

Convexity: an introduction

Geir Dahl

CMA, Dept. of Mathematics and Dept. of Informatics
University of Oslo

1. Introduction

- what is convexity
- where does it arise
- main concepts and results

Literature:

- Rockafellar: *Convex analysis*, 1970.
- Webster: *Convexity*, 1994.
- Grünbaum: *Convex polytopes*, 1967.
- Ziegler: *Lectures on polytopes*, 1994.
- Hiriart-Urruty and Lemaréchal: *Convex analysis and minimization algorithms*, 1993.
- Boyd and Vandenberghe: *Convex optimization*, 2004.

- roughly: a convex set in \mathbb{R}^2 (or \mathbb{R}^n) is a set “with no holes”.
- more accurately, a convex set C has the following property:
whenever we choose two points in the set, say $x, y \in C$, then all points in the line segment between x and y also lie in C .
- a sphere (ball), an ellipsoid, a point, a line, a line segment, a rectangle, a triangle, halfplane, the plane itself
- the union of two disjoint (closed) triangles is nonconvex.

Why are convex sets important?

Optimization:

- mathematical foundation for optimization
- feasible set, optimal set,
- objective function, constraints, value function
- closely related to the numerical solvability of an optimization problem

Statistics:

- statistics: both in theory and applications
- **estimation**: “estimate” the value of one or more unknown parameters in a stochastic model. To measure quality of a solution one uses a “loss function” and, quite often, this loss function is convex.
- **statistical decision theory**: the concept of risk sets is central; they are convex sets, so-called **polytopes**.

- **The expectation operator:** Assume that X is a discrete variable taking values in some finite set of real numbers, say $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ with probabilities p_i of the event $X = x_i$. Probabilities are all nonnegative and sum to one, so $p_j \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^r p_j = 1$. The **expectation** (or **mean**) of X is the number

$$EX = \sum_{j=1}^r p_j x_j.$$

This is a weighted average of the possible values that X can attain, and the weights are the probabilities. We say that EX is a **convex combination** of the numbers x_1, \dots, x_r .

- An extension is when the discrete random variable is a vector, so it attains values in a finite set $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ of points in \mathbb{R}^n . The expectation is defined by $EX = \sum_{j=1}^r p_j x_j$ which, again, is a **convex combination of the points in S** .

Approximation

- **approximation**: given some set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a vector $z \notin S$, find a vector $x \in S$ which is as close to z as possible among all vectors in S .
- distance: Euclidean norm (given by $\|x\| = (\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^2)^{1/2}$) or some other norm.
- **convexity?**
- norm functions, i.e., functions $x \rightarrow \|x\|$, are convex functions.
- a basic question is if a nearest point (to z in S) exists: **yes, provided that S is a closed set.**
- and: if S is a **convex** set (and the norm is the Euclidean norm), then the nearest point is **unique.**
- this may not be so for nonconvex sets.

Nonnegative vectors

- convexity deals with inequalities
- $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is **nonnegative** if each component x_i is nonnegative.
- we let \mathbb{R}_+^n denote the set of all nonnegative vectors. The zero vector is written O .
- inequalities for vectors, so if $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we write

$$x \leq y \quad (\text{or } y \geq x)$$

and this means that $x_i \leq y_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

2. Convex sets

- definition of convex set
- polyhedron
- connection to LP

Convex sets and polyhedra

- **definition:** A set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called **convex** if $(1 - \lambda)x_1 + \lambda x_2 \in C$ whenever $x_1, x_2 \in C$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.
- geometrically, this means that C contains the line segment between each pair of points in C .
- **examples:** circle, ellipse, rectangle, certain polygons, pyramids
- how can we prove that a set is convex?
- later we learn some other useful techniques.
- how can we verify that a set S is **not** convex? Well, it suffices to find two points x_1 and x_2 and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ with the property that $(1 - \lambda)x_1 + \lambda x_2 \notin S$ (you have then found a kind of “hole” in S).

