CARBON BASED BRIQUETTES - A REVIEW

Viktor Myrvågnes

NyKoSi Seminar, Trondheim, Oct 22-23 2016





Outline

1. Introduction

- 2. Briquetting with binders
 - Binders
 - Industrialized pilot plants
 - Laboratory Research
- 3. Binderless technology
 - Industrialized technology
 - Laboratory Research
- 4. Briquetting technology
- 5. Summary

Personal interest in agglomerates





Results from coals with different rank and petrographical composition

SINTEF SIO REACTIVITY RESULTS (R10 CORRECTED)



DEGREE OF CONVERSION





Natural agglomerates



Microphotograph of coal





Microphotographs of reacted samples



Outline

1. Introduction

- 2. Briquetting with binders
 - Binders
 - Industrialized pilot plants
 - Laboratory Research
- 3. Binderless technology
 - Industrialized technology
 - Laboratory Research
- 4. Briquetting technology
- 5. Summary

Briquetting technology

- Binders

- The binder properties:
 - Produces a strong briquette
 - Does not reduce the quality of the carbon material
 - Does not interfere with the use of the carbon
 - Is environmentally acceptable
 - Is economically viable
- The most successful binders have been:
 - Pitch (replaced by Bitumen)
 - Bitumen
 - Lignosulphonates
 - Molasses
 - Starch
 - Binder with lime
 - Resin, styrene and esters





Ancit briquettes

SMOKELESS FUELS / HOT BRIQUETTING / SANDANCIT

- Smokeless briquettes developed as heating fuel in the 1960's by DSM
- Alsdorf plant using hot briquetting with coking coal and breeze as raw materials
- Temperature at about 500 deg C
- Post hardening step for obtaining desired strength
- Plant shut down after severe fire
- In the 80's the plant was re-started by Laborlux
- Developed the sandancit briquette
- 50% quartz sand, 50% petcoke with coking coal as binder
- Tested at Kemanord





SILGRO briquettes

AIMCOR PLANT IN ROTTERDAM

- In the 90's AIMCOR built a 100.000 tpy briquetting plant in Rotterdam
- Raw materials: green petcoke, coal, quartz sand, lime and a catalyst
- Binder: Coal tar pitch
- C/SiO₂ molar ratio: 5:1
- Green briquettes calcined in rotary kiln with sand bed at about 550 deg C
- Poor thermal and mechanical properties
- Industrial test reported high disintegration and fines generation of briquettes
- Plant shut down





AIMCOR Silgro plant

- Principle



1-6:	Raw material bins
7-10:	Recycle
11:	Scales
12:	Dryer
13:	Screen
14:	Crusher
15:	Pre-heater
16:	Mixer
17:	Cooler
18:	Roller press
19:	Rotary kiln
20:	Briquettes



Carbosil

DEVELOPED BY R&D CARBON

- High purity Carbosil briquettes developed for direct UMG-Si production in SAF
- Binder: HT coal tar pitch
- Molar ratio C/SiO₂: 5:1
- Co-carbonization process:
 - Green granular carbon and green briquettes
 - 750 deg C
 - Vertical retort
- Reference: JOM (2013) 65:1744-1748





Carbosil

FURTHER INFORMATION

- Granular carbon materials co-carbonized with green briquettes in a vertical retort at final temperature at about 750 deg C
- Carbon dry aggregate prepared by crushing and screening in a continuous pilot roller crusher and multideck screening machines
- Dry aggregate is mixed and pre-heated before mixing in a high intensive propeller mixer
- Paste is cooled by water addition to the right temperature before briquetting
- Green briquettes co-carbonized in vertical retort in order to obtain desired strength









Briquetting press



Vertical retort



Carbosil properties

VS ANCIT AND SILGRO

- Similar molar ratios of C:SiO₂ (5:1)
- Highest density of the Carbosil briquettes
- Highest strength (load strength)
 - Due to intimate mixing of dry aggregate and size control before compression
- Carbosil briquettes are more suitable for UMG-Si production due to lower ratio of non-SiO₂ ash components
- Silgro and Sandancit have similar values of loss and dust formation in CO₂. Both superior to the Silgro briquettes

