



Evaluating approaches for estimating the water value of a hydropower plant in the day-ahead electricity market

Ignacio Guisández, **Juan I. Pérez-Díaz** Department of Hydraulic, Energy and Environmental Engineering Technical University of Madrid (UPM)

September 12th, 2018 6th Workshop on Hydro Scheduling in Competitive Electricity Markets

0. Index

1. Motivation and objective

2. Methodology

3. Results and conclusions

4. Future work

There is a wide number of **recent articles** dealing with the use of **"complex algorithms"** (MILP, MIQP, Lagrangian Relaxation, etc.) to solve the **decomposed decision problems of DP-based medium-term scheduling models** (water value)

Optimal Multipurpose-Multireservoir Operation Model with Variable Productivity of Hydropower Plants

Q. Goor¹; R. Kelman²; and A. Tilmant³

Stochastic dual dynamic programming applied to nonconvex hydrothermal models

Santiago Cerisola*, Jesus M. Latorre, Andres Ramos

Approach of Integrating Ancillary Services into a medium-term Hydro Optimization

Hubert ABGOTTSPON¹, Göran ANDERSSON

A model for optimal scheduling of hydro thermal systems including pumped-storage and wind power

Arild Helseth¹, Anders Gjelsvik¹, Birger Mo¹, Úlfar Linnet²

Assessing hydropower operational profitability considering energy and reserve markets

Arild Helseth¹ , Marte Fodstad¹, Magnus Askeland¹, Birger Mo¹, Odd Bjarte Nilsen², Juan Ignacio Pérez-Díaz³, Manuel Chazarra³, Ignacio Guisández³

Dynamic convexification within nested Benders decomposition using Lagrangian relaxation: An application to the strategic bidding problem Gregory Steeger, Steffen Rebennack* Long-term optimal allocation of hydro generation for a price-maker company in a competitive market: latest developments and a stochastic dual dynamic programming approach B.C. Elach^{1,2} L.A. Barroso¹ M.V.E. Pereira¹

Optimizing Trading Decisions for Hydro Storage Systems Using Approximate Dual Dynamic Programming Nils Löhndorf, David Wozabal, Stefan Minner

Medium-term optimization of pumped hydro storage with stochastic intrastage subproblems Hubert Abgottspon, Göran Andersson

Nonconvex Medium-Term Hydropower Scheduling by Stochastic Dual Dynamic Integer Programming

Martin N. Hjelmeland, Student Member, IEEE, Jikai Zou, Arild Helseth, Member, IEEE and Shabbir Ahmed, Senior Member, IEEE

The motivation for such a big research effort has been rather diverse, namely:



Research questions:

- 1. Is the use of "complex algorithms" to solve the decomposed decision problems of a DP-based medium-term scheduling model a fruitful/profitable/reasonable/... PRACTICAL effort?
- 2. In what **circumstances is it practical**?









PRELIMINARY WORK !

2. Methodology

- 1 hydropower reservoir
- I hydropower plant equipped with 1 Francis unit
- 4 medium-term scheduling models



2. Methodology

Common features of the medium-term scheduling models:

- ✓ 1-year planning period
- ✓ 1-week decision stages
- ✓ 1-hour time steps
- ✓ Aimed at maximizing the profit in the energy market
- ✓ Consider the unit's start-up and wear and tear costs
- ✓ 2 exogenous stochastic variables: water inflow volume and average energy price
- ✓ The exogenous stochastic variables are modelled each by means a discrete first-order Markov chain (no cross-correlation)
- ✓ The realizations of the exogenous stochastic variables are assumed known in each decomposed decision problem
- ✓ The initial state of the decomposed decision problem is defined by the initial storage and the exogenous stochastic variables
- ✓ The initial storage is discretized into 9 equidistant values

Differences between the medium-term scheduling models:



Taken from Chang et al., IEEE Trans. on Power Syst. 16(4), 743-749, 2001.



Taken from D'Ambrosio et al., Operations Research Letters 38(1), 39-46, 2010.



Taken from Conejo et al., IEEE Trans. on Power Syst. 17(4), 1265-1272, 2002.



