SHOP

Hans Ivar Skjelbred, Jiehong Kong, Ellen Krohn Aasgård Per Aaslid Per Wahl, Hans Olaf Hågenvik, Michael Belsnes, Christian Øyn Naversen





30-year Development of Short-term Hydro Optimization Program (SHOP)

The state-owned power and grid company Statkraftverkene financed the initial prototype of SHOP in 1989. The first operational version was delivered in 1996 to Harris Controls who licensed SHOP to hydropower producers in India and Egypt. Further research on algorithmic development, the breakthrough of computer hardware, and result validation supported by the industry lead to the first operational use of SHOP in Norway at Statkraft in 2003. Since then SHOP has been taken into use by more than 25 major hydropower producers in Norway, Sweden, Switzerland, Italy, Austria, and Chile. At the same time, SHOP has facilitated numerous research projects on hydro scheduling. To keep SHOP start-of-the-art, the problem formulation, solution methods, and data input structure

(SHOP-SIM) is built into SHOP to validate the result from the optimization model and to determine the physical consequences of manual re-planning. The uncertainty in market price and inflow (SHARM) has been implemented within the framework of SHOP. The method is based on a discrete representation of uncertainty in the form of scenario trees. A new bidding module (Best profit) is developed to support the real-time energy trading in both reserve activation market and the intraday market.

SHOP is coded in the C/C++ programming language. The optimization program can by solved by commercial solvers CPLEX and GUROBI or open source solvers like CBC. The optimization process is designed to be carried out either locally, on an in-house server, or in cloud services. Originally, all input data and output results in SHOP are listed in ASCII files. Now, an application programming interface (API) is developed. It allows the user to input and update data, execute the model, and examine results in their own applications.

were redesigned in 1996, 2008, and 2016, respectively.

SHOP optimizes unit commitment and load dispatch decision for cascade hydropower plants. Pelton, Francis and Kaplan turbines are represented, as well as fixed speed and variable speed pumps. In addition to the common reservoir, plant, gate, junction, and reserve modules, recently, a watercourse simulator

Current Modules in SHOP

SHOP comprises modules that are consistent with the real-world hydraulic systems and market conditions. Different functionalities have been developed to meet the requirements posed from the real-life operation.



API/PySHOP

The fundamental concept of the API is to provide basic functions with relatively simple data structures to transfer all data to and from SHOP. The API can be flexibly integrated by different programming languages, such as Python, C# and VBA.



Bidding (Best Profit)

Best Profit is a curve that shows optimal plant production as a function of the market price in a single time step. Production must monotonously increase with price. Start-/stop-costs of units are taken into account. Best Profit is primarily developed for bidding in mFRR, but can in principle be used for bidding in other energy markets.



Junction Gate Optimization

The flow in pressurized tunnels can be modelled by junctions and junction gates in SHOP. A junction is always open for all tunnels connected to it. A junction gate can have an additional schedule to predefine the gate state, i.e. whether Tunnel 1 or Tunnel 2 or both tunnels are open/closed. The goal of Junction Gate Optimization is to optimize the state of the binary gates inside pressurized tunnels. The flow in each tunnel is modelled by friction coefficients. Restrictions for down-time after each change in the gate state are specified as input data. The output is a time series per tunnel with the optimized discharge. In addition, a time series per tunnel with gate state is also given as result. The cost for changing gate state is added to the overall objective.





SHARM



Hans Ivar Skjelbred, <u>HansIvar.Skjelbred@sintef.no</u> Ellen Krohn Aasgård, <u>Ellen.Aasgard@sintef.no</u> Christian Øyn Naversen, <u>christian.naversen@sintef.no</u> Marte Fodstad, <u>marte.Fodstad@sintef.no</u>



Functionality for including stochastics in short-term scheduling

The SHARM functionality in SHOP allows for modelling the stochastic nature of prices and inflow in short-term scheduling. The SHARM functionality builds a stochastic version of the successive linear programming algorithm that is used inn SHOP. The method is based on a discrete representation of uncertainty in the form of scenario trees.

The SHARM functionality also include decision support for optimal day-ahead bidding. As a result, bid curves can be obtained as a direct result from the optimization.

Participation in markets other than the day-ahead market may offer producers increased profits or more flexible production schedules. How can hydropower producers optimize their strategy for multiple services and products? Coordinating the trading strategy across several markets may be a competitive advantage for producers. The SHARM functionality allows for stochastic representation of multiple markets.

