

# The Nordic Association of Architectural Research / Nordisk Arkitekturforskning (NAF)

Information for **reviewers of scientific articles** submitted for publication in proceedings publications by The Nordic Association of Architectural Research.

The editors of the proceedings publication **[title]** would appreciate the referee's assessment with respect to the quality of ideas, the substance and the writing of the article, and its suitability for publication.

|                                 |  |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Referee's name:                 |  |
| Manuscript title:               |  |
| Please return your comments by: |  |

Please give your recommendation by ticking one of the following categories:

| <b>OVERALL QUALITY</b>  | <b>Indicate appropriate category</b> |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| <b>Acceptance</b>   |                                      |
| <b>Acceptance subject to minor revisions</b><br>(Please provide guidance and comments which can be sent to the author.)   |                                      |
| <b>Major revisions required for acceptance</b><br>(Only if the paper has real promise, and if you can give clear guidance that may be sent to the author to enable them to achieve the desired result.) |                                      |
| <b>Rejection</b><br>(Do please offer some comments, which can be passed on to the author, not just a bare rejection.)   |                                      |

## Report

If the referee's evaluation considers the article to be in need of changes and improvements, then we welcome comments and recommendations to that effect. Please write comments for the author(s) below.

### **Content and relevance:**

- Is the focus of the contribution in line with the academic focus of the proceedings publication (see Call for Papers)? Has the article a newsworthy topic?
- The article should: have a clear structure with an *introduction* which states the objectives of the work and provides an adequate background; have a clear *research question*; provide a sufficient description on *methods and the selection process*; have a *theoretical framework*. *Results* and *analyses* should be clear and concise. *Discussion* should explore the significance of the results of the work and connect empirical results to the theoretical framework. The main *conclusion* can be presented in a short last section. All papers shall have a *summary* in English.
- What recommendations and changes are needed for the submission's improvement?

*Please insert your comments here:*

### **Structure:**

Is the structure of the contribution sufficiently clear, coherent, and concise? Is the article of an appropriate length in light of the content? Should any parts of the article be omitted or shortened?

*Please insert your comments here:*

### **Language, images, and references:**

Is the language of the suggested contribution of good quality? Are the images relevant and of good quality? Do you think that some necessary visual materials, like maps or diagrams, are missing? Are the references correctly listed and sufficiently extensive?

*Please insert your comments here:*