- the unit ball:

$$B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| \leq 1\}$$

- to prove it is convex: let $x, y \in B$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|(1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y\| &\leq \|(1 - \lambda)x\| + \|\lambda y\| \\ &= (1 - \lambda)\|x\| + \lambda\|y\| \\ &\leq (1 - \lambda) + \lambda = 1\end{aligned}$$

Therefore B is convex. □

- we here used the **triangle inequality** which is a convexity property (we return to this): recall that the triangle ineq. may be shown from the **Cauchy-Schwarz inequality**:

$$|x \cdot y| \leq \|x\| \|y\| \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

- More generally: $B(a, r) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x - a\| \leq r\}$ is convex (where $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r \geq 0$).

Linear systems and polyhedra

- By a **linear system** we mean a **finite set of linear equations and/or linear inequalities** involving variables x_1, \dots, x_n .
- Example: the linear system $x_1 + x_2 = 3$, $x_1 \geq 0$, $x_2 \geq 0$ in the variables x_1, x_2 .
- equivalent form is $x_1 + x_2 \leq 3$, $-x_1 - x_2 \leq -3$, $-x_1 \leq 0$, $-x_2 \leq 0$. Here we only have \leq -inequalities
- **definition**: we define a **polyhedron** in \mathbb{R}^n as a set of the form $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ax \leq b\}$ where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Here m is arbitrary, but finite. So: **the solution set of a linear system**.

Proposition

Every polyhedron is a convex set.

Proposition

The intersection of convex sets is a convex set. The sum of convex sets is also convex.

Note:

- $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ax = b\}$: **affine set**; if $b = 0$: **linear subspace**
- the **dimension** of an affine set $z + L$ is defined as the dimension of the (uniquely) associated subspace L
- each affine set is a polyhedron
- of special interest: affine set of dimension $n - 1$, i.e.

$$H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a^T x = \alpha\}$$

where $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $a \neq 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e., solution set of one linear equation. Called a **hyperplane**.

LP and convexity

Consider a **linear programming (LP) problem**

$$\max\{c^T x : Ax \leq b, x \geq 0\}$$

- Then the **feasible set** $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ax \leq b, x \geq 0\}$ is a polyhedron, and therefore convex.
- Assume that there is a finite optimal value v^* . Then the set of **optimal solutions** $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ax \leq b, x \geq 0, c^T x = v^*\}$ is a polyhedron.
- This is (part of) the convexity in LP.

Convex hulls

- convex hull
- Carathéodory's theorem
- polytopes
- linear optimization over polytopes

Convex hulls

Goal:

- convex combinations are natural linear combinations to work with in convexity: represent "mixtures".
- convex hull gives a smallest convex set containing a given set S . Makes it possible to approximate S by a nice set.
- consider vectors $x_1, \dots, x_t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and nonnegative numbers (coefficients) $\lambda_j \geq 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, t$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^t \lambda_j = 1$. Then the vector $x = \sum_{j=1}^t \lambda_j x_j$ is called a **convex combination** of x_1, \dots, x_t . Thus, a convex combination is a special linear combination.
- convex comb. of two points (vectors), three, ...

Proposition

A set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is convex if and only if it contains all convex combinations of its points.

Proof: Induction on number of points. □

Definition. Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be any set. Define the **convex hull** of S , denoted by **conv** (S) as the set of all convex combinations of points in S .

- the convex hull of two points x_1 and x_2 is the **line segment** between the two points, $[x_1, x_2]$.
- an important fact is that **conv** (S) is a convex set, whatever the set S might be.

Proposition

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\text{conv}(S)$ is equal to the intersection of all convex sets containing S . Thus, $\text{conv}(S)$ is the smallest convex set containing S .

A "special kind" of convex hull

- what happens if we take the convex hull of a finite set of points?

Definition. A set $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a **polytope** if it is the convex hull of a finite set of points in \mathbb{R}^n .

- polytopes have been studied a lot during the history of mathematics
- Platonian solids
- important in many branches of mathematics, pure and applied.
- in optimization: highly relevant in, especially, linear programming and discrete optimization.

