

Flexible routines for computing sensitivities by adjoints

MRST Symposium, September 14-15, 2021 S. Krogstad and M.A. Borregales Reverón



- Parameter sensitivities are useful for model calibration and establishing influence of parameter uncertainties (amongst other things).
- Sensitivities for any number of parameters can be efficiently computed in a single adjoint simulation.
- Adjoint-based computation of sensitivities has been part of MRST for some years, but recent work on calibration of network-type/coarsened models revealed the need for a much more flexible implementation.
- Here we present the new **ModelParameter**—class (and accompanying functions), and illustrate its use by two simple examples.



The ModelParameter-class

- Main purpose is to keep track of and update parameters for adjoint simulations and optimization
- Any parameter belonging model, schedule or initial state can be set up
- Default setup available for many common parameters
- Options for setting up multipliers, subsets, groupings and parameter scaling for use in optimization.

```
>> % struct of simulation setup
>> setup
setup =
  struct with fields:
       model: [1×1 GenericBlackOilModel]
    schedule: [1×1 struct]
      state0: [1×1 struct]
>> % default setup of pore volume parameter
  p = ModelParameter(setup, 'name', 'porevolume')
= a
  ModelParameter with properties:
              name: 'porevolume'
              type: 'value'
           boxLims: [22.9880 5.1091e+03]
            subset: ':'
           scaling: 'linear'
    referenceValue: []
         belongsTo: 'model'
          location: {'operators' 'pv'}
            nParam: 200
           lumping: []
            setfun: @(obj,loc,v)setfield(obj,loc{:},v)
```

scalingBase: NaN

controlSteps: []



- For computing parameter sensitivities, some objective needs to be defined. For instance, matchObservedOW computes the mismatch between the output of a simulation (rates, BHP) to a supplied reference output.
- For a list of parameter objects, the corresponding sensitivities are computed by the function computeSensitivitiesAdjointAD
- For an optimization setting, everything is wrapped together in the function evaluateMatch that evaluates the objective and optionally its gradient with respect to the set of *scaled* parameters.

Example 1: tuning a coarse Egg-model

- We consider a very coarse upscaling of the first realization of the Egg ensemble*: 18533 cells -> 33 cells
- Initial coarse model created by upscaleModelTPFA (simple harmonic averaging of transmissibilities)
- For illustration, we introduce a large number (297) of coarse model parameters

*Jansen, J.D., Fonseca, R.M., Kahrobaei, S., Siraj, M.M., Van Essen, G.M., Van den Hof, P.M.J.: The egg model–a geological ensemble for reservoir simulation. Geo-science Data Journal1(2), 192–195 (2014).



| config = { | | | | |
|-----------------|---------|-----------|-------------------|----------------|
| %name | include | scaling | boxlims | relativeLimits |
| 'porevolume', | 1, | 'linear', | [.01*pv, 1.5*pv], | [] |
| 'conntrans', | 1, | 'log', | [], | [le-2, le2] |
| 'transmissibili | ty', 1, | 'log', | [], | [le-2 le2] |
| 'swl', | 1, | 'linear', | [0, .3], | [] |
| 'swcr', | 1, | 'linear', | [0, .4], | [] |
| 'swu', | 1, | 'linear', | [.7, 1], | [] |
| 'sowcr', | 1, | 'linear', | [0, .4], | [] |
| 'krw', | 1, | 'linear', | [.5, 1.5], | [] |
| 'kro', | 1, | 'linear', | [.5, 1.5], | []} |

Technology for a better society

SINTEF



- We train the coarse model output to the fine model output using a randomly perturbed schedule
- We run the optimization for 30 iterations (34 coarse model simulations)
- The tuned coarse model is then validated for different schedules





Example 1: tuning a coarse Egg-model



SINTEF





Technology for a better society

SINTEF

Example 1: tuning a coarse Egg-model

- Tuned coarse model able to capture dynamics of fine model well except for early transients.
- Tuned coarse model performs well also for validation runs, but clear (and obvious) tendency that match is best for simulations relatively *close* to the training.



Example 2: optimizing valve openings

- To illustrate alternative use of sensitivity code, we consider a simplified example with valves.
- Rather than using a mismatch function as objective, we use net-present-value (NPV)
- Valve openings are approximated by wellindex multipliers along ten sections of each well
- Multipliers are allowed to change every 60 days (2x10x14 = 280 parameters)





Example 2: optimizing valve openings



Technology for a better society



- New features for computation and use of parameter sensitivities in MRST is now available (Release 2021a). Further examples available in optimization-module and network-model-module. Examples presented here, and a few updates will be pushed to master-branch on bitbucket after release.
- Model tuning based on sensitivities obtained from adjoints is efficient, but one should be aware:
 - Accuracy of adjoint-based sensitivities/gradients is linked to accuracy of simulator. Consider using tighter tolerances than default in forward runs.
 - Some of the computed sensitivities may be extremely small, e.g. for very high transmissibilities/wellindexes relative to neighbouring parameters (may also be subject to round-off errors). This can to some extend be improved by using logarithmic scaling, but for optimization one should take this into account when selecting an initial guess.



Technology for a better society