THE VALUE OF STOCHASTIC SHORT-TERM SCHEDULING

- Preliminary results

Tellef Juell Larsen Brukermøte 20. mai 2015



Proposed methodology



1. Plan calculations

- SHARM is run for different scenarios of price and inflow, both stochastic and deterministic variants
- The resulting plan for the next day of each scenario represents the decision that would be made using the corresponding price or inflow as forecast.

2. Value calculations

- The plan of each scenario is converted to a load requirement
- SHARM is run again for all scenarios using:
 - the corresponding load
 - the ensemble fan
 - a price add for selling or buying (2€)
- The objective now represents the value of each plan/load decision

3. Utility calculations

- The differences in objective values represents the relative utility values
- This gives the utility value of
 - stochastic modelling (ex forecast quality)
 - applied to price independent bidding
 - for one single day



Scenarios and utility values





Analysis details

- 3 selected river systems, for 4 random days
- The value of price and inflow are analysed independently
- MIP is not used
- Independent WV
- > A common plan requirement is applied for the next day in the plan calculation



Results 1/3



System: One single plant with low discharge capacity

| Objective/utility compared to fan ensemble [€] | | Summer | Autumn | Winter | Spring |
|--|--------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Price | Large tree (9+3) | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| | Small tree (3+1) | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| | Deterministic tree (1+0) | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| | Average ensemble | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Inflow | Large tree (9+3) | -16 | 0 | 2 | 33 |
| | Small tree (3+1) | -4 | -1 | 2 | 33 |
| | Deterministic tree (1+0) | 13 | 272 | -3 | 33 |
| | Average ensemble | -22 | 259 | -1761 | 33 |



How can a scenario get better than the fan?

- Iteration logic
 - Some inaccuracies in the objective of the value calculations due to iterations
- The plan calculation has a common plan constraint
 - In the value calculation this constraint is replaced with a load requirement
- The plan calculation doesn't see the future opportunity to buy/sell power
 - In the value calculation the load can be redispatched individually in each fan scenario

Disfavours the stochastic optimizations!

Ensemble inflows



Schedules





Results 2/3



> System: 2 plants in cascade, with stochastics on top reservoir

| Objective/utility compared to fan ensemble [€] | | Summer | Autumn | Winter | Spring |
|--|--------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Price | Large tree (9+3) | -137 | 77 | -1 | 6 |
| | Small tree (3+1) | 211 | -2738 | -19 | 6 |
| | Deterministic tree (1+0) | 253 | -167 | -9 | -8 |
| | Average ensemble | 239 | -280 | -89 | -4 |
| Inflow | Large tree (9+3) | 3 | 193 | -1 | -3 |
| | Small tree (3+1) | 4 | 191 | -5 | -4 |
| | Deterministic tree (1+0) | -11 | 199 | 20 | -8 |
| | Average ensemble | 9 | 216 | -198 | -6 |



Results 3/3



> System: 2 plants in cascade, with stochastics on small reservoir in-between

| Objective/utility compared to fan ensemble [€] | | Summer | Autumn | Winter | Spring |
|--|--------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Price | Large tree (9+3) | 0 | -18 | -16 | 4 |
| | Small tree (3+1) | 0 | -4 | -4 | 23 |
| | Deterministic tree (1+0) | -14 | -133 | -10 | 19 |
| | Average ensemble | 8 | 5 | 3 | -496 |
| Inflow | Large tree (9+3) | -2 | 1 | 4 | 18 |
| | Small tree (3+1) | 95 | -7 | 10 | 55 |
| | Deterministic tree (1+0) | 113 | -9 | -26 | 335 |
| | Average ensemble | 104 | -6 | 21 | 108 |



Preliminary conclusions

- Stochastic optimizing in SHARM is working
- High increase in calculation times, even without MIP
 - 5 times with small tree, 20 times with large tree
- Variable utility results
 - Many periods with 0 utility (as expected)
 - Inconsistent results, difficult to conclude on utility of stochastic modelling
 - Should implement an intraday market in SHARM to complete the methodology
- Need more testing and verification of results and method
 - Further testing with combined and correlated price and inflow
- Still much to learn from SHARM
 - Many areas of use to be explored







Tellef Juell Larsen, PhD

R&D-responsible short-term energy management MNP, Market Nordic Production optimisation

__DIRECT +47 24 06 70 78 __MOBILE +47 90 96 45 96

Statkraft AS Lilleakerveien 6, Postboks 200 Lilleaker, 0216 Oslo



www.statkraft.com