How to handle unbalance in a wind-farm, using the market or your own hydropowerplants?

Gunnar Aronsen Senior Poweranalyst Trønder Energi Kraft AS(TEK)



Development of wind power within TEK

Phase 1: 1986 – 1990

- Astrid 55 kW 1986
- Big brother 400 kW 1990

Phase 2: 2000 – 2012

- Valsneset wind farm : 11,5 MW/ 30 GWh : 2006
- Bessakerfjellet wind farm : 57,5 MW/170 GWh : 2008
- Ytre Vikna wind farm (50 %) : 39.0 MW/115 GWh : 2012

Phase 3: 2013 -

- Valid licence : 80 MW
- Licence given, but appealed : 265 MW
- Work in progress to submit applications for new licences.



Hypothesis

Is it possible to use one or several hydropower-plants to balance wind-farms

- Test this hypothesis on one specific hydropowerplant
- Us data from Valsneset and Bessaker wind-farm
- Limit time-period of analysis to 2011 and 2012
- Analysis is based on hourly data
- Historical water-values are used for calculation of marginal-cost



Description selected hydropower plant

Søa

Pmax	: 36 MW
Popt	: 24 MW(approx.)
Pmin	: 15 MW
Full load hours	: 5300
Annual production	: 192 GWh
Qmax	: 17 m ³ /s
Qmin	: 5 m ³ /s
FNR	: 1.2 MW/(0.1* HZ) (R = 6 % constant)
Max. reservoir head	: 279.83 m.a.s.l.
Min. reservoir head	: 260.00 m.a.s.l.
Reservoir volume	: 67 Mm ³
Tail water level	: 0 m.a.s.l. (release directly to sea)

No restriction on how to operate the plant. Only restriction is a minimum water-level during summer months.





700 Price-Orkdal - Watervalue 500 401 X 320 X 240 160 80 Feb Dec Mar Oct May Sep Feb Jan Apr Nov Jan May Sep Nov Dec Jap Mar Apr Jun Jul Uci Auq Auc Uľ

Spotprice Orkdal and watervalue Soea hydropower-plant in 2011 and 2012















- P Case 1 : Hydro-power plant is not running and wind-farm must regulate down.
- Case 2 : High inflow etc, down regulation of hydropower-plant is not feasible.
 - **Case 3:** Hydro-power plant is running at maximum effect, upregulation is not feasible.

•

•

- Case 4: Hydro-power plant is not producing, and up-regulation volume is less than Pmin.
- Case 5: Hydro-power plant is running at MC > regulation-price and upward regulation is not economic.























Summary analysis

- Available for down regulation : 47/2 GWh
- Available for up regulation
- Annual production in Søa
- · 16/2 GW/b
- : 16/2 GWh
- : 192 GWh
- Volume for down regulation is questionable since it can lead to an increase in loss of water.
- Cost for regulation up tends to be above market prices
- Cost for down regulation is evenly distribute above and under market prices in our sample



Summary analysis

For

- Development in wind-unbalance is symmetric even in short-run and will therefore not affect water-values
- Minor volumes can probably be regulated cheaper than in the market
- Minor unbalance can probably regulated automatically

Against

- Concurrent effect significant reduce the number of hours available for regulation with use of hydro-power
- A small sample shows that using the market will be preferred in many hours.
- Calculation of cost is essential and challenging in an operative environment

- Will for sure increase the number for start/stop
- Need several hydro-power plants to balance even a small wind-farm, assuming medium-sized plants used for this purpose
- Investment must be done in automation solutions
- Return on investment is hard to estimate



Conclusion

Just now, don't do it.

If you want to do it, you probably need to do an in-depth study on the hydro-power plants singled out for this purpose.