Linear optimization over polytopes

Consider

$$\max\{c^T x : x \in \text{conv}(\{x_1, \dots, x_t\})\}$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Each $x \in P$ may be written as $x = \sum_{j=1}^t \lambda_j x_j$ for some $\lambda_j \geq 0$, $j = 1, \dots, t$ where $\sum_j \lambda_j = 1$. Define $v^* = \max_j c^T x_j$. Then

$$c^T x = c^T \sum_j \lambda_j x_j = \sum_{j=1}^t \lambda_j c^T x_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^t \lambda_j v^* = v^* \sum_{j=1}^t \lambda_j = v^*.$$

- The set of optimal solutions is

$$\text{conv}(\{x_j : j \in J\})$$

where J is the set of indices j satisfying $c^T x_j = v^*$.

- This is a subpolytope of the given polytope (actually a so-called *face*). Computationally OK if "few" points.

Carathéodory's theorem

The following result says that a convex combination of “many” points may be reduced by using “fewer” points.

Theorem

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then each $x \in \text{conv}(S)$ may be written as a convex combination of (say) m affinely independent points in S . In particular, $m \leq n + 1$.

Try to construct a proof!

Two consequences

- $k + 1$ vectors $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are called **affinely independent** if the k vectors $x_1 - x_0, \dots, x_k - x_0$ are linearly independent.
- A **simplex** is the convex hull of a affinely independent points.

Proposition

Every polytope in \mathbb{R}^n can be written as the union of a finite number of simplices.

Proposition

Every polytope in \mathbb{R}^n is compact, i.e., closed and bounded.

4. Projection and separation

- nearest points
- separating and supporting hyperplanes
- Farkas' lemma

Projection

Approximation problem: Given a set S and a point x outside that set, find a nearest point to x in S !

- Question 1: does a nearest point exist?
- Question 2: if it does, is it unique?
- Question 3: how can we compute a nearest point?
- **convexity is central here!**

Let S be a **closed subset** of \mathbb{R}^n . Recall: S is closed if and only if S contains the limit point of each convergent sequence of points in S . Thus, if $\{x^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a convergent sequence of points where $x^{(k)} \in S$, then the limit point $x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x^{(k)}$ also lies in S .

For $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we define the **distance function**

$$d_S(x) = \inf \{ \|x - s\| : s \in S \}$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm.

Nearest point

Proposition

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a nonempty closed set and let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then there is a nearest point $s \in S$ to x , i.e., $\|x - s\| = d_S(x)$.

Proof. There is a sequence $\{s^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of points in S such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x - s^{(k)}\| = d_S(x)$. This sequence is bounded and has a convergent subsequence, and the limit point must lie in S . Then, by continuity, $d_S(x) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x - s^{(j)}\| = \|x - s\|$. \square

Thus, closedness of S assures that a nearest point exists. But such a point may not be unique.

Good news for convex sets

Theorem

Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a nonempty closed convex set. Then, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the nearest point x_0 to x in C is unique. Moreover, x_0 is the unique solution of the inequalities

$$(x - x_0)^T (y - x_0) \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } y \in C. \quad (1)$$

Proof: Let x_0 be a nearest point to x in C . Let $y \in C$ and let $0 < \lambda < 1$. Since C is convex, $(1 - \lambda)x_0 + \lambda y \in C$ and since x_0 is a nearest point we have that $\|(1 - \lambda)x_0 + \lambda y - x\| \geq \|x_0 - x\|$, i.e., $\|(x_0 - x) + \lambda(y - x_0)\| \geq \|x_0 - x\|$. This implies $\|x_0 - x\|^2 + 2\lambda(x_0 - x)^T(y - x_0) + \lambda^2\|y - x_0\|^2 \geq \|x_0 - x\|^2$. We now subtract $\|x_0 - x\|^2$ on both sides, divide by λ , let $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$ and finally multiply by -1 . This proves that the inequality (1) holds for every $y \in C$. Let now x_1 be another nearest point to x in C ; we want to show that $x_1 = x_0$. By letting $y = x_1$ in (1) we get

$$(*_1) \quad (x - x_0)^T(x_1 - x_0) \leq 0.$$

Proof, cont.: By symmetry we also get that

$$(*_2) \quad (x - x_1)^T (x_0 - x_1) \leq 0.$$

By adding the inequalities $(*_1)$ and $(*_2)$ we obtain

$\|x_1 - x_0\|^2 = (x_1 - x_0)^T (x_1 - x_0) \leq 0$ which implies that $x_1 = x_0$.