The SHARM functionality has been developed in a series of research projects funded by the Research Council and industry partners.

Day-ahead bid optimization

The MultiSHARM project has extended the SHARM functionality to support optimization of bids to the day-ahead energy market.

Bids are calculated from optimal production schedules for each scenario. To get a non-decreasing curve, bids in any scenario has to be lower than or equal to bids in scenarios with higher price.



Multiple markets: Energy and reserves

It is possible to optimize sales of both energy and reserves in SHOP. This functionality is also available with stochastic inflows, prices and market depth with the SHARM functionality.



SHOP offers support on how to allocate production between energy and reserves. With SHARM functionality, it is also possible to stochastic prices and market depth for multiple products.

To build an increasing bid curve, we must compare the production quantity across scenarios.

The bid curve may need to be reduced to comply with the size limitations set by market rules. This is done by a greedy heuristic that removes the least important columns of the bid matrix.



The bid curve is reduced by removing the columns which give the minimal change in shape of the curve.

Scenario generation

The representation of uncertainty is important in stochastic models. The MultiSHARM project has developed a new method for scenario generation based on historical forecasts and forecasts errors.



Plot of 100 generated scenarios. The black curve shows the forecast, the red curve shows the realized market prices.



HydroCen WP3: Market and Services

Birger Mo, <u>birger.mo@sintef.no</u> Arild Helseth, <u>arild.Helseth@sintef.no</u> Per Eilif Wahl, <u>Per.E.Wahl@sintef.no</u> Linn Emelie Schäffer, <u>LinnEmelie.Schaeffer@sintef.no</u>



Main goal

The main objective of WP 3 in HydroCen is to develop methods and prototype models to maximize the value potential for hydropower in a future with changing markets and restrictions, rapid technology development and increasing needs for renewal and upgrades.

The work is structured in five tasks, where Task 3.1 and 3.2



HydroCen scenarios (Task 3.1)

Reference scenario 2030

Moderate assumptions for 2030.

- Planned increase in transmission
- Thermal power generation modelled with start costs
- Fuel- and CO2- prices based on expectations for 2030
- Phase out of coal in many countries and increase in RES

Low emission scenario 2030

- Reference scenario
 - + Increased WPP and SPP (~ 20%)
 - + Lignite phased out in Germany
 - + Increased transmisison capacity NO-GB and DE (1400 MW)



Electricity generation mix 2030



Wind Solar Hydropower Other RES Nuclear Other

Spot price results 2030 – Sorland



Simulator (Task 3.3 and 3.4)



The plots show the power price (top) and duration curve for the power price (bottom) for a full year in Sorland, Reference and Low Emission Scenario



The plots show the power price for a winter week (left) and a summer week (right) in Sorland for three historical weather years in the Reference and Low Emission Scenario.





The illustrations show the simulator concept (top) and setup (bottom).



New H2020 project: Open ENTRANCE Modelling in support to the transition to a Low-Carbon Energy System in Europe

Coordinated by SINTEF Energy Research, Ingeborg Graabak, <u>Ingeborg.Graabak@sintef.no</u>



Objectives

The primary objective of Open ENTRANCE is to contribute to an improved and robust understanding of the transition to a low carbon energy system in Europe by developing, demonstrating and using an Open platform. The platform will be populated with a suite of open integrated modelling tools and a common database including all necessary data for conducting among other scenario building exercises and macro-economic analyses of pathways to a low-carbon energy system at regional, national and pan-European level.



Concept



List of case studies

- Assess how demand-response from household consumers (based on real large-scale data) will impact the European power system
- 2. Assess how demand-response from communities of actors partly being self-supplied will impact the European power system
- 3. Compare the flexibility provided by pumped hydro storage and batteries for the future European power system
- 4. Assess flexibility provided by electric vehicle to the European power system.
- 5. Compare different levels of geographic coordination for investment decisions, both at regional and European level, focusing on the topic of decentralisation.
- 6. Study the use of innovative technology in terms of underground rocks for seasonal storage of heat from summer to winter in a district in Oslo, Norway.
- Evaluate how the use of flexibility from the heating sector at different time scales may have an impact on the power system operation costs and network expansion needs.

