 1.0$  bar at T = 900 K over surfaces with a varying fraction of oxidation. Symbols shape denotes the oxidant used:  $\blacksquare O_2$ ,  $\bullet N_2O$ ,  $\blacktriangle$  none. Lines are the guides for an eye.

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Kinetic modelling**

#### Methods and model (MKM):

- Solving a system of continuous differential equations
- Reaction rates are expressed as changes in surface coverage over time, computed based on reaction rate constants, reaction orders, surface coverages

$$r_n = k_f \prod_{i=1}^{I} \theta_i^{S_{i,n,f}} - k_b \prod_{j=1}^{I} \theta_j^{S_{j,n,b}}$$

• Mass balances of the surface species are sums of reaction rates times stoichiometry factors

$$\frac{d\theta_i}{dt} = R_i = \sum_{n=1}^N \left( -S_{i,n,f} + S_{i,n,b} \right) r_n$$

• Mass balances for gas phase species:

$$\frac{dC_i}{dt} = \frac{V\varepsilon}{F_{\rm in}} C_{i,\rm inlet} + C^* \frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} R_i - \frac{V\varepsilon}{F_{\rm out}} C_i$$

- CSTR reactor (PFR is analogously solved)
- 20 wt% catalyst loading, specific surface area 200 m<sup>2</sup>/g, density 3.6 g/mL
- GHSV = 300 h<sup>-1</sup>

### Simulations in progress, results to be presented in the next GAM.

![](_page_14_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Kinetic modelling**

#### Butane dehydrogenation

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

Figure 4. Butane conversion from MKM simulations at different operating conditions. The GHSV was fixed to 300  $h^{-1}$ . The red dashed line shows the minimum conversion achieved by the CATOFIN–CATADIENE technologies.

![](_page_15_Figure_5.jpeg)

Figure 7. Bulk gas concentrations in the steady-state operation of the modelled CSTR reactor, at different temperatures. The conditions are P = 1 bar and GHSV = 300 h<sup>-1</sup>.

![](_page_15_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Kinetic modelling**

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

Figure 5. Selectivities to various products at different temperatures and 300  $h^{-1}$  GHSV, at 0.1 bar (left) and 1 bar (right) pressures. The main product is 2-butene, but at higher temperatures and lower pressures, 2-butyne starts to dominate the selectivity.

![](_page_16_Figure_4.jpeg)

Figure 6. Relative fraction of free active sites for hydrocarbons (left) and hydrogen (right) adsorption. Surface coverage is low (maximum of  $\sim 6\%$ ) throughout various operating conditions.

![](_page_16_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Full multi-scale

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_3.jpeg)

<u>Bifunctional Zeolite based Catalysts and Innovative</u> process for Sustainable Hydrocarbon Transformation **BiZeolCat** 

## Thank you for your attention

Blaz.Likozar@ki.si

![](_page_18_Picture_3.jpeg)

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 814671

![](_page_18_Picture_5.jpeg)

The present publication reflects only the author's views and the European Union is not liable for any use that may be made of the information contained therein.

(Disclosure or reproduction without prior permission of BIZEOLCAT is prohibited).