LP

Taken from Piekutowski et al., in Proc. 1994 IEEE Power Industry Computer Application Conference.

Differences between the medium-term scheduling models:

- ✓ MILP-1/2/3 use a binary variable to mode the unit's start-ups
- ✓ The breakpoints of the power-discharge curves used by MILP-1/2/3 correspond to the minimum turbine flow, best efficiency and maximum turbine flow
- ✓ The breakpoints of the power-discharge curve used by LP correspond to zero, best efficiency and maximum turbine flow
- ✓ The power-discharge curves used by MILP-2/3 in each decision correspond to different heads uniformly distributed over the range of feasible heads
- LP uses a linear formulation based on Warland et al. (2008)* to minimize the occurrence of discharges between 0 and the minimum turbine flow

^{*} G. Warland, A. Haugstad, E.S. Huse, "Including thermal unit start-up costs in a long-term hydro-thermal scheduling model," in Proc. 2008 16th Power Systems Computation Conference (PSCC).

2. Methodology

Power plant data

- ✓ Virtually located in Spain
- ✓ Installed power capacity
- ✓ Maximum gross head
- ✓ Perfomance curves
- ✓ Rated head loss
- ✓ Tailwater level variation
- ✓ Inflow distribution pattern
- ✓ Evaporation rates
- ✓ Reservoir curve

55 MW 65 m Krueger et al. (1976)¹ 1 % of rated head El-Hawary & Christensen (1979)² Spanish oceanic fluvial data Dragoni & Valigi (1994)³ Lehner et al. (2011)⁴

¹ R.E. Krueger, I.A. Winter, R.N. Walters, G.C. Bates: Selecting hydraulic reaction turbines. A water resources technical publication engineering monograph no. 20. Tech. rep., USBR, Denver, USA (1976).

² M.E. El-Hawary, G.S. Christensen: Optimal economic operation of electric power systems, vol. 26. Academic Press, New York, USA (1979).

³ W. Dragoni, D. Valigi: "Contributo alla stima dell evaporazione dalle superfici liquide nell Italia Centrale". Geologica Romana 30, 151-158 (1994).

⁴ B. Lehner et al.: High-resolution mapping of the world's reservoirs and dams for sustainable river-fow management. Front. Ecol. Environ. 9(9), 494-502 (2011).

Simulations

- ✓ 1000-year long synthetic series have been generated from the discrete Markov chains used to compute the Water Value by means of a heuristic sampling procedure
- ✓ MILP-3 is used in the simulations on a rolling horizon basis
- ✓ A perfect foresight of both the hourly water inflows and energy prices is assumed in the simulations



Average, maximum and minimum hourly values of the synthetic weekly profiles of the energy prices

WV calculator	Average annual profit		Average head		WV calculation time	
	[M€]	Variation	[m]	Variation	[h]	Variation
MILP-1	7.5901		58.56		1.9	
MILP-2	7.5896	-0.01%	58.68	0.21%	62.6	3,249.44%
MILP-3	7.5881	-0.03%	58.62	0.11%	4.6	145.13%
LP	7.5803	-0.13%	58.94	0.66%	1.6	-14.79%

- For the system under study the use of MILP-1 to compute the WV seems to be practical
- MILP-1 has outperformed MILP-2/3
- Considering the small size of the system under study, the expected increase in the computation time for a realistic system, with several reservoirs and tens of hydropower units, would make the use of MILP-1/2/3 definitely impractical

Reviewers' suggestions

- Replicate the study in other more realistic hydropower systems with a larger number of reservoirs and complex topologies
- Add downstream equations (e.g. environmental constraints)
- Use a finer discretization for the initial storage and exogenous stochastic variables

We are still working with a single-reservoir system and plan to obtain soon similar results with different:

- ✓ Downstream constraints
- ✓ Price profiles
- ✓ Inflow patterns
- ✓ Inflow-discharge-storage ratios
- ✓ Number of hydropower units
- ✓ Performance curves of hydropower units
- ✓ Discretizations

To come in early 2019!





Thank you very much for your attention!

Any questions?

Juan I. Pérez-Díaz ji.perez@upm.es