Thus, the nearest point is unique. \square

The **variational inequality** (1) has a nice geometrical interpretation: the angle between the vectors $x - x_0$ and $y - x_0$ (both starting in the point x_0) is obtuse, i.e., larger than 90° .

- $p_C(x)$ denotes the (unique) nearest point to x in C .

What's next?

We shall now discuss supporting hyperplanes and separation of convex sets.

Why is this important?

- leads to another representation of closed convex sets
- may be used to approximate convex functions by simpler functions
- may be used to prove Farkas' lemma, and the linear programming duality theorem
- used in **statistics (e.g. decision theory), mathematical finance, economics, game theory.**

Hyperplanes: definitions

- **Hyperplane:** has the $H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a^T x = \alpha\}$ for some nonzero vector a and a real number α .
- a is called the **normal vector** of the hyperplane.
- Every hyperplane is an affine set of dimension $n - 1$.
- Each hyperplane divides the space into two sets $H^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a^T x \geq \alpha\}$ and $H^- = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a^T x \leq \alpha\}$.
- These sets H^+ and H^- are called **halfspaces**.

Definition: Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and let H be a hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^n .

- If S is contained in one of the halfspaces H^+ or H^- and $H \cap S$ is nonempty, we say that H is a **supporting hyperplane** of S .
- We also say that H **supports** S at x , for each $x \in H \cap S$.

Supporting hyperplanes

Note:

- We now restrict the attention to **closed convex sets**.
- Recall that $p_C(x)$ is the (unique) nearest point to x in C .
- Then each point outside our set C gives rise to a supporting hyperplane as the following lemma tells us.

Proposition

Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a nonempty closed convex set and let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus C$. Consider the hyperplane H containing $p_C(x)$ and having normal vector $a = x - p_C(x)$. Then **H supports C at $p_C(x)$** and C is contained in the halfspace $H^- = \{y : a^T y \leq \alpha\}$ where $\alpha = a^T p_C(x)$.

The proof

Note that a is nonzero as $x \notin C$ while $p_C(x) \in C$. Then H is the hyperplane with normal vector a and given by $a^T y = \alpha = a^T p_C(x)$. We shall show that C is contained in the halfspace H^- . So, let $y \in C$. Then, by (1) we have $(x - p_C(x))^T (y - p_C(x)) \leq 0$, i.e., $a^T y \leq a^T p_C(x) = \alpha$ as desired. □

Separation

Define:

$$H_{a,\alpha} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a^T x = \alpha\};$$

$$H_{a,\alpha}^- := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a^T x \leq \alpha\};$$

$$H_{a,\alpha}^+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a^T x \geq \alpha\}.$$

We say that the hyperplane $H_{a,\alpha}$ **separates** two sets S and T if $S \subseteq H_{a,\alpha}^-$ and $T \subseteq H_{a,\alpha}^+$ or vice versa.

Note that both S and T may intersect the hyperplane $H_{a,\alpha}$ in this definition.

We say that the hyperplane $H_{a,\alpha}$ **strongly separates** S and T if there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $S \subseteq H_{a,\alpha-\epsilon}^-$ and $T \subseteq H_{a,\alpha+\epsilon}^+$ or vice versa. This means that

$$a^T x \leq \alpha - \epsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in S;$$

$$a^T x \geq \alpha + \epsilon \quad \text{for all } x \in T.$$

Strong separation

Theorem

Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a nonempty closed convex set and assume that $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus C$. Then C and x can be strongly separated.

Proof. Let H be the hyperplane containing $p_C(x)$ and having normal vector $x - p_C(x)$. From the previous proposition we know that H supports C at $p_C(x)$. Moreover $x \neq p_C(x)$ (as $x \notin C$). Consider the hyperplane H^* which is parallel to H (i.e., having the same normal vector) and contains the point $(1/2)(x + p_C(x))$. Then H^* strongly separates x and C . \square

An important consequence

Exterior description of closed convex sets:

Corollary

Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a nonempty closed convex set. Then C is the intersection of all its supporting halfspaces.