Table I. Comparison of Carbosil with PreviousIndustrial Briquettes (Ancit and Silgro)

Apparent density	kg/dm ³	1.49	1.35	1.52
Load strength	kg	120	80	140
Loss in $\overline{CO_2}$	%	14	18	13
Dust in $\tilde{O_2}$	%	11	54	9
Ratio loss/dust	_	1.2	0.3	1.4
Volatiles	%	4	6	3
Non SiO_2 ash	%	3	2	0.2



FeSi agglomerates

PATENTED BY OLA RAANESS

- US patent 1998
- Raw Materials
 - Swelling coal (FSI=8-9)
 - Size: < 3 mm
 - Moisture: < 3 wt%
 - Iron slags
 - Size: < 106 μm
- Carbon to Fe ratio (mass): 0,2-1:1,5 (prefferred 1,2:1)
- No binders in the laboratory test
- Possible binders: CTP, bitumen





FeSi agglomerates patented by Ola Raaness

LABORATORY TESTS

- Coal to slag weight ratio: 0,8-1,5:1
- Briquetting:
 - Cool pressing
 - Load: 10-20 tonnes
 - Cylindrical briquettes with d = 30 mm
 - Length of green briquettes = 10-15 mm
- Sintering
 - 400-500 deg C for 30 min
 - Alsint crucible with lid in air

PILOT BRIQUETTING PLANT

- Raw materials:
 - Coal size: < 2 mm
 - CTP as binder (6-7 wt%)
- Coal to slag weight ratio: 1,8:1
- Continous roller press
- Sintering:
 - 400 deg C for 10 min
 - Air atmosphere
- Briquettes
 - Pillow shaped
 - Dimension 35x35x20 mm



NEDO – Development of Ferrocoke for use in blast furnaces - JFE Steel, Nippon Steel and Kobe Steel

Development of innovative ironmaking process





- Raw Materials:
 - Weakly caking and non-caking coals
 - Ore
 - Innovative binder from the Hyper Coal process
- Coal / ore ratio: 7:3
- Binder: 5-9 wt%
- Briquetting temperature: 100 120 deg C
- Size: 30x25x15 mm
- Calcination in shaft furnace
- Coking temperature: 800 1000 deg C
- Briquettes tested at blast furnace (No. 6) at Chiba works



Process flow of the Ferrocoke plant





Water resistant briquettes from Turkish lignite

- Coal size: < 3 mm
- Binder: Co-polymer binder Mowilith-VDM
- Briquetting: Hydraulic press
- Pressure: 30 60 MPa
- Briquette size: 30 mm diameter
- Addition of molasses increased strength
- Lime did not show any positive influence on the shatter index





Piston Press

Press and pressing molds



1, steel mold 2, steel pressing piston 3, steel disc bottom 4, steel disc top 5, steel down plate 6, sample position



Briquettes form



Water resistant briquettes from Turkish lignite

- Results

- Compressive strength correlated with increased ratio of bituminous coal due to the higher inherent compressibility of bituminous coal
- Strength increased with addition of binder







- Centre for Applied Energy Research, University of Kentucky

• Business Case:



- Briquetting process:
 - 90 wt% coal fines, 10 wt% saw dust
 - Diameter: 25,4 mm
 - Load: 4000 lbf
 - Temperature: 22 deg C
- Tests:
 - Compressive strength
 - Water resistance
 - Shatter resistance
 - Attrition index



- Information

- Equivalent cost basis
 - Cost 8 USD/nt as the binder application rate
- More than 10 binders evaluated
- Evaluation of binders
 - Best:
 - Guar gum
 - Wheat starch
 - Reax+2 wt% lime
 - Other potentials
 - Black strap molasses
 - Paper sludge
 - Tall oil emulsions
 - Molasses
 - Coal tar