Consortium of 14 partners

TU Wien, Austria IIASA, Austria TU Berlin, Germany EDF, France Comillas, Spain KHAS, Turkey NTNU, Norway Energi Institute, Austria Fraunhofer, Germany TNO, The Netherlands DIW Berlin, Germany DTU Denmark WFC, Germany SINTEF Energi, Norway



and 45 stakeholders including some of the largest energy companies in Europe

8. Investigate the role of natural gas storage in current and future energy systems in transition.

Models available through the Open Platform

MODEL	LEAD PARTNER	DESCRIPTION	ELEC- TRICTY	HEAT	GAS	TRAN- SPORT
GENeSYS- MOD	TU Berlin	Energy System Model, cost-optimizing linear program, focusing on long-term developments	x	x	x	x
REMES	NTNU/ SINTEF	Regional Economic Modelling with focus on the Energy System	x	x		x
EXIMOD 2.0	TNO	Multisector multi region CGE model, measures the environmental and economic impacts of policies.	х	х	x	x
EMPIRE	NTNU	Power infrastructure investment model	х			
TEPES	Comillas	Power infrastructure investment model	х			
HERO	TU Wien	Optimal capacity allocation and dispatch of distributed generation and battery storage for meeting the energy services needs of local energy communities	x	x	x	x
OSCARS	TU Wien	Optimal utilization of small battery storages and flexible loads on prosumer level under various operation strategies	x	x		
Plan4RES	EDF	Modelling suite for the electricity system: i/ a capacity expansion model ii/ a seasonal storage valuation tool iii/ an European operational dispatch model	x			
FRESH:COM	TU Wien	FaiR Energy SHaring in Local COMmunities: Multi-objective optimization tool for local RES technology portfolio dimensioning	х			х

Facts

Financed by the European Commission Budget: 5 mill Euros Project period: 2019-2021



Norwegian Energy Road Map 2050 – KPN project



SINTEF Energy Research, IFE, SINTEF Building and Infrastructure, NTNU Indøk

Objective

Develop a knowledge basis

Establish a knowledge basis about how a low carbon future will impact the energy, the power and the transmission systems and the overall economy in Norway. Provide recommendations on how policy measures can be implemented within a Norwegian societal and political context.

Develop a framework for consistent analyses

Establish a holistic framework for consistent analyses in and beyond the project. The framework shall include linked energy- and power models as well as a general equilibrium model and a cross-disciplinary research team.

We have 12 years to limit climate change

The climate law in Norway

catastrophe, warns UN (October 2018)

The world's leading climate scientists have warned there is only a dozen years for global warming to be kept to a maximum of 1.5C, beyond which even half a degree will significantly worsen the risks of drought, floods, extreme heat and poverty for hundreds of millions of people.

The authors of the landmark report by the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) released on Monday say urgent and unprecedented changes are needed to reach the target, which they say is affordable and feasible although it lies at the most ambitious end of the Paris agreement pledge to keep temperatures between 1.5C and 2C.



A firefighter battles a fire in California. The world is currently 1C warmer than preindustrial levels. Photograph: Ringo HW Chiu/AP

Source: The Guardian

Scenarios

Industry and service sector grow equally	Reference scenario	Industry scenario	Service scenario
GDP	Increase 0% pr capita per	Increase 0% per capita	Increase 0% per capita

§ 4. Climate target for 2050: Norway shall be a low emission society. The greenhouse gas emissions shall be reduced with 80-95% compared to the Reference year 1990.

Utslipp av klimagasser, Norge, fordelt på sektor, i millioner tonn CO2-ekvivalenter. Inkl. alle klimagasser: Karbondioksid, metan, lystgass, hydrofluorkarboner, perfluorkarboner, svovelheksafluorid. Utslipp fra utenriks sjøfart og luftfart ikke inkl.



- Olje- og gassutvinning
- Industri og bergverk
- Energiforsyning
- Oppvarming i andre næringer og husholdninger
- Veitrafikk
- Luftfart, sjøfart, fiske, motorredskaper m.m.
- 🛑 Jordbruk
- 😑 Andre kilder

Emissions 2017 compared to 1990 Norway + 3% Sweden -26% EU - 21.9%

Kilde: https://energiogklima.no/klimavakten/norges-utslipp/ Kilde: SSB

Models

GDI	year. Sensitivity 0.8%	per year. Sensitivity: 0.8%	per year.		
Business sector	Present mix	Present mix	Present trend		
Oil- and gas	Sector grows based on "Perspektivmelding"	Oil sector decommissed. Gas sector transformed	Oil and gas sector decommissed		
Industry- and service	ndustry- d service Sector grow equally. Energy consumption in industry sector as in 2015		Service sector grows		
Transport					
Demand	CENSES REF (NTP)	CENSES REF (NTP)	Person car km as in 2015. Increased use of public transport		
Teknology	Fossile	El and hydrogen	El and bio		
	Not CCS	CCS	Not CCS		
Residentals	Limited energy efficiency	CENSES reference scenario, energy efficiency	Lower energy demand due to environmentally conscious consumers reduced consumption of goods, 7 TWh PV		