Another application: Farkas' lemma

Theorem

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Then there *exists an $x \geq 0$ satisfying $Ax = b$ if and only if for each $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ with $y^T A \geq 0$ it also holds that $y^T b \geq 0$.*

Proof: Consider the closed convex cone (define!!)

$C = \text{cone}(\{a^1, \dots, a^n\}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$. Observe: $Ax = b$ has a nonnegative solution simply means simply (geometrically) that $b \in C$.

Assume now that $Ax = b$ and $x \geq 0$. If $y^T a \geq 0$, then $y^T b = y^T (Ax) = (y^T A)x \geq 0$.

Proof, cont.: Conversely, if $Ax = b$ has no nonnegative solution, then $b \notin C$. But then, by Strong Separation Theorem, C and b can be strongly separated, so there is a nonzero vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ with $y^T x \geq \alpha$ for each $x \in C$ and $y^T b < \alpha$. As $0 \in C$, we have $\alpha \leq 0$. Moreover $y^T a^j \geq 0$ so $y^T a \geq 0$. Since $y^T b < 0$ we have proved the other direction of Farkas' lemma.

5. Representation of convex sets

- study (very briefly) the structure of convex sets
- involves the notions: **faces**, **extreme points** and **extreme halflines**
- an important subfield: the theory (and application) of polyhedra and polytopes

Faces

Definition. Let C be a convex set in \mathbb{R}^n . A **convex subset** F of C is a **face** of C whenever the following condition holds:

- if $x_1, x_2 \in C$ is such that $(1 - \lambda)x_1 + \lambda x_2 \in F$ for some $0 < \lambda < 1$, then $x_1, x_2 \in F$.

So: **if a relative interior point of the line segment between two points of C lies in F , then the whole line segment between these two points lies in F .**

Note: the empty set and C itself are (trivial) faces of C .

Example:

- faces of the unit square and unit circle

Exposed faces

Definition. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex set and H a supporting hyperplane of C . Then the intersection $C \cap H$ is called an **exposed face** of C .

Relation between faces and exposed faces:

- Let C be a nonempty convex set in \mathbb{R}^n . Then each exposed face of C is also a face of C .
- For polyhedra: exposed faces and faces are the same!

Extreme points and extreme halflines

Definition. If $\{x\}$ is a face of a convex set C , then x is called an **extreme point** of C . (So: face of dimension 0)

- Equivalently: $x \in C$ is an extreme point of C if and only if whenever $x_1, x_2 \in C$ satisfies $x = (1/2)x_1 + (1/2)x_2$, then $x_1 = x_2 = x$.
- Example: what are the extreme points if a polytope $P = \text{conv}(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t\})$?

Definition. Consider an **unbounded face** F of C that has dimension 1. Since F is convex, F must be either a line segment, a line or a halfline (i.e., a set $\{x_0 + \lambda z : \lambda \geq 0\}$). If F is a halfline, we call F an **extreme halfline** of C .

Inner description of closed convex sets

Theorem

Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a nonempty and line-free closed convex set. Then C is the convex hull of its extreme points and extreme halflines.

The bounded case is called [Minkowski's theorem](#).

Corollary

If $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a compact convex set, then C is the convex hull of its extreme points.

Representation of polyhedra

Consider a polyhedron

$$P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ax \leq b\}$$

A point $x_0 \in P$ is called a **vertex** of P if x_0 is the (unique) solution of n linearly independent equations from the system $Ax = b$.

The following says: **Extreme point = vertex**

Proposition

Let $x_0 \in P$. Then x_0 is a **vertex** of P if and only if x_0 is an **extreme point** of P .

Main theorem for polyhedra

Theorem

Each polyhedron $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ may be written as

$$P = \text{conv}(V) + \text{cone}(Z)$$

for **finite sets** $V, Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. In particular, if P is pointed, we may here let V be the set of vertices and let Z consist of a direction vector of each extreme halfline of P .