- Coal samples:
 - Bituminous coal rank
 - Coal fines from JRC and Cooke and Sons Mining
 - 20-25 wt% moisture
- Saw dust
 - Mix of three species (or pure species)
 - White Oak
 - Red Oak
 - Poplar
 - Size: < 6,3 mm



- Results (Compressive strength, 90wt% coal and 10wt% saw dust)

Binder ID	Binder Wt %	Green Strength	1-day Strength	7-day Strength
Peridur 300	0.4	34.3	35.7	180.4
Western Bentonite	6.7	34.5	35.1	70.9
Wheat Flour, Wal-Mart	3.4	39.2	37.8	126.1
Spring Wheat Flour	7.2	42.6	42.5	161.3
Lavabond	6.7	30.5	40.0	71.0
Corn Starch	2.9	39.0	51.0	121.2
Black Strap Molasses	6.4	33.1	37.0	49.9
Coal Loading Tar	5.0	43.4	39.9	73.6
Paper Sludge	17.8	41.0	29.2	33.8
Lime	8.0	45.6	32.6	67.1
RS-2	4.8	32.8	22.6	23.2
Sodium Silicate	8.0	31.3	68.9	73.6
Polybond 300G	6.2	30.2	33.7	55.4
Polybond	9.4	25.7	34.1	45.9
Guar Gum	1.0	43.7	70.4	142.9
Bleached Softwood pulp	1.5	54.6	35.8	34.4
Brewex	17.8	41.4	40.7	73.9
Wheat starch 7	1.0	35.6	37.6	93.2
Wheat starch 6	2.9	45.2	53.6	141.3
Reax	4.8	31.5	34.5	61.1
Cola Syrup	12.3	33.0	28.5	
Asphalt-SS	4.8	39.2	31.1	
Asphalt-MS	4.8	29.6	26.9	
No binder (Control)	0.0	30.9	19.3	



- Results (Comparison of physical properties)

Binder	Binder	green	1-day	7-day	Drop Test	H20 resist	Attrition
ID	Wt %	Strength	Strength	Strength	#drops	(lb _f CS)	Index
Black Strap Molasses [*]	6.70	91. 7	102.4	174.9	17.8	disintegrated	55.5
Hi-Gluten Wheat Flour	2.90	64.7	78.4	>200	46.8	24.1	67.5
Guar Gum	1.00	63.6	86.1	>200	51.3	41.7	81.1
Hi-Starch Wheat Flour	2.89	51.3	61.7	>200	27.3	17.8	56.8
Corn Starch	2.9	50.4	66.2	169.8	24.8	36.8	46.0
Paper Sludge [*]	17.90	78.4	83.7	135.8	4.3	85.0	36.0
Wheat starch 6	2.90	58.1	N/A	>200			71.7
Control w/ lime only	2.00	57.6	N/A	45.5	1.0	35.9	31.1
Tall Oil Emulsion [*]	5.3	45.9	38.0	64.4	2.8	42.5	34.1
Molasses [*]	5.7	55.9	71.4	151.5	9.0	disintegrated	55.2
Reax [*]	4.3	43.7	89.0	>220	>100	disintegrated	91.1
Reax & ASPHALT [*]	2.5&1.2	59.6	87.5	195.2	28.0	58.2	50.4





Outline

1. Introduction

- 2. Briquetting with binders
 - Binders
 - Industrialized pilot plants
 - Laboratory Research
- 3. Binderless technology
 - Industrialized technology
 - Laboratory Research
- 4. Briquetting technology
- 5. Summary

White Energy Process (BCB) developed by CSIRO

- Binderless Coal Briquetting (BCB) Process using dehydration and compaction
- Upgrading of brown coals
- Establishment of 1 million tonnes per year plant in Kalimantan







White Energy Process (BCB) developed by CSIRO

- Coal crushed to < 3mm
- Flash dried to moisture level 7-8 wt%
- Compacted and briquetted, creating tight bonds between coal particles and eliminating nearly all voids
- Patented briquetting machines by Komarek
- Briquettes cooled to enable handling and storage
- Resulting in a higher density, higher energy briquette with low permeability and reduces propensity towards spontaneous combustion