Contacts

Ingeborg Graabak, <u>Ingeborg.Graabak@sintef.no</u> Kari Espegren, <u>kari.Espegren@ife.no</u> Sigrid Damman, <u>Sigrid.damman@sintef.no</u> Asgeir Tomasgard, <u>asgeir.Tomasgard@ntnu.no</u>

Duration 2016 - 2019



Case study hydrogen production

- Potentials and barriers for upscaling of production
- How to facilitate for hydrogen as an energy carrier?
- Qualitative study based on interviews with many different actors

Intervjuer				Workshops			Andre prosjekter			
Norsk H2		MDIR		Unleashing the H2 market			MoZEES			
Varanger kraft		ZERO		Scandria	a2act			HyLAW		
Equinor		Bellona		HyLAW, Brüssel			TRAZEPO			
Greenstat		OED (?)		H2fc 2018 Trondheim				Mission H2		
Statkraft		KLD (?)		TBU: H2 - ny industri i Norge		ge				
Gasnor/SKL		Enova		Klimapartnere Rogaland				etc.		
Glomfjord Hydro	gen AS									
Technip (Deep Purple)		Hordalar	nd FK							
HYPER (prosj)		Trøndela	ag FK							
NEL		Rogaland FK								
Praxair										
UnoX		Meløy Kommune Samt dokume			kument	entstudier!				
Hexagon		Berlevåg Kommune								
Statnett		Matre Kommune								
Hydrogenforum										
Innovasjon Norg	e									
BaneNor										
NHO-LT										
Norges Taxiforbu	und									
Tizir										
Yara										





PRIBAS Pricing Balancing Services in the Future Nordic Power Market

Mari Haugen, <u>mari.Haugen@sintef.no</u> Arild Helseth, <u>arild.Helseth@sintef.no</u> Birger Mo, <u>birger.mo@sintef.no</u> Stefan Jaehnert, <u>stefan.jaehnert@sintef.no</u> Christian Øyn Naversen, <u>christian.naversen@sintef.no</u>





Project goal

- Develop a fundamental multi-market model for the Nordic power system
- Compute marginal prices for all electricity products
- Including reserve capacity and balancing energy

Motivation

Results day-ahead prices

• The model was run on the Low emission 2030 dataset from

HydroCen

- The results are compared with results from the EMPS model
- Inflow year 1958
- Monday to Friday week 1

- The European power market is in transition
- More volatile prices and lager volumes in balancing markets
- Increased need for flexibility in the power market
- Changing market designs

Current market models are not customized for the future power system

- Technical details and fine time-resolution become more important
- Short-term uncertainty needs to be considered







Wind and solar power for Germany



Model concept

- Compatible with V10 EMPS data
- Optimize daily decision problems with interpolation in weekly cuts or water values from Fansi or EMPS
- 15 minute time-resolution
- Rolling-horizon

1) Clearing of energy and reserve capacity (first-stage decision)

2) Deviations in forecasts (e.g. wind and load)

3) Balancing using the procured resources (second-stage decision)



MAD – Methods for Aggregation and Disaggregation



Birger Mo, <u>Birger.Mo@sintef.no</u> Ole Martin Hansen, <u>OleMartin.Hansen@sintef.no</u>



Project goals

Improve the EMPS model Improved aggregation method New aggregation structure Improved disaggregation method Heuristics based solution => Formal optimisation based solution

Aggregation

Aggregation of hydropower systems is used to reduce problem size and calculation time. Replace the aggregated one reservoir structure with an aggregation to two reservoirs.



Disaggregation

The non-optimal heuristics based disaggregation techniques will be replaced by formal optimisation. The new disaggregation will allow for the modelling of optimal intra-week production from uncontrollable renewables and a stronger coupling with Europe.



Control Curve

10 20

30

40

50

60

120

100

80

60

40

20

0

Formal optimisation Optimal



 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & \mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{x} \\ \text{subject to} & A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b} \\ \text{and} & \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0} \end{array}$

30 40

50 60

Control curve

10 20

120

80

60

40

20

0

Pros and cons

Pros

- Better results
- Less complex code
- Easier to debug
- Easier to understand
- Easier to include new functionality

Cons

- Computation time