Conversely, if V and Z are **finite sets** in \mathbb{R}^n , then the set $P = \text{conv}(V) + \text{cone}(Z)$ is a polyhedron. i.e., there is a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and a vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ for some m such that

$$\text{conv}(V) + \text{cone}(Z) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ax \leq b\}.$$

6. Convex functions

- convex functions of a single variable
- ... of several variables
- characterizations
- properties, and optimization

Convex function - one variable

Definition. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We say that f is **convex** if

$$f((1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y) \leq (1 - \lambda)f(x) + \lambda f(y)$$

holds for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Extension:
 $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

Geometric interpretation: “graph below secant”.

Examples:

- $f(x) = x^2$ (or $f(x) = (x - a)^2$)
- $f(x) = x^n$ for $x \geq 0$
- $f(x) = |x|$
- $f(x) = e^x$
- $f(x) = -\log x$
- $f(x) = -x \log x$

Increasing slopes

Here is a characterization of convex functions. And it also works even when f is not differentiable!

Proposition

A function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex if and only if for each $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ the slope function

$$x \mapsto \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0}.$$

is increasing on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{x_0\}$.

Differentiability

The **left-sided derivative** of f at x_0 is defined by

$$f'_-(x_0) := \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^-} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0}.$$

provided this limit exists. Similar: **right-sided derivative** $f'_+(x_0)$.

Theorem

Let $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function defined on an interval I . Then f **has both left-and right-sided derivatives** at every interior point of I . Moreover, if $x, y \in I$ and $x < y$, then

$$f'_-(x) \leq f'_+(x) \leq \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \leq f'_-(y) \leq f'_+(y).$$

In particular, both f'_- and f'_+ are increasing functions.

Criterion: derivatives

Theorem

Let $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function defined on an open interval I .

(i) If f has an **increasing left-derivative** (or an increasing right-derivative) on, then f is convex.

(ii) If f is differentiable, then f is convex if and only if **f' is increasing**. If f is two times differentiable, then f is convex if and only if **$f'' \geq 0$** in I .

Convex functions are "essentially continuous"!

Corollary

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be convex and define $M = \max\{-f'_+(a), f'_-(b)\}$.
Then

$$|f(y) - f(x)| \leq M |y - x| \quad \text{for all } x, y \in [a, b].$$

In particular, f is *continuous at every interior point of I* .

Generalized derivative: the subdifferential

- **Differentiability**: one can show that each convex function is differentiable almost everywhere; the exceptional set is countable.
- We now look further at derivatives of convex functions.

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. For each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we associate the closed interval

$$\partial f(x) := [f'_-(x), f'_+(x)].$$

which is called the **subdifferential** of f at x . Each point $s \in \partial f(x)$ is called a **subderivative** of f at x .

- By a previous result: $\partial f(x)$ is a nonempty and finite (closed) interval for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
- Moreover, f is differentiable at x if and only if $\partial f(x)$ contains a single point, namely the derivative $f'(x)$.

Corollary

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function and let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, for every $s \in \partial f(x_0)$, the inequality

$$f(x) \geq f(x_0) + s \cdot (x - x_0)$$

holds for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof: Let $s \in \partial f(x_0)$. Due to Theorem 9 the following inequality holds for every $x < x_0$:

$$(f(x) - f(x_0))/(x - x_0) \leq f'_-(x_0) \leq s.$$

Thus, $f(x) - f(x_0) \geq s \cdot (x - x_0)$. Similarly, if $x > x_0$ then

$$s \leq f'_+(x_0) \leq (f(x) - f(x_0))/(x - x_0)$$

so again $f(x) - f(x_0) \geq s \cdot (x - x_0)$ and we are done.

Support

Consider again the inequality:

$$f(x) \geq f(x_0) + s \cdot (x - x_0) = L(x)$$

- L can be seen as a linear approximation to f at x_0 . We say that L **supports** f at x_0 ; this means that $L(x_0) = f(x_0)$ and $L(x) \leq f(x)$ for every x .
- So L **underestimates** f everywhere!

Global minimum

We call x_0 a **global minimum** if

$$f(x_0) \leq f(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Weaker notion: **local minimum**: smallest function value in some neighborhood of x_0 .