South-African prime coking and blend coking coals

- Coking coals with high proportions of vitrininte
- Bonding mainly created by deformation and consolidation of vitrinite

Petrographic properties of Samples A and B coal

- Weathering (oxidation) has an adverse effect on the suitability of coal for binderless briquetting
- Coal size: < 2 mm
- Ash: 10 wt%



50 µm

Colliery	% Vitrinite	% Liptinite	% Reactive inertinite	% Inert inertinite	% Total inertinite	% Reactives	$\overline{R}_r^{0/0}$	Rank
Sample A	91	1	1	7	8	93	1.26	Medium rank B
Sample B	86	6	3	5	8	95	0.72	Medium rank C

Note: Maceral analysis — percentage by volume, mineral matter-free basis (mmf).



South-African coking coals

- Process and results

- Pillow shaped briquettes: 40 x 19 x 13 mm
- Maximum pressure: 17 MPa
- Komarek B-100A roll type briquetting machine





Fig. 2. Compressive strength of briquettes against superficial moisture content of the Sample A.



Fig. 4. Plot of the mean dry and wet compressive strengths of briquettes against feed moisture content of Sample B.



Outline

1. Introduction

- 2. Briquetting with binders
 - Binders
 - Industrialized pilot plants
 - Laboratory Research
- 3. Binderless technology
 - Industrialized technology
 - Laboratory Research
- 4. Briquetting technology
- 5. Summary

Briquetting Technology - Generalized Flowsheet

- Size preparation: Correct size distribution
 - Coal size: < 2 mm
- Moisture control
 - Optimal moisture for optimal properties
- Mixing coal and binder
- Briquetting process
- Condition the mixture if needeed
- Post formation treatment:
 - Heating, sintering
 - Cooling





Outline

1. Introduction

- 2. Briquetting with binders
 - Binders
 - Industrialized pilot plants
 - Laboratory Research
- 3. Binderless technology
 - Industrialized technology
 - Laboratory Research
- 4. Briquetting technology
- 5. Summary

Summary

Project name or inventor	Main application	Binder	SiO ₂ /Fe ₃ O ₄	Carbon RM	Sintering	Test scale	Properties evaluated	Remark
Carbosil	High pure Si production	Coal pitch	C:SiO ₂ =1(W)	Char, petcoke	750 °C	Pilot	Load strength, Apparent density, Thermal stability, CO_2 reactivity	HMS on use of pitch
Silgro	Si production	Coal pitch	C:SiO ₂ =1(W)	Petcoke, Coking coal	550 °C	Full size plant	Load strength, Apparent density, Thermal and mechanical stability	HMS on use of pitch, Thermal stability low , Loss in fines
Fesil agglomerates by Raaness	Fesil production	Coal pitch	C:Fe ₃ O ₄ =1,8(W)	Sw elling coal, FS⊫8-9	400 °C	Pilot	Thermal and mechanical stability, SiO reactivity	HMS on use of pitch
Water-resistant briquettes from Turkish lignite	Pow er plant	Copolymer Mow ilith-V DM + Molasses	No	Lignite and bituminous	No	Laboratory	Compressive strength, Shatter index, Weathering test	Binder cost, Thermal stability unknow n
Coal fines and sawdust	Pow er plant	Guar gum, Wheat starch, Reax + lime	No	Bituminous coal and saw dust (10%)	No	Laboratory	Compressive strength, Shatter index, Water resistance, Attrition index	Binder cost \$8/ton, Thermal stability unknow n
White Energy Process	Thermal coal, Metallurgical coal fines	No	No	Low ranker coal, Sub-bituminous coal, Lignite	No	1 milion tonne per annum	Mechanical stability, Thermal values	Thermal stability unknow n
South African coking coal	Pow er plant	No	No	Prime coking and blend coking coals	No	Laboratory	Compressive strength, Water resistance (w et compressive strenth)	Thermal stability unknow n



ADVANCED MATERIALS SHAPING THE FUTURE