- In general it is hard to find a global minimum of a function.
- **But when f is convex this is much easier!**

The following result may be derived from

$$f(x) \geq f(x_0) + s \cdot (x - x_0) = f(x_0).$$

Corollary

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function. Then the following three statements are equivalent.

- (i) x_0 is a **local minimum** for f .*
- (ii) x_0 is a **global minimum** for f .*
- (iii) $0 \in \partial f(x_0)$.*

Jensen's inequality

Theorem

Let $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function defined on an interval I . If $x_1, \dots, x_r \in I$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \geq 0$ satisfy $\sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j = 1$, then

$$f\left(\sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j x_j\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j f(x_j).$$

The [arithmetic geometric mean inequality](#) follows from this by using $f(x) = -\log x$:

$$\left(\prod_{j=1}^r x_j\right)^{1/r} \leq (1/r) \sum_{j=1}^r x_j$$

Convex functions of several variables

- many results from the univariate case extends to the general case of n variables.

Let $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex set. We say that f is **convex** if

$$f((1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y) \leq (1 - \lambda)f(x) + \lambda f(y)$$

holds for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and every $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.

- note: **need C to be a convex set here**
- every linear, or affine, function from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R} is convex.
- Assume that $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex and $h : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is affine. Then the **composition** $f \circ h$ is convex (where $(f \circ h)(x) := f(h(x))$)

Jensen's inequality, more generally

Theorem

Let $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function defined on a convex set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. If $x_1, \dots, x_r \in C$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \geq 0$ satisfy $\sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j = 1$, then

$$f\left(\sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j x_j\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j f(x_j).$$

Note: in (discrete) probability this means

$$f(\mathbb{E}X) \leq \mathbb{E}f(X)$$

The epigraph

Let $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex set. Define the following set in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} associated with f :

$$\text{epi}(f) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : y \geq f(x)\}.$$

It is called the **epigraph** of f .

The following result makes it possible to use results for convex sets to obtain results for convex function (and vice versa). relation.

Theorem

Let $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex set. Then f is a convex function if and only if $\text{epi}(f)$ is a convex set.

Supremum of convex functions

Corollary

Let f_i ($i \in I$) be a nonempty family of convex functions defined on a convex set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the function f given by

$$f(x) = \sup_{i \in I} f_i(x) \quad \text{for } x \in C$$

(the pointwise supremum) is convex.

Example:

- Pointwise supremum of affine functions, e.g. (finite case)

$$f(x) = \max_{i \leq n} (a_i^T x + b_i)$$

- Note: such a function is not differentiable in certain points!

The support function

Let P be a polytope in \mathbb{R}^n , say $P = \text{conv}(\{v_1, \dots, v_t\})$. Define

$$\psi_P(c) := \max\{c^T x : x \in P\}.$$

which is the optimal value of this LP problem. This function ψ_P is called the **support function** of P .

- ψ_P is a **convex function!** Because it is the pointwise supremum of the linear functions $c \rightarrow c^T v_j$ ($j \leq t$). This maximum is attained in a vertex (since the objective function is linear).
- More generally: **the support function ψ_C of a compact convex set C is convex.** Similar proof, but we take the supremum of an infinite family of linear functions; one for each extreme point of C .
- Here we used Minkowski's theorem saying that a compact convex set is the convex hull of its extreme points.

Directional derivative

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $z \neq 0$. The **directional derivative** of f at x_0 is

$$f'(x_0; z) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x_0 + tz) - f(x_0)}{t}$$

provided the limit exists. Special case: $f'(x_0; e_j) = \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_j}$.

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a **convex function** and consider a line $L = \{x_0 + \lambda z : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$ where x_0 is a point on the line and z is the direction vector of L . Define the function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(t) = f(x_0 + tz) \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

One can prove that **g is a convex function** (of a single variable).

- Thus, the restriction g of a convex function f to any line is another convex function.
- A consequence of this result is that a convex function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has one-sided directional derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned}g'_+(0) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (g(t) - g(0))/t \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (f(x_0 + tz) - f(x_0))/t \\ &= f'_+(x_0; z)\end{aligned}$$

Continuity

Theorem

Let $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function defined on an open convex set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then f is continuous on C .

Characterization of convexity

We now recall a concept from linear algebra: a symmetric matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is **positive semidefinite** if

$$x^T A x = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} x_i x_j \geq 0 \quad \text{for each } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

A useful fact is that A is positive semidefinite if and only if **all the eigenvalues of A are (real and) nonnegative**.

Theorem (Characterization via the Hessian)

Let f be a real-valued function defined on an open convex set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and assume that f has continuous second-order partial derivatives on C .

Then f is convex if and only if the Hessian matrix $H_f(x)$ is positive semidefinite for each $x \in C$.

Examples

- Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric matrix which is positive semidefinite and consider the function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$f(x) = x^T A x = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} x_i x_j.$$

Then it is easy to check that $H_f(x) = A$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore, f is a convex function.

- A symmetric $n \times n$ matrix A is called **diagonally dominant** if

$$|a_{ii}| \geq \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}| \quad (i \leq n)$$

If all these inequalities are strict, A is **strictly diagonally dominant**. These matrices arise in many applications, e.g. splines and differential equations.

- It can be shown that **every symmetric diagonally dominant matrix with positive diagonal is positive semidefinite**.

Differentiability

A function f defined on an open set in \mathbb{R}^n is said to be **differentiable** at a point x_0 in its domain if there is a vector d such that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (f(x_0 + h) - f(x_0) - d^T h) / \|h\| = 0.$$

Then d is unique; called the **gradient** of f at x_0 .

Assume that f is differentiable at x_0 and the gradient at x_0 is d . Then, for each nonzero vector z ,

$$f'(x_0; z) = d^T z.$$

Partial derivatives, gradients

Theorem

Let f be a real-valued convex function defined on an open convex set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Assume that all the partial derivatives exist at a point $x \in C$. Then f is differentiable at x .

Theorem

Let $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function defined on an open convex set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) f is convex.*
- (ii) $f(x) \geq f(x_0) + \nabla f(x_0)^T(x - x_0)$ for all $x, x_0 \in C$.*
- (iii) $(\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(x_0))^T(x - x_0) \geq 0$ for all $x, x_0 \in C$.*

Consider a convex function f and an affine function h , both defined on a convex set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$.

We say that $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ **supports** f at x_0 if $h(x) \leq f(x)$ for every x and $h(x_0) = f(x_0)$.

Theorem

Let $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function defined on a convex set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then f has a supporting (affine) function at every point. Moreover, f is the pointwise supremum of all its (affine) supporting functions.

Global minimum

Corollary

Let $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable convex function defined on an open convex set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $x^* \in C$. Then the following three statements are equivalent.

- (i) x^* is a *local minimum* for f .
- (ii) x^* is a *global minimum* for f .
- (iii) $\nabla f(x^*) = \mathbf{0}$ (i.e., all partial derivatives at x^* are zero).

Subgradients

Definition. Let f be a convex function and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is called a **subgradient** of f at x_0 if

$$f(x) \geq f(x_0) + s^T(x - x_0) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

- The set of all subgradients of f at x_0 is called the **subdifferential** of f at x_0 , and it is denoted by $\partial f(x_0)$.

Here is the basic result on the subdifferential.

Theorem

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function, and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\partial f(x_0)$ is a **nonempty, compact and convex set** in \mathbb{R}^n .

Global minimum, again

Moreover, we have the following theorem on minimum of convex functions.

Corollary

Let $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function defined on an open convex set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $x^ \in C$. Then the following three statements are equivalent.*

- (i) x^* is a **local minimum** for f .*
- (ii) x^* is a **global minimum** for f .*
- (iii) $0 \in \partial f(x^*)$ (0 is a subgradient).*

Final comments ...

- This means that **convex problems are attractive**, and sometimes other problems are reformulated/modified into convex problems
- Algorithms exist for minimizing convex functions, with or without constraints.
- So gradient-like methods for differentiable functions are extended into **subgradient methods** for general convex functions.
- More complicated, but efficient methods exist.